2023 TEST RESULTS



Peanut & Pecan Fungicide Evaluations TIM BRENNEMAN University of Georgia – Tifton Campus

Date: Jan. 10, 2024

Memo to: Industry Cooperators

From: Tim Brenneman

Subject: Field Trial Results

It is amazing how each year is different (I said that last year also!). Biological systems are driven by environmental conditions, and we went from very wet early (with some very challenging conditions for seedling emergence and growth) to pretty dry late season. For peanuts, this resulted in rapid vine growth mid season, with the dry weather later not washing off fungicides and resulting in reduced control of white mold. In our trials, we also intentionally utilized a "dirting" cultivation event in early July, which increased the intensity and uniformity of our white mold epidemics. Hurricane Idalia actually brought us some much-needed precipitation. The early rains also resulted in severe pecan scab in July, which was an acid test for fungicides. I want to acknowledge the hard work of our crew lead by Corey Thompson, Lance Alberson, and Jessica Bell. Summer workers included Wyatt Williams, Lucinda McEachin, and Clint Herndon. The cooperation of other scientists including Dr. Albert Culbreath, Dr. Bob Kemerait, Dr. Corley Holbrook, Dr. Patty Timper, Dr. Bill Branch, Dr. Scott Tubbs, Dr. Scott Monfort, Dr. Nino Brown, Dr. David Bertioli, Dr. Soraya Bertioli and Dr. Barry Tillman is much appreciated.

Once again, we are making this available primarily as an online document available at **www.timbrenneman.org** by clicking on "Publications" then "2023 Report". This site also has previous year reports. If you have any problems or any questions, feel free to call. Thanks again for your support, and we look forward to cooperating with you again in the future.

TABLE OF CONTENTS SOILBORNE DISEASES, 2023

POND FIELD BLACKSHANK FARM

| FMC NEMATODE TEST | 6 |
|-----------------------|----|
| IN FURROW MIX TEST II | 8 |
| KANNAR NEMATODE TEST | 10 |
| PROFARM NEMATODE TEST | 12 |
| VALENT NEMATODE TEST | 14 |
| DAILY RAINFALL | 17 |

WOODS FIELD BLACKSHANK FARM

| NEMATODE CULTIVAR TEST | 18 |
|------------------------|----|
| DAILY RAINFALL | 20 |

IRRIGATED/NON-IRRIGATED FIELD BLACKSHANK FARM

| BASF NEMATODE TEST | 21 |
|------------------------|----|
| FMC XYWAY TEST I | 22 |
| IN FURROW MIX TEST I | 26 |
| NICHINO FUNGICIDE TEST | 28 |
| DAILY RAINFALL | |

BANANA FIELD BLACKSHANK FARM

| MULTI-STATE EVALUATION TEST | |
|-----------------------------|----|
| RHIZOCTONIA FUNGICIDE TEST | 34 |
| DAILY RAINFALL | |

SOUTH FIELD LANG/RIGDON FARM

| BASF SEEDLING DISEASE TEST | |
|---------------------------------|----|
| BAYER IN FURROW RATE TEST | 40 |
| FMC XYWAY TEST II | 42 |
| KANNAR SEED TREATMENT TEST I | 45 |
| KANNAR SEED TREATMENT TEST II | 47 |
| SYNGENTA SEED TREATMENT TEST I | 49 |
| SYNGENTA SEED TREATMENT TEST II | 51 |
| DAILY RAINFALL + IRRIGATION | |

NEW FIELD LANG/RIGDON FARM

| SYNGENTA FUNGICIDE TEST | |
|-----------------------------|--|
| BASF FUNGICIDE TEST | |
| DAILY RAINFALL + IRRIGATION | |

COTTON FIELD LANG/RIGDON FARM

| ADAMA FUNGICIDE TEST I | 63 |
|--------------------------------|----|
| ADAMA FUNGICIDE TEST II | 66 |
| FMC EXPERIMENTAL RROGRAMS TEST | 69 |
| FMC FUNGICIDE RROGRAMS TEST | 71 |
| ISK TEST | 75 |
| DAILY RAINFALL + IRRIGATION | 77 |

NORTH ORCHARD PONDER FARM

| PECAN FUNGICIDE TEST I, WICHITA | 78 |
|-----------------------------------|----|
| PECAN FUNGICIDE TEST I, DESIRABLE | 81 |
| MISCELLANEOUS FUNGICIDE TEST I | 84 |
| MISCELLANEOUS FUNGICIDE TEST II | 86 |
| KPHITE TIMING TEST | 88 |
| DAILY RAINFALL | 90 |

SOUTH ORCHARD PONDER FARM

| PECAN FUNGICIDE TEST II, DESIRABLE | 1 |
|------------------------------------|---|
| DAILY RAINFALL | 4 |

FMC NEMATODE TEST, 2023

A. PURPOSE: To evaluate management programs for peanut root knot nematodes.

B. EXPERIMENTAL DESIGN:

- 1. Randomized complete blocks with five replicates.
- 2. One two-row bed (25ft x 6ft) per plot, 36-inch row spacing.
- 3. There are eight-foot alleyways between blocks.
- 4. Plots were established in an area of continuous peanut production.
- 5. Variety: GA-06G and TifNV-HG

C. APPLICATION OF TREATMENTS:

- 1. Equipment: In furrow sprays applied at 18 PSI going 3.3 MPH in 3.4 GPA using a CO2 unit with one 80015 flat fan tip per row and 50 mesh ball check screens. Cover sprays applied at 32 PSI going 4.3 MPH in 19.7 GPA using six TX-12 tips and 50 mesh ball check screens.
- Treatment sprays: In furrow sprays were applied at planting on May 4. Plots were cover sprayed with Chlorothalonil (1.5 pts/a) on June 8, June 22, July 5, July 19, Aug. 8, Aug. 16, and Aug. 30, and Elatus (9 oz/a) was sprayed on July 5, July 19, and Aug. 8.

| 1. | Location: | Blackshank Farm, Pond Field, Tifton, GA 31794 |
|----|-------------------|--|
| 2. | Land Preparation: | Fertilizer (5-15-30) was broadcast at 600 lb/a, field was deep turned, beds marked 6 ft, and fertilizer turned under on Apr. 11. |
| 3. | Soil Fertility: | pH: 5.94 P: 30.18 K: 14.55 Ca: 175.8 Mg: 8.97 |
| | Soil type: | Tifton loamy sand, $2 - 5\%$ slope. |
| 4. | Herbicides: | PPI: Tank mix of Sonalan (1 qt/a) + Dual Magnum (1.3 pt/a) + Strongarm (0.45 oz/a) on Apr. 19. Rototilled to incorporate. |
| 5. | Insecticides: | None. |
| 6. | Planting Info: | GA-06G + TifNV-HG, 6.6 seed/ft (2" deep) on May 4. |
| 7. | Harvest Dates: | Dug – Oct. 2 Picked – Oct. 5 |

Peanut has been cultivated in this field for many years. The lack of crop rotation and the sandy soil is conducive to root knot nematodes, however over the years a high population of *Pasteuria penetrans* has developed and virtually wiped out the *Meloidogyne arenaria*, as evidenced by the extremely low numbers of juveniles and the lack of galling, even in the nontreated plots. Even though fertilizer has been applied each year, some elements are still low and overall plant growth was very poor. This field will no longer be used for peanut field trials, and other than data on plant stands and TSWV, there is little to be learnd regarding nematode control.

| | | FM | | TODE TEST | <u>, 2023</u> | | | | |
|----------------------------------|------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|----------------------------|-------------------|-----------------------------|-----------|-------------------|-------|
| | | BLA | CKSHANI | K FARM, POND | FIELD | | | | |
| | | | | | | | Root | | |
| | | | Plant/ft ¹ | % Dead Plants ² | TSWV ³ | Galling ⁴ | Knot⁵ | Ring ⁶ | Yield |
| Treatment | App's | Rate/A | 26-May | 26-May | 22-Aug | 2-Oct | 7-Sep | 7-Sep | lb/A |
| <u>GA-06G</u> | | | | | | | | | |
| 1. Nontreated | - | - | 3.7 | 0.0 | 29.2 | 2.4 | 0.8 | 72.0 | 505 |
| 2. Zironar | In furrow | 12.0 fl oz | 3.8 | 0.0 | 35.6 | 0.0 | 2.0 | 104.4 | 468 |
| + Velum | | 6.84 fl oz | | | | | | | |
| 3. Zironar | In furrow | 15.0 fl oz | 3.8 | 0.0 | 31.2 | 1.0 | 8.4 | 76.8 | 558 |
| + Velum | | 6.84 fl oz | | | | | | | |
| 4. Zironar LFR | In furrow | 12.0 fl oz | 3.8 | 0.0 | 28.0 | 0.6 | 1.4 | 61.2 | 518 |
| + Velum | | 6.84 fl oz | | | | | | | |
| 5. Zironar LFR | In furrow | 15.0 fl oz | 3.6 | 0.0 | 23.2 | 0.0 | 14.8 | 64.0 | 555 |
| + Velum | | 6.84 fl oz | | | | | | | |
| 6. Velum | In furrow | 6.84 fl oz | 3.9 | 0.0 | 19.6 | 0.0 | 3.2 | 50.4 | 490 |
| TifNV-HG | | | | | | | | | |
| 7. Nontreated | - | - | 4.0 | 0.0 | 15.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 106.6 | 678 |
| LSD(P<0.05) | - | - | 0.4 | N. S. | 13.0 | 2.1 | 10.9 | N. S. | 180 |
| In furrow applicat | ions applied in | 3.4 GPA sing | les. | | | | | | |
| Plant/ft ¹ = Stand c | ount is the nun | nber of emer | ged plants p | per foot of row. | | | | | |
| % Dead Plants ² =T | | | | | | | | | |
| TSWV ³ =Percent of | | | | | | | | | |
| Galling ⁴ = Visual ra | | | | • | e damage | from root | -knot nem | atode. | |
| Root-knot ⁵ = Num | per of <i>M. arena</i> | <i>ria</i> juvenile p | oer 100 cc c | of soil. | | | | | |
| Ring ⁶ = Population | of ring nemato | des per 100 | cc of soil. | | | | | | |

IN FURROW MIX TEST II, 2023

A. PURPOSE: To evaluate the comparative efficacy of labeled in furrow fungicides on untreated, compromised seed.

B. EXPERIMENTAL DESIGN:

- 1. Randomized complete blocks with five replicates.
- 2. One two-row bed (25ft x 6ft) per plot, 36-inch row spacing.
- 3. There are eight-foot alleyways between blocks.
- 4. Plots were established in an area of continuous peanut production.
- 5. Variety: Untreated compromised GA-06G (lot #6832, 69% germ w/ Rancona) 42% A. niger and 54% Rhizopus in nontreated seed

C. APPLICATION OF TREATMENTS:

- 1. Equipment: In furrow sprays applied at 18 PSI going 3.3 MPH in 3.4 GPA using a CO2 unit with one 80015 flat fan tip per row and 50 mesh ball check screens. Cover sprays applied at 32 PSI going 4.3 MPH in 19.7 GPA using six TX-12 tips and 50 mesh ball check screens.
- 2. Treatment sprays: In furrow sprays applied at planting on May 26.
- 3. Cover Sprays: Plots were cover sprayed with Chlorothalonil (1.5 pts/a) on June 27, July 12, July 27, Aug. 8, Aug. 23, Sep. 5, and Sep. 19, and Elatus (9 oz/a) on July 27, Aug. 8, and Aug. 23.

| 1. Location: | Blackshank Farm, Pond Field, Tifton, GA 31794 |
|----------------------|--|
| 2. Land Preparation: | Fertilizer (5-15-30) was broadcast at 600 lb/a, field was deep turned, beds marked 6 ft, and fertilizer turned under on Apr. 11. |
| 3. Soil Fertility: | pH: 5.94 P: 30.18 K: 14.55 Ca: 175.8 Mg: 8.97 |
| Soil type: | Tifton loamy sand, $2 - 5\%$ slope. |
| 4. Herbicides: | PPI: Tank mix of Sonalan (1 qt/a) + Dual Magnum (1.3 pt/a) + Strongarm (0.45 oz/a) on Apr. 19. Rototilled to incorporate. |
| 5. Insecticides: | None. |
| 6. Planting Info: | GA-06G, 6.6 seed/ft (2" deep) on May 26. |

7. Harvest Dates:

E: SUMMARY:

Following planting, the test site experienced heavy rain and cold soil temperatures that were very challenging for plant stand establishment, especially considering the poor quality of seed used. The seed were selected to insure disease pressure and separation of effective end ineffective treatments, but the combination of poor seed and highly stressed environment created a real acid test for seed/seedling diseases. It should be noted that these conditions are very unfavorable for development of *Aspergillus spp*. which have been the primary pathogens in recent years. There was some Aspergillus crown rot (*Aspergillus niger*) which was responsible for the "Dead plant" ratings, and the Velum in furrow was highly active as we have seen previously. Overall, plant growth and yields were very low.

| | | IN FUF | ROW | MIX TES | ST II, 2 | 023 | | | |
|----------------------------------|----------------------|-------------------------|-----------------------|-------------|-----------|----------------------------|--------|--------|-------|
| | | BLACK | SHANK | FARM, PO | OND FIE | LD | | | |
| Seed | | | Plant/ft ¹ | | % | % Dead Plants ² | | | Yield |
| Treatment | In furow | Rate/A | 9-Jun | 20-Jun | 9-Jun | 20-Jun | 29-Jun | 29-Sep | lb/A |
| 1. None | None | - | 0.6 | 0.5 | 0.0 | 2.7 | 13.8 | 0.9 | 99 |
| 2. None | Velum | 4.3 fl oz | 1.7 | 1.6 | 0.0 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 1.0 | 192 |
| 3. None | Abound | 6.0 fl oz | 1.8 | 1.4 | 1.0 | 5.9 | 8.9 | 1.2 | 194 |
| 4. None | Kphite | 32.0 fl oz | 1.7 | 1.7 | 0.3 | 2.6 | 6.0 | 1.5 | 189 |
| 5. None | Velum | 4.3 fl oz | 2.1 | 1.8 | 0.2 | 1.6 | 2.7 | 1.5 | 204 |
| | + Abound + Kphite | 6.0 fl oz 32.0 fl oz | | | | | | | |
| 6. Rancona VPD* | None | - | 2.2 | 2.1 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 1.6 | 236 |
| LSD(P<0.05) | - | - | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.7 | 3.5 | 5.0 | N. S. | 63 |
| * Rancona VPD ap | plied at 4 oz/1 | 00 lb. | | | | | | | |
| Seed used was unt | reated compro | omised seed f | rom Premi | um (GA-06 | G Lot 683 | 2). | | | |
| Seed used was unt | reated GA-060 | G from Olam I | Lot 677. | | | | | | |
| Plant/ft ¹ = Stand co | ount is the nur | nber of emerg | ged plants | per foot of | row. | | | | |
| % Dead Plants ² =Th | | • | | , | • | | | | |
| Roots/ft ³ =Number | of tap roots p | er foot of row | after the | plots were | inverted. | | | | |

KANNAR NEMATODE TEST, 2023

- A. PURPOSE: To evaluate the comparative efficacy of labeled and experimental nematicides applied for the control of nematodes.
- B. EXPERIMENTAL DESIGN:
 - 1. Randomized complete blocks with five replicates.
 - 2. One two-row bed (25ft x 6ft) per plot, 36-inch row spacing.
 - 3. There are eight-foot alleyways between blocks.
 - 4. Plots were established in an area of continuous peanut production.
 - 5. Variety: GA-06G
- C. APPLICATION OF TREATMENTS:
 - 1. Equipment: In furrow sprays applied at 18 PSI going 3.3 MPH in 3.4 GPA using a CO2 unit with one 80015 flat fan tip per row and 50 mesh ball check screens. Cover sprays applied at 32 PSI going 4.3 MPH in 19.7 GPA using six TX-12 tips and 50 mesh ball check screens.
 - 2. Treatment prays: In furrow sprays applied at planting on May 15.
 - 3. Cover Sprays: Plots were cover sprayed with Chlorothalonil (1.5 pts/a) on June 19, July 5, July 19, Aug. 7, Aug. 16, Aug. 30, and Sep. 11.

| 1. | Location: | Blackshank Farm, Pond Field, Tifton, GA 31794 | | | | | | |
|----|-------------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| 2. | Land Preparation: | Fertilizer (5-15-30) was broadcast at 600 lb/a, field was deep turned, beds marked 6 ft, and fertilizer turned under on Apr. 11. | | | | | | |
| 3. | Soil Fertility: | pH: 5.94 P: 30.18 K: 14.55 Ca: 175.8 Mg: 8.97 | | | | | | |
| | Soil type: | Tifton loamy sand, $2 - 5\%$ slope. | | | | | | |
| 4. | Herbicides: | PPI: Tank mix of Sonalan (1 qt/a) + Dual Magnum (1.3 pt/a) + Strongarm (0.45 oz/a) on Apr. 19. Rototilled to incorporate. | | | | | | |
| 5. | Insecticides: | None. | | | | | | |
| 6. | Planting Info: | GA-06G, 6.6 seed/ft (2" deep) on May 15. | | | | | | |
| 7. | Harvest Dates: | Dug – Oct. 2 Picked – Oct. 6 | | | | | | |

Peanut has been cultivated in this field for many years. The lack of crop rotation and the sandy soil is conducive to root knot nematodes, however over the years a high population of *Pasteuria penetrans* has developed and virtually wiped out the *Meloidogyne arenaria*, as evidenced by the extremely low numbers of juveniles and the lack of galling, even in the nontreated plots. Even though fertilizer has been applied each year, some elements are still low and overall plant growth was poor. There was significant white mold present and there was no effect of the treatments on this disease.

| | | KANNA | R NEMA | TODE 1 | Г <u>ЕST, 2</u> | 023 | | | | |
|--|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------------|---------------------|-------------------|-----------------------------|---------|-------------------|-------------------|-------|
| | | BLACI | (SHANK F | ARM, PO | ND FIELD |) | | | | |
| | | | | % Dead | | | | Root | | |
| | | | Plant/ft ¹ | Plants ² | TSWV ³ | Galling ⁴ | WM⁵ | Knot ⁶ | Ring ⁷ | Yield |
| Treatment | App's | Rate/A | 1-Jun | 1-Jun | 22-Aug | 2-Oct | 4-Oct | 24-Aug | 24-Aug | lb/A |
| 1. Untreated | | | 2.6 | 0.0 | 18.8 | 0.0 | 40.8 | 2.6 | 94.6 | 1255 |
| 2. К-357 | In Furrow | 5.0 fl oz | 2.7 | 0.0 | 15.6 | 0.0 | 37.2 | 2.6 | 62.6 | 1019 |
| 3. Yellow Nematicide 2.0 | In Furrow | 32.0 fl oz | 2.8 | 0.0 | 16.0 | 0.0 | 38.4 | 3.6 | 50.0 | 1045 |
| 4. Blue Nematicide 2.0 | In Furrow | 32.0 fl oz | 2.7 | 0.0 | 14.0 | 0.0 | 41.6 | 3.4 | 79.0 | 1084 |
| 5. Velum | In Furrow | 6.5 fl oz | 2.6 | 0.0 | 11.2 | 0.0 | 33.2 | 9.0 | 27.6 | 1291 |
| 6. Nematicide O | In Furrow | 5.0 fl oz | 2.7 | 0.0 | 22.4 | 0.0 | 35.2 | 2.0 | 54.6 | 1019 |
| 7. Yellow Nematicide 2.0 | In Furrow | 16.0 fl oz | 3.0 | 0.0 | 17.2 | 0.0 | 42.8 | 2.2 | 61.0 | 1000 |
| + Blue Nematicide 2.0 LSD(P<0.05) | - | 16.0 fl oz - | 0.3 | N. S. | 10.7 | N. S. | 9.1 | N. S. | N. S. | N. S. |
| Plant/ft ¹ = Stand count is the | number of em | erged plants | per foot of | row. | | | | 1 | | |
| % Dead Plants ² =The % of em | | | • | | | | | | | |
| TSWV ³ =Percent of row feet i | | | | • |) per plot. | | | | | |
| Galling ⁴ = Visual rating of the | e percent of po | ds and roots | (1-100) with | n visible da | mage fron | n root-knot | nematod | le. | | |
| White Mold ⁵ =Percent of row | | | | | - | | | | | |
| Root-knot ⁶ = Number of <i>M. a</i> | | | | | , , | | | | | |
| Ring ⁷ = Population of ring ne | matodes per 10 | 00 cc of soil. | | | | | | | | |

PROFARM NEMATODE TEST, 2023

- A. PURPOSE: To evaluate the comparative efficacy of labeled and experimental nematicides applied for the control of nematodes.
- B. EXPERIMENTAL DESIGN:
 - 1. Randomized complete blocks with five replicates.
 - 2. One two-row bed (25ft x 6ft) per plot, 36-inch row spacing.
 - 3. There are eight-foot alleyways between blocks.
 - 4. Plots were established in an area of continuous peanut production.
 - 5. Variety: GA-06G and TifNV-HiOL

C. APPLICATION OF TREATMENTS:

- 1. Equipment: In furrow sprays applied at 18 PSI going 3.3 MPH in 3.4 GPA using a CO2 unit with one 80015 flat fan tip per row and 50 mesh ball check screens. Cover sprays applied at 32 PSI going 4.3 MPH in 19.7 GPA using six TX-12 tips and 50 mesh ball check screens.
- 2. Treatment sprays: In furrow sprays applied at planting on May 4, and 30 DAP applications applied on June 2.
- 3. Cover Sprays: Plots were cover sprayed with Chlorothalonil (1.5 pts/a) on June 8, June 22, July 5, July 19, Aug. 8, Aug. 16, and Aug. 30, and Elatus (9 oz/a) on July 5, July 19, and Aug. 8.

D. ADDITIONAL INFORMATION:

| 1. Location: | Blackshank Farm, Po | nd Field, Tifton, GA 31794 | | | |
|----------------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| 2. Land Preparation: | | vas broadcast at 600 lb/a, , beds marked 6 ft, and r on Apr. 11. | | | |
| 3. Soil Fertility: | pH: 5.94 P: 30.18 I | K: 14.55 Ca: 175.8 Mg: 8.97 | | | |
| Soil type: | Tifton loamy sand, 2 | – 5% slope. | | | |
| 4. Herbicides: | | nalan (1 qt/a) + Dual Magnum n (0.45 oz/a) on Apr. 19. rate. | | | |
| 5. Insecticides: | None. | | | | |
| 6. Planting Info: | GA-06G and TifNV-HiOL 6.6 seed/ft (2" deep) on May 4. | | | | |
| 7. Harvest Dates: | Dug-Oct. 2 | Picked – Oct. 5 | | | |

E: SUMMARY:

Peanut has been cultivated in this field for many years. The lack of crop rotation and the sandy soil is conducive to root knot nematodes, however over the years a high population of *Pasteuria penetrans* has developed and virtually wiped out the *Meloidogyne arenaria*, as evidenced by the low numbers of juveniles and the minimal root and pod galling, even in the nontreated plots. There were some differences in treatments, with the most obvious being the reduced damage and higher yields with the nematode-resistant cultivar, TifNV-HiOL.

| | <u>PR</u> | OFARM | <u>1 NEMA</u> | TODE T | <u>EST, 20</u> | <u>23</u> | | | |
|-------------------------------------|-----------------------|-------------|-----------------------|---------------------|----------------|-----------------------------|-----------|-------------------|-------|
| | | BLACKS | HANK FA | RM, PON | D FIELD | | | | |
| | | | | % Dead | | | Root | | |
| | | | Plant/ft ¹ | Plants ² | TSWV³ | Galling ⁴ | Knot⁵ | Ring ⁶ | Yield |
| Treatments | App's | Rate/A | 26-May | 26-May | 22-Aug | 2-Oct | 7-Sep | 7-Sep | lb/A |
| <u>GA-06G</u> | | | | | | | | | |
| 1. Nontreated | | | 3.9 | 0.1 | 34.0 | 12.6 | 89.8 | 114.2 | 1607 |
| 2. Velum | In Furrow | 6.5 fl oz | 4.0 | 0.0 | 30.0 | 4.4 | 110.0 | 140.4 | 1988 |
| 3. MBI-306 | In Furrow | 20.0 fl oz | 3.8 | 0.0 | 32.0 | 12.6 | 117.4 | 117.8 | 1725 |
| 4. MBI-306 | In Furrow | 20.0 fl oz | - | - | 32.4 | 7.8 | 38.8 | 188.0 | 1622 |
| MBI-306 | 30 DAP, 8 " Band* | 20.0 fl oz | | | | | | | |
| 5. MBI-306 | 30 DAP, 8 " Band* | 20.0 fl oz | - | - | 35.2 | 7.0 | 149.6 | 107.8 | 1487 |
| TifNV-HiOL | | | | | | | | | |
| 6. Nontreated | | | 4.1 | 0.0 | 14.4 | 2.6 | 4.6 | 109.2 | 2499 |
| LSD(P<0.05) | - | - | N. S. | N. S. | 9.6 | 5.9 | 89.2 | N. S. | 438 |
| *The 30 DAP banded | d spray was in 20 GP | Α. | | | | | | | |
| Plant/ft ¹ = Stand cou | nt is the number of e | emerged pla | ants per foo | t of row. | | | | | |
| % Dead Plants ² =The | % of emerged plant | s that were | dead or dyi | ng per plot. | | | | | |
| TSWV ³ =Percent of ro | ow feet infectd base | d on diseas | e loci (up to | 12" linear i | row) per plo | ot. | | | |
| Galling ⁴ = Visual ratir | | | | | | | ot nemato | de. | |
| Root-knot ⁵ = Number | | | | | | | | | |
| Ring ⁶ = Population of | | • | | | | | | | |

VALENT NEMATODE TEST, 2023

- A. PURPOSE: To evaluate the comparative efficacy of labeled and experimental nematicides applied for the control of nematodes.
- B. EXPERIMENTAL DESIGN:
 - 1. Randomized complete blocks with five replicates.
 - 2. One two-row bed (25ft x 6ft) per plot, 36-inch row spacing.
 - 3. There are eight-foot alleyways between blocks.
 - 4. Plots were established in an area of continuous peanut production.
 - 5. Variety: GA-06G

C. APPLICATION OF TREATMENTS:

- 1. Equipment: In furrow sprays applied at 18 PSI going 3.3 MPH in 3.4 GPA using a CO2 unit with one 80015 flat fan tip per row and 50 mesh ball check screens. Cover sprays applied at 32 PSI going 4.3 MPH in 19.7 GPA using six TX-12 tips and 50 mesh ball check screens.
- 2. Treatment sprays: In furrow sprays applied at planting on May 4.
- 3. Cover Sprays: Plots were cover sprayed with Chlorothalonil (1.5 pts/a) on June 8, June 22, July 5, July 19, Aug. 8, Aug. 16, and Aug. 30, and Elatus (9 oz/a) was sprayed on July 5, July 19, and Aug. 8.

D. ADDITIONAL INFORMATION:

| 1. Location: | Blackshank Farm, Pond Field, Tifton, GA 31794 | | | | | |
|----------------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| 2. Land Preparation: | Fertilizer (5-15-30) was broadcast at 600 lb/a, field was deep turned, beds marked 6 ft, and fertilizer turned under on Apr. 11. | | | | | |
| 3. Soil Fertility: | pH: 5.94 P: 30.18 K: 14.55 Ca: 175.8 Mg: 8.97 | | | | | |
| Soil type: | Tifton loamy sand, $2 - 5\%$ slope. | | | | | |
| 4. Herbicides: | PPI: Tank mix of Sonalan (1 qt/a) + Dual Magnum (1.3 pt/a) + Strongarm (0.45 oz/a) on Apr. 19. Rototilled to incorporate. | | | | | |
| 5. Insecticides: | None. | | | | | |
| 6. Planting Info: | GA-06G, 6.6 seed/ft (2" deep) on May 4. | | | | | |
| 7. Harvest Dates: | Dug – Oct. 2 Picked – Oct. 5 | | | | | |

E: SUMMARY:

Peanut has been cultivated in this field for many years. The lack of crop rotation and the sandy soil is conducive to root knot nematodes, however over the years a high population of *Pasteuria penetrans* has developed and virtually wiped out the *Meloidogyne arenaria*, as evidenced by the low numbers of juveniles and the minimal root and pod galling, even in the nontreated plots. While there were some treatment effects on intensity of galling, this level of damage was insufficient to impact yield.

| | | VALE | | 1ATODE | TEST, 2 | 2023 | | | |
|---------------------------------|----------------|--------------|-----------------------|---------------------|--------------|-------------------|-------------------|----------------------|-------|
| | | BLA | ACKSHAN | K FARM, F | POND FIE | LD | | | |
| | | | | % Dead | | Root | | | |
| | | | Plant/ft ¹ | Plants ² | TSWV³ | Knot ⁴ | Ring ⁵ | Galling ⁶ | Yield |
| Treatments | App's | Rate/A | 26-May | 26-May | 22-Aug | 24-Aug | 24-Aug | 28-Sep | lb/A |
| 1. Admire Pro | In Furrow | 8.5 fl oz | 3.7 | 0.1 | 30.4 | 22.0 | 23.2 | 4.2 | 1015 |
| 2. VBC-90063B | In Furrow | 8.0 fl oz | 4.1 | 0.1 | 24.0 | 11.2 | 4.8 | 1.6 | 986 |
| + Admire Pro | | 8.5 fl oz | | | | | | | |
| 3. Velum | In Furrow | 6.5 fl oz | 3.9 | 0.0 | 22.8 | 8.2 | 4.8 | 1.6 | 1199 |
| + Admire Pro | | 8.5 fl oz | | | | | | | |
| 4. VBC-90062B | In Furrow | 8.0 fl oz | 3.9 | 0.0 | 30.8 | 25.6 | 4.0 | 2.6 | 1141 |
| + Admire Pro | | 8.5 fl oz | | | | | | | |
| 5. VBC-90062B | In Furrow | 10.0 fl oz | 3.9 | 0.1 | 24.4 | 25.6 | 6.0 | 0.4 | 1015 |
| + Admire Pro | | 8.5 fl oz | | | | | | | |
| LSD(P<0.05) | - | - | 0.4 | N. S. | 10.9 | N. S. | 12.7 | 2.9 | N. S. |
| Plant/ft ¹ = Stand o | count is the n | umber of er | nerged plan | ts per foot o | of row. | | | | |
| % Dead Plants ² =T | he % of eme | rged plants | that were d | ead or dying | g per plot. | | | | |
| TSWV ³ =Percent o | f row feet inf | ectd based | on disease | loci (up to 1 | 2" linear ro | w) per plo | ot. | | |
| Root-knot ⁴ = Num | | | • | | | | | | |
| Ring ⁵ = Population | - | | | | | | | | |
| Galling ⁶ = Visual r | ating of the p | percent of p | ods and roo | ts (1-100) w | ith visible | damage fr | om root-kr | not nemator | de. |

DAILY RAINFALL, 2023

BLACKSHANK FARM, POND FIELD

| DATE | Mar | Apr | May | June | July | Aug | Sep | Oct |
|--------------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|
| 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.10 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 3 | 0.60 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.25 | 1.25 | 0 | 0 |
| 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.75 | 0 | 0 |
| 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 8 | 0 | 1.50 | 0 | 0.05 | 0 | 1.50 | 0 | 0 |
| 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.10 | 1.05 | 0.25 | 0 | 0 |
| 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1.00 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 11 | 0 | 0 | 0.10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 12 | 0.75 | 0 | 1.25 | 0.20 | 0 | 0 | 0.80 | 1.25 |
| 13 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.30 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 14 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.20 | 0 | 0.20 | 0 | 0 |
| 15 | 0 | 0.30 | 0 | 0.20 | 0.80 | 0.10 | 0 | 0 |
| 16 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 17 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.25 | 0 | 0 | 0.25 | 0 |
| 18 | 1.00 | 0 | 0 | 0.90 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 19 | 0 | 0 | 0.50 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 20 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1.20 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 21 | 0 | 0 | 0.25 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 22 | 0 | 0 | 0.50 | 0.20 | 2.00 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 23 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.80 | 0.50 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 24 | 0 | 0 | 0.01 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 25 | 0.50 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 26 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1.25 | 0 |
| 27 | 0.25 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 28 | 0.75 | 2.25 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 29 | 0 | 0.30 | 0 | 0 | 0.50 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 30 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2.00 | 0 | 0 |
| 31 | 0 | 0 | 0.30 | 0 | 0 | 0.75 | 0 | 0 |
| 「AL (inches) | 3.85 | 4.35 | 2.90 | 4.35 | 6.20 | 6.80 | 2.30 | 1.25 |

NEMATODE CULTIVAR TEST, 2023

- A. PURPOSE: To evaluate the comparative susceptibility of peanut cultivars with and without in furrow treatments to major peanut diseases.
- B. EXPERIMENTAL DESIGN:
 - 1. Randomized complete blocks with five replicates.
 - 2. One two-row bed (25ft x 6ft) per plot, 36-inch row spacing.
 - 3. There are eight-foot alleyways between blocks.
 - 4. Plots were established in an area of continuous peanut production.
 - 5. Variety: Commercial cultivars

C. APPLICATION OF TREATMENTS:

- 1. Equipment: In furrow sprays applied at 18 PSI going 3.3 MPH in 3.4 GPA using a CO2 unit with one 80015 flat fan tip per row and 50 mesh ball check screens. Cover sprays applied at 32 PSI going 4.3 MPH in 19.7 GPA using six TX-12 tips and 50 mesh ball check screens.
- 2. Treatment sprays: In furrow sprays were applied at planting on May 15.
- 3. Cover Sprays: Plots were cover sprayed with Chlorothalonil (1.5 pts/a) on June 19, July 5, July 19, Aug. 7, Aug. 16, Aug. 30, and Sep. 11.

| 1. | Location: | Blackshank Farm, Woods Field, Tifton, GA 31794 |
|----|-------------------|--|
| 2. | Land Preparation: | Fertilizer (5-15-30) was broadcast at 600 lb/a, field was deep turned, beds marked 6 ft, and fertilizer turned under on Apr. 11. |
| 3. | Soil Fertility: | pH: 6.34 P: 50.26 K: 25.53 Ca: 234.1 Mg: 10.71 |
| | Soil type: | Tifton loamy sand, $2 - 5\%$ slope. |
| 4. | Herbicides: | PPI: Tank mix of Sonalan (1 qt/a) + Dual Magnum (1.3 pt/a) + Strongarm (0.45 oz/a) on Apr. 19. Rototilled to incorporate. |
| 5. | Insecticides: | None. |
| 6. | Planting Info: | Commercial cultivars, 6.6 seed/ft (2" deep) on May 15. |
| 7. | Harvest Dates: | Dug – Oct. 5 Picked – Oct. 9 |

Peanut has been cultivated in this field for many years. The lack of crop rotation and the sandy soil is conducive to root knot nematodes, however over the years a high population of *Pasteuria penetrans* has developed and virtually wiped out the *Meloidogyne arenaria*, as evidenced by the low numbers of juveniles and the minimal root and pod galling, even in the nontreated plots. The deep sand and lower fertility reduced the yield potential, but the primary benefit of this trial would be a comparison of these new nematode-resistant cultivars in a low yield situation where root knot nematodes are not a factor. With very little seedling disease or nematode pressure, there was no benefit of applying the Velum in furrow.

| BLACKSHANK, WOODS FIELD | | | | | | | |
|-------------------------|-----------|------------|-------------------|----------------------|-------------------|--------------------------|-------|
| | | | K, WOO | | Root | | |
| | | | TSWV ¹ | Galling ² | Knot ³ | Ring ⁴ | Yield |
| Cultivar | In Furrow | Rate/A | 22-Aug | 5-Oct | 24-Aug | 24-Aug | lb/A |
| 1. Georgia-22MPR | Velum | 6.84 fl oz | 16.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 79.2 | 1985 |
| 2. Georgia-22MPR | None | - | 16.8 | 0.0 | 2.0 | 113.4 | 2046 |
| 3. TifNV-HG | Velum | 6.84 fl oz | 14.8 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 60.2 | 2187 |
| 4. TifNV-HG | None | - | 11.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 25.8 | 1893 |
| 5. Tif-Jumbo | Velum | 6.84 fl oz | 18.4 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 32.2 | 2189 |
| 6. Tif-Jumbo | None | - | 16.8 | 0.0 | 1.4 | 81.8 | 2417 |
| 7. TifNV-HiOL | Velum | 6.84 fl oz | 14.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 117.8 | 2010 |
| 8. TifNV-HiOL | None | - | 19.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 84.4 | 2003 |
| 9. GA-06G | Velum | 6.84 fl oz | 21.0 | 2.0 | 1.8 | 31.0 | 1679 |
| 10. GA-06G | None | - | 28.4 | 1.2 | 3.8 | 162.6 | 1618 |
| LSD(P<0.05) | | | 8.2 | 0.8 | 2.9 | 87.9 | 346 |

TSWV¹=Percent of row feet infectd based on disease loci (up to 12" linear row) per plot.

Galling² = Visual rating of the percent of pods and roots (1-100) with visible damage from root-knot nematode.

Root-knot³ = Number of *M. arenaria* juvenile per 100 cc of soil. Ring⁴ = Population of ring nematodes per 100 cc of soil.

| | B | | IANK FA | RM W | | IFID | | |
|---------------|------|-----------|---------|------|------|------|------|------|
| | | 2/ (0)(0) | | , | | | | |
| DATE | Mar | Apr | May | June | July | Aug | Sep | Oct |
| 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.10 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 3 | 0.60 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.25 | 1.25 | 0 | 0 |
| 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.75 | 0 | 0 |
| 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 8 | 0 | 1.50 | 0 | 0.05 | 0 | 1.50 | 0 | 0 |
| 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.10 | 1.05 | 0.25 | 0 | 0 |
| 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1.00 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 11 | 0 | 0 | 0.10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 12 | 0.75 | 0 | 1.25 | 0.20 | 0 | 0 | 0.80 | 1.25 |
| 13 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.30 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 14 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.20 | 0 | 0.20 | 0 | 0 |
| 15 | 0 | 0.30 | 0 | 0.20 | 0.80 | 0.10 | 0 | 0 |
| 16 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 17 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.25 | 0 | 0 | 0.25 | 0 |
| 18 | 1.00 | 0 | 0 | 0.90 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 19 | 0 | 0 | 0.50 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 20 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1.20 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 21 | 0 | 0 | 0.25 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 22 | 0 | 0 | 0.50 | 0.20 | 2.00 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 23 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.80 | 0.50 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 24 | 0 | 0 | 0.01 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 25 | 0.50 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 26 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1.25 | 0 |
| 27 | 0.25 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 28 | 0.75 | 2.25 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 29 | 0 | 0.30 | 0 | 0 | 0.50 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 30 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2.00 | 0 | 0 |
| 31 | 0 | 0 | 0.30 | 0 | 0 | 0.75 | 0 | 0 |
| OTAL (inches) | 3.85 | 4.35 | 2.90 | 4.35 | 6.20 | 6.80 | 2.30 | 1.25 |

BASF NEMATODE TEST, 2023

- A. PURPOSE: To evaluate seed treatment management for peanut nematodes.
- B. EXPERIMENTAL DESIGN:
 - 1. Randomized complete blocks with six replicates.
 - 2. One two-row bed (20ft x 6ft) per plot, 36-inch row spacing.
 - 3. There are eight-foot alleyways between blocks.
 - 4. Plots were established in an area of continuous peanut production.
 - 5. Variety: GA-06G
- C. APPLICATION OF TREATMENTS:
 - 1. Equipment: In furrow spray applied at 18 PSI going 3.3 MPH in 3.4 GPA using a CO2 unit with one 80015 flat fan tip per row and 50 mesh ball check screens. Cover sprays applied at 32 PSI going 4.3 MPH in 19.7 GPA using six TX-12 tips and 50 mesh ball check screens.
 - 2. Treatment sprays: In furrow spray was applied at planting on May 15.
 - Cover Sprays: Plots were cover sprayed with Chlorothalonil (1.5 pts/a) on June 19, July 5, July 19, Aug. 7, Aug. 16, Aug. 30, and Sep. 11, and Elatus (9 oz/a) was sprayed on July 19, Aug. 7, and Aug. 16.

| 1. | Location: | Blackshank Farm, Irr/Non Field, Tifton, GA 31794 |
|----|-------------------|--|
| 2. | Land Preparation: | Fertilizer (5-15-30) was broadcast at 600 lb/a, field was deep turned, beds marked 6 ft, and fertilizer turned under on Apr. 11. |
| 3. | Soil Fertility: | pH: 5.94 P: 28.18 K: 40.64 Ca: 267.7 Mg: 21.52 |
| | Soil type: | Tifton loamy sand, $2 - 5\%$ slope. |
| 4. | Herbicides: | PPI: Tank mix of Sonalan (1 qt/a) + Dual Magnum (1.3 pt/a) + Strongarm (0.45 oz/a) on Apr. 8. Rototilled to incorporate. |
| 5. | Insecticides: | None. |
| 6. | Planting Info: | GA-06G, 6.6 seed/ft (2" deep) on May 15. |
| 7. | Harvest Dates: | Dug – Oct. 6 Picked – Oct. 10 |

There were significant levels of galling from root knot nematodes as well as from populations of J2's in the soil late season. There were some differences among treatments for both parameters as well as yield, but yield was not highly correlated with galling, indicating that other factors may have been involved.

| | | BAS | F NEMA | TODE T | 'EST, 20 |)23 | | | |
|---|-----------------------|---------------------|-----------------------|-------------------------------|-------------------|----------------------|---------------------------|-------------------|-------|
| | _ | BLAC | KSHANK F | ARM, IRF | R/NON F | ELD | | | |
| | | | Plant/ft ¹ | % Dead Plants ² | TSWV ³ | Galling ⁴ | Root Knot ⁵ | Ring ⁶ | Yield |
| Treatment | App's | Rate/A | 1-Jun | 1-Jun | 21-Aug | 5-Oct | 7-Sep | 7-Sep | lb/A |
| 1. Treatment 1 | Seed Trt | - | 3.1 | 0.0 | 24.2 | 28.3 | 68.3 | 135.7 | 5803 |
| 2. Treatment 2 | Seed Trt | - | 2.9 | 0.0 | 18.8 | 20.5 | 547.5 | 190.2 | 6057 |
| 3. Treatment 3 | Seed Trt | - | 3.1 | 0.0 | 20.4 | 22.5 | 172.8 | 347.7 | 5663 |
| 4. Treatment 1 | Seed Trt | - | | 0.0 | 18.3 | 13.5 | 262.8 | 385.3 | 5282 |
| + Velum | In Furrow | 6.5 fl oz | 3.2 | | | | | | |
| LSD(P<0.05) | | | N. S. | N. S. | N. S. | 10.2 | 275.4 | 166.9 | 739 |
| Plant/ft ¹ = Stand c % Dead Plants ² =Th | | | | | | | | | |
| TSWV ³ =Percent of | f row feet infe | ctd based on | disease loci | (up to 12" li | near row) p | per plot. | | | |
| Galling ⁴ = Visual ra | ting of the per | cent of pods | and roots (1 | -100) with v | isible dama | age from ro | ot-knot ne | matode. | |
| Root-knot ⁵ = Num | ber of <i>M. arei</i> | <i>naria</i> juveni | le per 100 c | c of soil. | | | | | |
| Ring ⁶ = Populatio | n of ring nema | todes per 1 | 00 cc of soil | • | | | | | |

FMC XYWAY TEST I, 2023

- A. PURPOSE: To evaluate the comparative efficacy of various Xyway LFR application methods at planting for the control of soil borne and foliar diseases.
- B. EXPERIMENTAL DESIGN:
 - 1. Randomized complete blocks with four replicates.
 - 2. One two-row bed (20ft x 6ft) per plot, 36-inch row spacing.
 - 3. There are eight-foot alleyways between blocks.
 - 4. Plots were established in an area of continuous peanut production.
 - 5. Variety: TifNV-HiOL

C. APPLICATION OF TREATMENTS:

- Equipment: T band treatment sprays used in furrow nozzle raised to band 4-6 inches over open furrow and were applied at 18 PSI going 3.3 MPH in 3.4 GPA using a CO2 unit with one 80015 flat fan tip per row and 50 mesh ball check screens. Surface band treatments were applied over the top of the row in a 4-6-inch band at 50 PSI going 3.5 MPH in 20 GPA using a CO2 unit with one 8003 flat fan tip per row and 50 mesh ball check screens. Treatment sprays 1-7 were applied at 45 PSI at 2.5 MPH in 20 GPA using a CO2 unit with six SX-6 tips and 50 mesh ball check screens.
- 2. Treatment sprays: T band sprays were applied at planting on May 9, and surface band sprays were applied after planting on May 9. Applications 1-7 were applied on June 13, June 26, July 12, July 25, Aug. 7, Aug. 22, and Sep. 4.

| 1. | Location: | Blackshank Farm, Irr/Non Field, Tifton, GA 31794 |
|----|-------------------|--|
| 2. | Land Preparation: | Fertilizer (5-15-30) was broadcast at 600 lb/a, field was deep turned, beds marked 6 ft, and fertilizer turned under on Apr. 11. |
| 3. | Soil Fertility: | pH: 5.94 P: 28.18 K: 40.64 Ca: 267.7 Mg: 21.52 |
| | Soil type: | Tifton loamy sand, $2 - 5\%$ slope. |
| 4. | Herbicides: | PPI: Tank mix of Sonalan (1 qt/a) + Dual Magnum (1.3 pt/a) + Strongarm (0.45 oz/a) on Apr. 8. Rototilled to incorporate. |
| 5. | Insecticides: | Thimet (5 lbs/a) on May 9. |
| 6. | Planting Info: | TifNV-HiOL, 6.6 seed/ft (2" deep) on May 9. |
| 7. | Additional Info: | An adjusted cultivator was run through the field on July 17 in order to throw soil on the peanut lower limbs and crowns of the plants to increase white mold infection throughout the test. |
| 8. | Harvest Dates: | Dug – Oct. 2 Picked – Oct. 6 |

The mid season "dirting" cultivation clearly impacted white mold and lead to increased levels of disease, which served to separate treatments and impact yields. The Convoy as well as some early season treatments reduced disease levels and increased yields. There were generally good stands, but some delay of emergence with higher rates of at plant treatments. There was lower than expected levels of leaf spot present, primarily early leaf spot, and some treatment differences. As often seen in nematode-resistant lines, there was also significant physiological spotting on foliage that confounded evaluations of leaf spot. There were no differences in incidence of TSWV.

| | | | | <u>TEST I, 2023</u> /, irr/non fii | | | | |
|--------------------------------|-------------------------|--------------|-----------------------|---------------------------------------|---------------|-------|-------|-------|
| | | DLACKJHA | | I, IKK/ NON FIL | | | | |
| | | | Plant/ft ¹ | % Dead Plants ² | TSWV³ | LS⁴ | WM⁵ | Yield |
| Treatment | App's | Rate/A | 26-May | 26-May | 21-Aug | 2-Oct | 4-Oct | lb/A |
| 1. Untreated | - | - | 3.6 | 0.0 | 26.3 | 5.3 | 61.9 | 2942 |
| | | | 0.0 | | 2010 | 3.0 | 01.0 | 2512 |
| 2. Xyway LFR | T Band* | 12.7 fl oz | 3.6 | 0.0 | 26.3 | 4.2 | 44.4 | 3772 |
| Bravo | 2 – 7 | 1.5 pt | | | | | | |
| | | • | | | | | | |
| 3. Xyway LFR | T Band* | 25.4 fl oz | 2.9 | 0.2 | 30.0 | 4.1 | 41.3 | 3623 |
| Bravo | 2 – 7 | 1.5 pt | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| 4. Xyway LFR | T Band* | 12.7 fl oz | - | - | 31.3 | 4.1 | 53.8 | 3492 |
| Bravo | 4 – 7 | 1.5 pt | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| 5. Xyway LFR | T Band* | 25.4 fl oz | - | - | 34.2 | 4.0 | 36.3 | 3470 |
| Bravo | 4 – 7 | 1.5 pt | | | | | | |
| | | 4276 | | 0.0 | 20.2 | | 54.0 | 22.47 |
| 6. Xyway LFR | Surface Band** 2 – 7 | 12.7 fl oz | 4.1 | 0.0 | 29.2 | 4.1 | 51.9 | 3347 |
| Bravo | 2-7 | 1.5 pt | | | | | | |
| 7. Xyway LFR | Surface Band** | 25.4 fl oz | 4.0 | 0.0 | 25.6 | 4.3 | 63.8 | 3069 |
| Bravo | 2 – 7 | 1.5 pt | | 0.0 | 23.0 | 1.5 | 03.0 | 3005 |
| Blave | | 2.0 pt | | | | | | |
| 8. Xyway LFR | Surface Band** | 12.7 fl oz | - | - | 30.0 | 5.5 | 56.3 | 3035 |
| Bravo | 4 – 7 | 1.5 pt | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| 9. Xyway LFR | Surface Band** | 25.4 fl oz | - | - | 23.1 | 4.5 | 54.4 | 3178 |
| Bravo | 4 – 7 | 1.5 pt | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| 10. Bravo | 1-7 | 1.5 pt | - | - | 36.9 | 4.3 | 70.0 | 2817 |
| | | | | | | | | |
| 11. Bravo | 1-7 | 1.5 pt | | - | 35.0 | 3.8 | 28.1 | 4911 |
| Convoy*** | 3 & 5 | 32.0 fl oz | - | | | | | |
| 12 \/al.um | La Francisco | C 04 fl | 2.7 | 0.0 | 22.4 | 4.2 | 45.0 | 2742 |
| 12. Velum Bravo | In Furrow 4 – 7 | 6.84 fl oz | 3.7 | 0.0 | 33.1 | 4.3 | 45.6 | 3743 |
| LSD(P<0.05) | 4-7 | 1.5 pt | 0.4 | N. S. | N. S. | 0.7 | 19.0 | 809 |
| | furrow nozzle raise | d to band 4 | | | <u>IN. 5.</u> | 0.7 | 19.0 | 803 |
| | was applied over the | | | | GΡΔ | | | |
| | were broadcast b | • | | | 517. | | | |
| | count is the number | | d plants po | r foot of row | | | | |
| | The % of emerged | | • • | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | of row feet infectd | | - | • | w) per plo | τ. | | |
| Leaf Spot [¬] = Flori | da 1 - 10 scale, wh | ere 1=no dis | sease and 1 | .0=dead plant. | | | | |

IN FURROW MIX TEST I, 2023

A. PURPOSE: To evaluate the comparative efficacy of labeled in furrow fungicides on untreated seed.

B. EXPERIMENTAL DESIGN:

- 1. Randomized complete blocks with four replicates.
- 2. One two-row bed (20ft x 6ft) per plot, 36-inch row spacing.
- 3. There are eight-foot alleyways between blocks.
- 4. Plots were established in an area of continuous peanut production.
- 5. Variety: Untreated compromised GA-06G (lot #6832, 69% germ w/ Rancona) 42% A. niger and 54% Rhizopus in nontreated seed

C. APPLICATION OF TREATMENTS:

- 1. Equipment: In furrow sprays applied at 18 PSI going 3.3 MPH in 3.4 GPA using a CO2 unit with one 80015 flat fan tip per row and 50 mesh ball check screens. Cover sprays applied at 32 PSI going 4.3 MPH in 19.7 GPA using six TX-12 tips and 50 mesh ball check screens.
- 2. Treatment sprays: In furrow sprays applied at planting on May 26.
- 3. Cover Sprays: Plots were cover sprayed with Chlorothalonil (1.5 pts/a) on June 27, July 12, July 27, Aug. 8, Aug. 23, Sep. 5, and Sep. 19, and Elatus (9 oz/a) on July 27, Aug. 8, and Aug. 23.

| 1. | Location: | Blackshank Farm, Irr/Non Field, Tifton, GA 31794 |
|----|-------------------|--|
| 2. | Land Preparation: | Fertilizer (5-15-30) was broadcast at 600 lb/a, field was deep turned, beds marked 6 ft, and fertilizer turned under on Apr. 11. |
| 3. | Soil Fertility: | pH: 5.94 P: 28.18 K: 40.64 Ca: 267.7 Mg: 21.52 |
| | Soil type: | Tifton loamy sand, $2 - 5\%$ slope. |
| 4. | Herbicides: | PPI: Tank mix of Sonalan (1 qt/a) + Dual Magnum (1.3 pt/a) + Strongarm (0.45 oz/a) on Apr. 8. Rototilled to incorporate. |
| 5. | Insecticides: | Thimet (5 lbs/a) on May 26. |
| 6. | Planting Info: | Untreated GA-06G, 6.6 seed/ft (2" deep) on May 26. |

7. Harvest Dates:

Dug-Oct. 6

E: SUMMARY:

Following planting, the test site experienced heavy rain/irrigation and cold soil temperatures that were very challenging for plant stand establishment, especially considering the poor quality of seed used. The seed were selected to insure disease pressure and separation of effective end ineffective treatments, but the combination of poor seed and highly stressed environment created a real acid test for seed/seedling diseases. It should be noted that these conditions are very unfavorable for development of *Aspergillus spp*. which have been the primary pathogens in recent years. There was some Aspergillus crown rot (*Aspergillus niger*), which was responsible for the "Dead plant" ratings, and the Velum in furrow was highly active as we have seen previously. However, none of the in furrow treatments were able to provide equal plant stands or yields as did the Rancona seed treatment.

| | | <u>IN FUI</u> | ROW | MIX TE | ST I, 20 | <u>)23</u> | | | |
|----------------------------------|----------------------|-------------------------|-----------|--------------------|-----------|------------|------------------|-----------------------|-------|
| | | BLACKS | HANK FA | ARM, IRR | /NON F | IELD | | | |
| | | | Plai | nt/ft ¹ | % | Dead Plar | nts ² | Roots/ft ³ | Yield |
| Seed Treatment | In furow | Rate/A | 9-Jun | 20-Jun | 9-Jun | 20-Jun | 29-Jun | 29-Sep | lb/A |
| 1. None | None | - | 0.4 | 0.4 | 2.1 | 9.0 | 11.6 | 0.2 | 375 |
| 2. None | Velum | 4.3 fl oz | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 717 |
| 3. None | Abound | 6.0 fl oz | 0.5 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 19.2 | 23.0 | 0.2 | 539 |
| 4. None | Kphite | 32.0 fl oz | 0.5 | 0.4 | 3.0 | 19.3 | 22.0 | 0.2 | 539 |
| 5. None | Velum | 4.3 fl oz | 0.8 | 0.9 | 0.0 | 1.6 | 2.3 | 0.7 | 1341 |
| | + Abound + Kphite | 6.0 fl oz 32.0 fl oz | | | | | | | |
| 6. Rancona VPD* | None | - | 1.9 | 1.7 | 0.0 | 1.0 | 1.9 | 1.6 | 2728 |
| LSD(P<0.05) | - | - | 0.4 | 0.4 | N. S. | N. S. | 19.0 | 0.3 | 590 |
| * Rancona VPD app | | | | | | | | | |
| Seed used was until | | | | | | | | | |
| Plant/ft ¹ = Stand co | ount is the nun | nber of emerg | ed plants | per foot of | row. | | | | |
| % Dead Plants ² =Th | e % of emerge | ed plants that | were dea | d or dying | per plot. | | | | |
| Roots/ft ³ =Number | of tap roots pe | er foot of row | after the | plots were | inverted. | | | | |

NICHINO FUNGICIDE TEST, 2023

A. PURPOSE: To evaluate the comparative efficacy of commercial and experimental applied fungicides for the control of foliar and soil borne diseases.

B. EXPERIMENTAL DESIGN:

- 1. Randomized complete blocks with four replicates.
- 2. One two-row bed (20ft x 6ft) per plot, 36-inch row spacing.
- 3. There are eight-foot alleyways between blocks.
- 4. Plots were established in an area of continuous peanut production.
- 5. Variety: TifNV-HiOL

C. APPLICATION OF TREATMENTS:

- 1. Equipment: Treatment sprays applied at 45 PSI at 2.5 MPH in 20 GPA using a CO2 unit with six SX-6 tips and 50 mesh ball check screens.
- 2. Treatment sprays: Sprays were applied on June 13, June 26, July 10, July 24, Aug. 7, Aug. 22, and Sep. 4.

| 1. | Location: | Lang Farm, Irr/Non Field, Tifton, GA 31794 |
|----|-------------------|--|
| 2. | Land Preparation: | Fertilizer (5-15-30) was broadcast at 600 lb/a, field was deep turned, beds marked 6 ft, and fertilizer turned under on Apr. 11. |
| 3. | Soil Fertility: | pH: 5.94 P: 28.18 K: 40.64 Ca: 267.7 Mg: 21.52 |
| | Soil type: | Tifton loamy sand, $2 - 5\%$ slope. |
| 4. | Herbicides: | PPI: Tank mix of Sonalan (1 qt/a) + Dual Magnum (1.3 pt/a) + Strongarm (0.45 oz/a) on Apr. 8. Rototilled to incorporate. |
| 5. | Insecticides: | Thimet (5 lbs/a) on May 9. |
| 6. | Planting Info: | TifNV-HiOL, 6.6 seed/ft (2" deep) on May 9. |
| 7. | Additional Info: | An adjusted cultivator was run through the field on July 17 in order to throw soil on the peanut canopy, which covered lower vines. The purpose was to increase white mold infection throughout the test. |
| 8. | Harvest Dates: | Dug – Oct. 2 Picked – Oct. 6 |

The mid season "dirting" cultivation clearly impacted white mold and lead to increased levels of disease, which served to separate treatments and impact yields. The Convoy as well as some early season treatments greatly reduced disease levels and increased yields by more than 2000 lb/A. Overall, this was an excellent trial to determine efficacy of fungicides on white mold. There was a moderate level of leaf spot present, primarily early leaf spot, and some treatment differences. As often seen in nematode-resistant lines, there was also significant physiological spotting on foliage that confounded evaluations of leaf spot.

| Seed Treatment 1. Nontreated 2. Bravo Bravo + Convoy 3. Priaxor Bravo + Alto | In furow 1, 2, & 7 3 - 6 | Rate/A 1.5 pt 1.5 pt 16.0 fl oz | LS ¹ 2-Oct 6.5 | WM ² 4-Oct 42.5 | Yield Ib/A 3867.8 |
|--|--------------------------------|--|---|----------------------------------|-------------------------|
| 1. Nontreated 2. Bravo Bravo + Convoy 3. Priaxor Bravo | 1, 2, & 7 3 - 6 | 1.5 pt 1.5 pt | 2-Oct 6.5 | 4-Oct | lb/A |
| 1. Nontreated 2. Bravo Bravo + Convoy 3. Priaxor Bravo | 1, 2, & 7 3 - 6 | 1.5 pt 1.5 pt | 6.5 | | |
| 2. Bravo Bravo + Convoy 3. Priaxor Bravo | 3 - 6 | 1.5 pt | | | 38b/.8 |
| Bravo + Convoy 3. Priaxor Bravo | 3 - 6 | 1.5 pt | | | |
| + Convoy 3. Priaxor Bravo | | | 4.0 | 21.3 | 5330.7 |
| 3. Priaxor Bravo | 2 | 16.0 fl 07 | | | |
| Bravo | 2 | 10.0 11 02 | | | |
| Bravo | | 6.0 fl oz | 4.4 | 14.4 | 5372.4 |
| + Alto | 3 & 5 | 1.0 pt | | | |
| | | 5.5 fl oz | | | |
| + Convoy | | 32.0 fl oz | | | |
| Bravo | 4 & 6 | 1.0 pt | | | |
| + Orius | 400 | 7.2 fl oz | | | |
| Bravo | 7 | 1.5 pt | | | |
| Diavo | , | 1.5 pt | | | |
| 4. Bravo | 1, 4, & 7 | 1.0 pt | 3.8 | 11.9 | 6265.4 |
| + Orius | | 7.2 fl oz | | | |
| Alto | 2&6 | 5.5 fl oz | | | |
| Elatus 45WG | 3 & 5 | 9.5 oz | | | |
| + Miravis | | 3.4 fl oz | | | |
| E Brown | 1 4 9 7 | 1 E mt | 4.1 | 7 5 | F 6 7 7 3 |
| 5. Bravo | 1, 4, & 7 | 1.5 pt | 4.1 | 7.5 | 5677.3 |
| Bravo | 2 | 16.0 fl oz | | | |
| + Excalia | 2.0.5 | 2.0 fl oz | | | |
| Bravo | 3 & 5 | 16.0 fl oz | | | |
| + Excalia | | 3.0 fl oz | | | |
| Bravo | 6 | 1.0 pt | | | |
| + Orius | | 7.2 fl oz | | | |
| 6. Bravo | 1, 2, 4, 6 & 7 | 1.5 pt | 3.9 | 21.9 | 4784.3 |
| NAI-5333 | 3 & 5 | 36.4 fl oz | | | |
| | | | | | |
| 7. Bravo | 1, 2, 4, 6 & 7 | 1.5 pt | 4.0 | 21.9 | 5328.8 |
| NAI-5333 | 3 & 5 | 18.3 fl oz | | | |
| 0 Duous | 1 2 9 7 | 1 5+ | 4.4 | 10.0 | |
| 8. Bravo | 1,2&7 | 1.5 pt | 4.1 | 10.0 | 5515.8 |
| NAI-5333 | 3 & 5 | 36.4 fl oz | | | |
| 9. Bravo | 1,2&7 | 1.5 pt | 3.8 | 16.3 | 5535.8 |
| NAI-5333 | 3 - 6 | 18.3 fl oz | | | |
| | | | | | |
| 10. Bravo | 1, 2, 4, 6 & 7 | 1.5 pt | 3.6 | 10.0 | 5969.5 |
| NAI-4333 | 3 & 5 | 29.5 fl oz | | | |
| 11. Bravo | 1, 2, 4, 6 & 7 | 1.5 pt | 3.8 | 26.3 | 5089.3 |
| NAI-4333 | 3 & 5 | 14.8 fl oz | 5.0 | 20.5 | 5005.5 |
| 10/11 4555 | 545 | 14.0 11 02 | | | |
| 12. Bravo | 1,2&7 | 1.5 pt | 4.5 | 16.3 | 5410.5 |
| NAI-4333 | 3 & 5 | 29.5 fl oz | | | |
| 13. Bravo | 1, 2 & 7 | 1.5 pt | 3.9 | 12.5 | 6205.5 |
| NAI-4333 | 3 - 6 | 14.8 fl oz | 0.5 | 12.5 | 0205.5 |
| | | | | | |
| 14. Lucento | 2&4 | 5.5 fl oz | 3.6 | 13.1 | 6016.7 |
| Bravo | 3 & 5 | 1.5 pt | | | |
| + Convoy | | 32.0 fl oz | | | |
| Bravo | 6 | 1.0 pt | | | |
| + Orius | | 7.2 fl oz | | | |
| Bravo | 7 | 1.5 pt | | | |

Leaf Spot¹ = Florida 1 - 10 scale, where 1=no disease and 10=dead plant.

White Mold²=Percent of row feet infected based on disease loci (up to 12" linear row) per plot.

| | ΒΙΔΟΙ | | | | | <u>-</u> ON FIEL | D | |
|---------------|-------|------|------|------|------|---------------------|------|------|
| | 22/10 | | | , | | | | |
| DATE | Mar | Apr | May | June | July | Aug | Sep | Oct |
| 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.10 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 3 | 0.60 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.25 | 1.25 | 0 | 0 |
| 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.75 | 0 | 0 |
| 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 8 | 0 | 1.50 | 0 | 0.05 | 0 | 1.50 | 0 | 0 |
| 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.10 | 1.05 | 0.25 | 0 | 0 |
| 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1.00 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 11 | 0 | 0 | 0.10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 12 | 0.75 | 0 | 1.25 | 0.20 | 0 | 0 | 0.80 | 1.25 |
| 13 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.30 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 14 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.20 | 0 | 0.20 | 0 | 0 |
| 15 | 0 | 0.30 | 0 | 0.20 | 0.80 | 0.10 | 0 | 0 |
| 16 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 17 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.25 | 0 | 0 | 0.25 | 0 |
| 18 | 1.00 | 0 | 0 | 0.90 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 19 | 0 | 0 | 0.50 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 20 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1.20 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 21 | 0 | 0 | 0.25 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 22 | 0 | 0 | 0.50 | 0.20 | 2.00 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 23 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.80 | 0.50 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 24 | 0 | 0 | 0.01 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 25 | 0.50 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 26 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1.25 | 0 |
| 27 | 0.25 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 28 | 0.75 | 2.25 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 29 | 0 | 0.30 | 0 | 0 | 0.50 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 30 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2.00 | 0 | 0 |
| 31 | 0 | 0 | 0.30 | 0 | 0 | 0.75 | 0 | 0 |
| OTAL (inches) | 3.85 | 4.35 | 2.90 | 4.35 | 6.20 | 6.80 | 2.30 | 1.25 |

MULTI-STATE DISEASE EVALUATION TEST, 2023

A. PURPOSE: To evaluate the comparative susceptibility of peanut breeding lines and cultivars to major peanut diseases in Georgia.

B. EXPERIMENTAL DESIGN:

- 1. Randomized complete blocks with four replicates.
- 2. One two-row bed (15ft x 6ft) per plot, 36-inch row spacing.
- 3. There are eight-foot alleyways between blocks.
- 4. Plots were established in an area of continuous peanut production.
- 5. Variety: Multiple breeding lines and cultivars.
- 6. The nematode evaluation used inoculated plants in the greenhouse.

C. APPLICATION OF TREATMENTS:

- 1. Equipment: Cover sprays applied at 32 PSI going 4.3 MPH in 19.7 GPA using six TX-12 tips and 50 mesh ball check screens.
- 2. Cover sprays: Chlorothalanil (1.5 pt/a) was applied on June 27, July 12, July 26, Aug. 21, Sep. 5, and Sep. 19.
- 3. Inoculated test with white mold on July 26.

D. ADDITIONAL INFORMATION:

| 1. | Location: | Blackshank Farm, Ba | nana Field, Tifton, GA 31794 |
|----|-------------------|--|---|
| 2. | Land Preparation: | field was deep turned, fertilizer turned under fumigated with 300 lb | as broadcast at 600 lb/a, , beds marked 6 ft, and c on Apr. 11. Field was b/a of Tri-Pic 100 by injecting g with plastic on April 14. |
| 3. | Soil Fertility: | pH: 6.11 P: 12.52 F | K: 36.06 Ca: 242.2 Mg: 17.79 |
| | Soil type: | Tifton loamy sand, 2 - | – 5% slope. |
| 4. | Planting Info: | Multiple Varieties, 6 | seed/ft (2" deep) on May 19. |
| 5. | Harvest Dates: | Dug – Oct. 16 | Picked – Oct. 20 |

E: SUMMARY:

This trial provided excellent separation of genotypes for susceptibility to white mold, root knot nematodes, and TSWV. Leaf spot pressure was low, but overall some very promising genotypes were identified for several of these diseases.

| BLACKSHANK FARM, BANANA FIELD | | | | | | | | | |
|--|---|------------------------------------|---|------------------------|-------------|-------|-------------------|------------------------|-------------------------|
| | Whi | te Mold (4-Oc | +) | TSWV ³ | ۲S4 | Yield | | | |
| Genotypes | % Zeroes ¹ | No Zeroes ² | All ² | 21-Aug | 5-Oct | lb/A | Eggs ⁵ | Root Gall ⁵ | Root Vigor ⁶ |
| 1. CB 1 | 4.2 | 50.8 | 54.1 | 11.2 | 2.75 | 4477 | 4.6 | 4.9 | 4.8 |
| 2. CB 2 | 12.5 | 39.7 | 39.8 | 34.1 | 2.06 | 4429 | 4.8 | 4.8 | 4.0 |
| 3. CB 7 | 0.0 | 60.0 | 60.0 | 17.5 | 2.50 | 4908 | 4.0 | 4.2 | 3.3 |
| 4. CB 19 | 8.3 | 39.8 | 38.1 | 13.3 | 2.56 | 5559 | 0.6 | 0.9 | 4.0 |
| 5. CB 20 | 20.8 | 33.4 | 26.5 | 14.2 | 2.69 | 5965 | 0.0 | 0.6 | 4.0 |
| 5. CB 24 | 50.0 | 53.6 | 22.6 | 18.3 | 2.06 | 6413 | 4.7 | 5.0 | 3.7 |
| 7. 17-223 | 41.7 | 23.4 | 14.4 | 5.4 | 2.88 | 7253 | 4.8 | 4.8 | 3.0 |
| 8. 17-1638 | 0.0 | 50.0 | 53.0 | 5.8 | 2.88 | 5660 | 0.0 | 0.8 | 2.7 |
| 9. 17-2208 | 4.2 | 45.3 | 43.1 | 9.2 | 2.69 | 6014 | 4.3 | 4.5 | 3.3 |
| 10. C2552-5&7-11C | 8.3 | 69.4 | 57.8 | 6.7 | 4.13 | 4734 | 1.8 | 2.1 | 4.0 |
| 11. C2552-5&7-16C | 0.0 | 45.4 | 45.4 | 11.7 | 3.88 | 5660 | 0.8 | 2.3 | 3.4 |
| 12. C2454-2-91 | 12.5 | 37.8 | 35.0 | 3.3 | 2.81 | 6367 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 4.3 |
| 13. 14-2464 | 4.2 | 37.8 | 36.0 | 12.5 | 3.00 | 5593 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 4.0 |
| 14. 14-2585 | 0.0 | 51.5 | 51.5 | 25.0 | 2.63 | 3949 | 1.3 | 1.5 | 3.5 |
| 15. 14-2599 | 0.0 | 55.2 | 55.2 | 16.7 | 2.88 | 4400 | 1.1 | 2.5 | 4.0 |
| 16. 17-165 | 4.2 | 62.4 | 58.8 | 27.1 | 2.75 | 4980 | 4.4 | 4.8 | 4.2 |
| 17. 16-4534 | 0.0 | 57.7 | 57.7 | 13.7 | 2.88 | 5150 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 3.5 |
| 18. 14X054-8-6-1-1 | 12.5 | 31.5 | 28.5 | 0.0 | 2.56 | 7081 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 4.0 |
| 19. 14X068-H03-14-1-1 | 12.5 | 42.2 | 39.0 | 2.9 | 3.19 | 6890 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 3.0 |
| 20. 14X068-H04-12-1-1 | 0.0 | 52.7 | 52.7 | 2.1 | 3.31 | 5571 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 4.0 |
| 21. 14X070-H04-2-1-1 | 0.0 | 55.8 | 60.1 | 2.5 | 2.31 | 4682 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 3.7 |
| 22. 15X092-H01-3-1-1 | 12.5 | 37.7 | 33.5 | 3.7 | 1.94 | 6345 | 4.7 | 5.0 | 4.7 |
| 23. 15X084-H01-SSD-19 | 4.2 | 57.0 | 54.4 | 7.5 | 1.94 | 5114 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 4.3 |
| 24. 15X084-H01-SSD-21 | 0.0 | 47.7 | 47.7 | 9.2 | 2.44 | 4150 | 4.7 | 4.7 | 4.0 |
| 25. 15X084-H01-SSD-31 | 0.0 | 58.9 | 55.4 | 2.5 | 2.06 | 4513 | 4.8 | 4.8 | 3.7 |
| 26. 15X102-6-1-1-3 | 0.0 | 66.9 | 66.9 | 16.7 | 3.38 | 4719 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 3.8 |
| 27. 14X009-1-10-1-1 | 0.0 | 58.5 | 58.5 | 10.0 | 4.50 | 5934 | 4.8 | 4.8 | 4.0 |
| 28. 11X23-3-6-H | 8.3 | 51.5 | 47.9 | 20.8 | 2.94 | 5656 | 4.2 | 4.4 | 4.2 |
| 29. 14X075-H05-1-1-1 | 12.5 | 46.2 | 34.8 | 5.8 | 2.56 | 6626 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 4.5 |
| 30. ACI-N104 | 25.0 | 42.8 | 32.1 | 25.0 | 2.88 | 5406 | 1.9 | 2.4 | 3.5 |
| 31. M14-1453 | 25.0 | 34.1 | 26.0 | 25.8 | 2.19 | 5051 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 3.0 |
| 32. ACI-222 | 33.3 | 39.2 | 25.4 | 10.0 | 2.06 | 5810 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.0 |
| 33. ACI-3321 | 4.2 | 49.4 | 46.9 | 20.0 | 2.75 | 5837 | 3.0 | 3.5 | 3.5 |
| 34. M15-0129 | 4.2 | 49.7 | 47.7 | 13.3 | 2.81 | 4888 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.0 |
| 35. IPG2301 | 0.0 | 59.2 | 60.1 | 33.3 | 2.06 | 3296 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 4.4 |
| 36. IPG2302 | 0.0 | 60.8 | 58.1 | 39.1 | 2.94 | 5080 | 4.7 | 5.0 | 4.3 |
| 37. IPG2303 | 4.2 | 53.5 | 51.7 | 25.8 | 2.44 | 3988 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 4.0 |
| 38. IPG2304 | 4.2 | 61.7 | 59.4 | 34.1 | 2.56 | 3560 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 3.5 |
| 39. IPG2305 | 0.0 | 60.0 | 60.0 | 67.4 | 3.13 | 2539 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 4.0 |
| 40. TifJumbo | 20.8 | 37.1 | 29.5 | 26.6 | 2.31 | 5810 | 1.8 | 2.1 | 3.8 |
| 41. TifNV-HG | 8.3 | 53.6 | 50.7 | 16.7 | 2.44 | 5656 | 1.3 | 1.9 | 4.3 |
| 42. TifNV-High O/L | 16.7 | 28.0 | 23.1 | 16.7 | 2.56 | 6065 | 1.3 | 2.4 | 3.6 |
| 43. GA-06G | 0.0 | 42.5 | 42.5 | 39.1 | 3.44 | 4903 | 4.9 | 5.0 | 4.3 |
| 14. GA-21GR | 0.0 | 61.9 | 63.3 | 11.7 | 3.31 | 5145 | 1.0 | 4.5 | 4.0 |
| 45. TUFRunner 297 | | | | | 3.25 | | | · . | |
| 46. GA-20VHO | 0.0 | 70.8 | 70.8 | 11.7 | 3.00 | 4184 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 4.3 |
| 47. GA-19HP | 8.3 | 59.6 | 55.0 | 15.0 | 2.94 | 5152 | 1.6 | 1.8 | 4.0 |
| 48. GA-18RU | 4.2 | 62.0 | 59.8 | 39.1 | 4.25 | 3712 | 4.5 | 4.8 | 4.5 |
| 49. Florun T61 | 33.3 | 32.8 | 22.7 | 13.3 | 3.33 | 6638 | 2.8 | 3.3 | 4.0 |
| 50. GA-22MPR | 16.7 | 49.2 | 35.3 | 16.7 | 2.94 | 4876 | 0.0 | 1.0 | 4.3 |
| 51. GA-09B | 0.0 | 56.3 | 56.3 | 40.0 | 4.50 | 4448 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 4.0 |
| 52. GA-12Y | 20.8 | 33.0 | 27.8 | 7.5 | 3.13 | 6045 | 4.3 | 4.5 | 3.7 |
| .SD(P<0.05) | 12.5 | 20.9 | 19.4 | 17.6 | 0.74 | 1310 | 1.6 | 1.5 | 0.9 |
| Percent of plants incou | | | | | | | | | |
| Average length of the v SWV ³ =Percent of row f eaf Spot ⁴ =Florida 1 - 10 | vhite mold "hi eet infectd ba scale where 1 | ts" (cm) calcula sed on disease | ted with ar loci (up to d 10=dead | 12" linear r plant. | ow) per plo | | | | |

RHIZOCTONIA FUNGICIDE TEST, 2023

A. PURPOSE: To evaluate the comparative efficacy of registered and experimental fungicides applied for the control of soil borne diseases, particularly *Rhizoctonia* limb rot.

B. EXPERIMENTAL DESIGN

- 1. Randomized complete blocks with four replicates.
- 2. One two-row bed (15ft x 6ft) per plot, 36-inch row spacing.
- 3. There are eight-foot alleyways between blocks.
- 4. Plots were established in an area of continuous peanut production.
- 5. Variety: GA-12Y

C. APPLICATION OF TREATMENTS:

- 1. Equipment: Treatment sprays applied at 45 PSI at 2.5 MPH in 20 GPA using a CO2 unit with six SX-6 tips and 50 mesh ball check screens. Cover sprays applied at 32 PSI going 4.3 MPH in 19.7 GPA using six TX-12 tips and 50 mesh ball check screens.
- 2. Treatments: Treatment sprays were applied on Aug. 21, Sep. 5, and Sep. 19. Oat grains colonized by *Rhizoctonia solani* (isolate RS13, AG-4) were applied on July 25. 500 ml of oats were applied to each row, for a total of 1 L per plot. Oats were evenly sprinkled over the length of the row, and the canopy was gently brushed afterwards to allow the oats to fall through to the ground.
- 3. Cover Sprays: Chlorothalanil (1.5 pt/a) was applied on June 27, July 12, July 26, Aug. 21, Sep. 5, and Sep. 19.

| 1. | Location: | Blackshank Farm, Banana Field, Tifton, GA 31794 |
|----|-------------------|--|
| 2. | Land Preparation: | Fertilizer (5-15-30) was broadcast at 600 lb/a, field was deep turned, beds marked 6 ft, and fertilizer turned under on Apr. 11. |
| 3. | Soil Fertility: | pH: 6.11 P: 12.52 K: 36.06 Ca: 242.2 Mg: 17.79 |
| | Soil type: | Tifton loamy sand, $2 - 5\%$ slope. |
| 4. | Planting Info: | GA-12Y, 6.6 seed/ft (2" deep) on May 26. |
| 5. | Harvest Dates: | Dug – Oct. 16 Picked – Oct. 23 |

GA-12Y is highly susceptible to limb rot and grows vigorously. Conditions were very favorable for infection, and some disease developed. The inoculation apparently was successful as evidenced by the ratings of the inoculated vs noninoculated control plots. However, the epidemic did not get as severe as anticipated. Some differences in disease development were noted, but none impacted yield as has been seen in the past.

| | | FUNGICI | | | <u> </u> |
|--------------------|---------|-------------|------------|-------------------|----------|
| BLAG | CKSHANI | (FARM, BA | NANA FIE | LD | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | RHIZ ¹ | Yield |
| Treatments | App's | Inoculated? | Rate/A | 16-Oct | lb/A |
| 1. Untreated | - | YES | - | 20.0 | 6512 |
| | 4-6 | VEC | 4.28 fl oz | 14.0 | 7095 |
| 2. TST98 | 4-0 | YES | 4.281102 | 14.0 | 7095 |
| 3. VFW68 | 4-6 | YES | 5.80 fl oz | 13.3 | 6611 |
| | | | | | |
| 4. VJR84 | 4-6 | YES | 7.0 fl oz | 11.5 | 6626 |
| | | | | | |
| 5. Lucento | 4-6 | YES | 5.5 fl oz | 9.8 | 6130 |
| | | | | | |
| 6. Lucento | 4-6 | YES | 5.5 fl oz | 14.5 | 6062 |
| + TST98 | | | 4.28 fl oz | | |
| 7. Adastrio | 4-6 | YES | 8.96 fl oz | 10.5 | 5663 |
| | | 120 | 0.50 11 02 | 10.5 | 5005 |
| 8. Muscle | 4-6 | YES | 7.2 fl oz | 15.5 | 6774 |
| | | | | | |
| 9. GWN-12047 | 4-6 | YES | 32.0 oz | 11.5 | 6725 |
| | | | | | |
| 10. GWN-12047 | 4-6 | YES | 50.0 oz | 12.3 | 7120 |
| 11 Exection 2.845C | 4-6 | VEC | λΣflor | 10.2 | 6660 |
| 11. Excalia 2.84SC | 4-0 | YES | 2.5 fl oz | 19.3 | 6660 |
| 12. Elatus 45WG | 4-6 | YES | 7.14 oz | 18.8 | 6941 |
| | - | | | | |
| 13. Priaxor | 4-6 | YES | 8.0 fl oz | 10.5 | 6781 |
| | | | | | |
| 14. Untreated | - | NO | - | 5.8 | 6701 |
| LSD(P<0.05) | - | - | - | 8.3 | 1314 |

RHIZ¹=% of limbs affected by *Rhizcoctonia solani*. Inoculated plots with oat grain inoculum on July 25 (500 ml per row).

| | | DAI | <u>LY KAI</u> | NFALI | <u>., 202:</u> | <u>5</u> | | |
|---------------|------|-------|---------------|--------|----------------|----------|------|------|
| | Bl | ACKSH | ANK FA | RM, BA | NANA | FIELD | | |
| DATE | Mar | Apr | May | June | July | Aug | Sep | Oct |
| 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.10 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 3 | 0.60 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.25 | 1.25 | 0 | 0 |
| 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.75 | 0 | 0 |
| 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 8 | 0 | 1.50 | 0 | 0.05 | 0 | 1.50 | 0 | 0 |
| 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.10 | 1.05 | 0.25 | 0 | 0 |
| 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1.00 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 11 | 0 | 0 | 0.10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 12 | 0.75 | 0 | 1.25 | 0.20 | 0 | 0 | 0.80 | 1.25 |
| 13 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.30 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 14 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.20 | 0 | 0.20 | 0 | 0 |
| 15 | 0 | 0.30 | 0 | 0.20 | 0.80 | 0.10 | 0 | 0 |
| 16 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 17 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.25 | 0 | 0 | 0.25 | 0 |
| 18 | 1.00 | 0 | 0 | 0.90 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 19 | 0 | 0 | 0.50 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 20 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1.20 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 21 | 0 | 0 | 0.25 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 22 | 0 | 0 | 0.50 | 0.20 | 2.00 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 23 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.80 | 0.50 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 24 | 0 | 0 | 0.01 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 25 | 0.50 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 26 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1.25 | 0 |
| 27 | 0.25 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 28 | 0.75 | 2.25 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 29 | 0 | 0.30 | 0 | 0 | 0.50 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 30 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2.00 | 0 | 0 |
| 31 | 0 | 0 | 0.30 | 0 | 0 | 0.75 | 0 | 0 |
| OTAL (inches) | 3.85 | 4.35 | 2.90 | 4.35 | 6.20 | 6.80 | 2.30 | 1.25 |

BASF SEEDLING DISEASE TEST, 2023

A. PURPOSE: To evaluate the efficacy of various peanut seed treatments on peanut stands.

B. EXPERIMENTAL DESIGN:

- 1. Randomized complete blocks with four replicates.
- 2. One two-row bed (25ft x 6ft) per plot, 36-inch row spacing.
- 3. There are eight-foot alleyways between blocks.
- 4. Plots were established in an area of continuous peanut production.
- 5. Variety: Untreated compromised GA-06G (lot #6832, 69% germ w/ Rancona), 42% A. niger and 54% Rhizopus in nontreated seed

C. APPLICATION OF TREATMENTS:

- 1. Equipment: Cover sprays applied at 32 PSI going 4.3 MPH in 19.7 GPA using six TX-12 tips and 50 mesh ball check screens.
- Cover Sprays: Chlorothalonil (1.5 pts/a) was sprayed on June 27, July 12, July 27, Aug. 8, Aug. 23, Sep. 5, and Sep. 21, and Elatus (9 oz/a) was sprayed on July 27, Aug. 8, and Aug. 23.

| 1. | Location: | Lang Farm, South Field, Tifton, GA, 31794 |
|----|-------------------|--|
| 2. | Land Preparation: | Fertilizer (5-15-30) was broadcast at 600 lb/a, field was deep turned, beds marked 6 ft, and fertilizer turned under on Apr. 11. |
| 3. | Soil Fertility: | pH: 6.5 P: 29.2 K: 73 Ca: 643 Mg: 60.6 |
| | Soil type: | Tifton loamy sand, $2 - 5\%$ slope. |
| 4. | Herbicides: | PPI: Tank mix of Sonalan (1 qt/a) + Dual Magnum (1.3 pt/a) + Strongarm (0.45 oz/a) on Apr. 13. Rototilled to incorporate. |
| 5. | Insecticides: | Thimet (5 lbs/a) on May 25. |
| 6. | Planting Info: | GA-06G, 6.6 seed/ft (2" deep) on May 25. |
| 7. | Harvest Dates: | Dug – Sep. 29 Picked – Oct. 3 |

E. SUMMARY:

Following planting, the test site experienced heavy rain/irrigation and cold soil temperatures that were very challenging for plant stand establishment, especially considering the poor quality of seed used. The seed were selected to insure disease pressure and separation of effective end ineffective treatments, but the combination of poor seed and highly stressed environment created a real acid test for seed/seedling diseases. It should be noted that these conditions are very unfavorable for development of *Aspergillus spp*. which have been the primary pathogens in recent years. There was some Aspergillus crown rot (*Aspergillus niger*) which was responsible for the "Dead plant" ratings, and all treatments were effective compared to the nontreated seed (entry 1). Large differences in yield resulted from the significantly different plant stands.

| | BASF SEEDLING DISEASE TEST, 2023 | | | | | | | |
|-----------------------------|---|-------------------|------------|------------|-----------------|-------------------|-----------------------|-------|
| | LANG FARM, SOUTH FIELD | | | | | | | |
| | Plan | t/ft ¹ | % [| Dead Plant | ts ² | TSWV ³ | Roots/ft ⁴ | Yield |
| Seed Trt | 5-Jun | 16-Jun | 5-Jun | 16-Jun | 29-Jun | 21-Aug | 29-Sep | lb/A |
| 1 | 0.1 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 4.9 | 7.9 | | 0.2 | 497 |
| | | | | | | | | |
| 2 | 1.5 | 1.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 36.5 | 1.6 | 2493 |
| | | | | | | | | |
| 3 | 1.5 | 1.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 39.0 | 1.5 | 2876 |
| | | | | | | | | |
| 4 | 1.5 | 1.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 43.5 | 1.6 | 2770 |
| | | | | | | | | |
| 5 | 1.7 | 1.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 38.0 | 1.5 | 2769 |
| LSD(P<0.05) | 0.4 | 0.4 | N. S. | N. S. | 5.9 | N. S. | 0.2 | 816 |
| Plant/ft ¹ = Sta | nd count is | s the numbe | er of emer | ged plants | per foot o | f row. | | |
| % Dead Plants | % Dead Plants ² =The % of emerged plants that were dead or dying per plot. | | | | | | | |
| TSWV ³ =Perce | TSWV ³ =Percent of row feet infectd based on disease loci (up to 12" linear row) per plot. | | | | | | | |
| Roots/ft ⁴ =Nur | | | | | | | | |

BAYER IN FURROW RATE TEST, 2023

A. PURPOSE: To evaluate the efficacy of full and reduced rates of in furrow fungicide treatments to control peanut seedling diseases when applied to compromised seed.

B. EXPERIMENTAL DESIGN:

- 1. Randomized complete blocks with six replicates.
- 2. One two-row bed (25ft x 6ft) per plot, 36-inch row spacing.
- 3. There are eight-foot alleyways between blocks.
- 4. Plots were established in an area of continuous peanut production.
- 5. Variety: Untreated compromised GA-06G (lot #677, <u>+</u>70% germ w/ Rancona), 35% *A. niger*, 15% *A. flavus*, and 63% *Rhizopus* in nontreated seed

C. APPLICATION OF TREATMENTS:

- 1. Equipment: Cover sprays applied at 32 PSI going 4.3 MPH in 19.7 GPA using six TX-12 tips and 50 mesh ball check screens.
- Cover Sprays: Chlorothalonil (1.5 pts/a) was sprayed on June 27, July 12, July 27, Aug. 8, Aug. 23, Sep. 5, and Sep. 21, and Elatus (9 oz/a) was sprayed on July 27, Aug. 8, and Aug. 23.

| 1. | Location: | Lang Farm, South Field, Tifton, GA, 31794 | | | | | | |
|----|-------------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| 2. | Land Preparation: | Fertilizer (5-15-30) was broadcast at 600 lb/a, field was deep turned, beds marked 6 ft, and fertilizer turned under on Apr. 11. | | | | | | |
| 3. | Soil Fertility: | pH: 6.49 P: 27 K: 47.5 Ca: 784 Mg: 71.8 | | | | | | |
| | Soil type: | Tifton loamy sand, $2 - 5\%$ slope. | | | | | | |
| 4. | Herbicides: | PPI: Tank mix of Sonalan (1 qt/a) + Dual Magnum (1.3 pt/a) + Strongarm (0.45 oz/a) on Apr. 13. Rototilled to incorporate. | | | | | | |
| 5. | Insecticides: | Thimet (5 lbs/a) on May 24. | | | | | | |
| 6. | Planting Info: | GA-06G, 6.6 seed/ft (2" deep) on May 24. | | | | | | |
| 7. | Harvest Dates: | Dug – Sep. 29 Picked – Oct. 3 | | | | | | |

E. SUMMARY:

Following planting, the test site experienced heavy rain/irrigation and cold soil temperatures that were very challenging for plant stand establishment, especially considering the poor quality of seed used. The seed were selected to insure disease pressure and separation of effective end ineffective treatments, but the combination of poor seed and highly stressed environment created a real acid test for seed/seedling diseases. It should be noted that these conditions are very unfavorable for development of *Aspergillus spp*. which have been the primary pathogens in recent years. There was some Aspergillus crown rot (*Aspergillus niger*), which was responsible for the "Dead plant" ratings. However, none of the in furrow treatments were able to provide equal plant stands or yields as did the Rancona seed treatment.

| | BAYER IN FURROW RATE TEST, 2023 | | | | | | | | | |
|----------------------------------|--|--------------|-------------------------|--------------------|----------|--------|----------------------------|------|--|--|
| | | LANG F | ARM, SC | OUTH FIE | LD | | | | | |
| | | | Plant/ft ¹ 9 | | | | 6 Dead Plants ² | | | |
| Seed Trt | In Furrow | Rate/A | 5-Jun | 15-Jun | 5-Jun | 15-Jun | 28-Jun | lb/A | | |
| 1. None | None | - | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 4.2 | 369 | | |
| 2. None | Velum | 6.5 fl oz | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 479 | | |
| 3. None | Velum | 4.3 fl oz | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 461 | | |
| 4. None | Proline | 5.7 fl oz | 0.1 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 414 | | |
| 5. None | Proline | 3.8 fl oz | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 293 | | |
| 6. None | Propulse | 13.7 fl oz | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 413 | | |
| 7. None | Propulse | 9.0 fl oz | 0.1 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 565 | | |
| 8. None | Abound | 6.0 fl oz | 0.3 | 0.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.8 | 524 | | |
| 9. None | Kphite | 32.0 fl oz | 0.7 | 1.0 | 0.0 | 0.6 | 9.8 | 560 | | |
| 10. Rancona VPD* | None | - | 1.2 | 1.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 865 | | |
| LSD(P<0.05) | - | - | 0.2 | 0.2 | N. S. | 0.6 | 3.3 | 213 | | |
| *Rancona VPD appl | lied at 4 oz/1 | 00 lb. | | | | | | | | |
| Plant/ft ¹ = Stand co | | | | | | | | | | |
| % Dead Plants ² =The | | | | | | | crown rot | • | | |
| Seed was Olam Lot | 677 w/ A. nig | ger 35%, Rhi | zopus 639 | % and <i>A. fl</i> | avus 15% | 6 | | | | |

FMC XYWAY TEST II, 2023

- A. PURPOSE: To evaluate the comparative efficacy of various Xyway LFR application methods at planting for the control of soil borne and foliar diseases.
- B. EXPERIMENTAL DESIGN:
 - 1. Randomized complete blocks with four replicates.
 - 2. One two-row bed (25ft x 6ft) per plot, 36-inch row spacing.
 - 3. There are eight-foot alleyways between blocks.
 - 4. Plots were established in an area of continuous peanut production.
 - 5. Variety: TifNV-HiOL
- C. APPLICATION OF TREATMENTS:
 - Equipment: T band treatment sprays used in furrow nozzle raised to band 4-6 inches over open furrow and were applied at 18 PSI going 3.3 MPH in 3.4 GPA using a CO2 unit with one 80015 flat fan tip per row and 50 mesh ball check screens. Surface band treatments were applied over the top of the row in a 4-6-inch band at 50 PSI going 3.5 MPH in 20 GPA using a CO2 unit with one 8003 flat fan tip per row and 50 mesh ball check screens. Treatment sprays 1-7 were applied at 45 PSI at 2.5 MPH in 20 GPA using a CO2 unit with six SX-6 tips and 50 mesh ball check screens.
 - 2. Treatment sprays: T band sprays were applied at planting on May 25, and surface band sprays were applied after planting on May 25. Applications 1-7 were applied on June 27, July 12, July 27, Aug. 8, Aug. 23, Sep. 5, and Sep. 21.

| 1. | Location: | Blackshank Farm, South Field, Tifton, GA 31794 |
|----|-------------------|--|
| 2. | Land Preparation: | Fertilizer (5-15-30) was broadcast at 600 lb/a, field was deep turned, beds marked 6 ft, and fertilizer turned under on Apr. 11. |
| 3. | Soil Fertility: | pH: 6.5 P: 29.2 K: 73 Ca: 643 Mg: 60.6 |
| | Soil type: | Tifton loamy sand, $2 - 5\%$ slope. |
| 4. | Herbicides: | PPI: Tank mix of Sonalan (1 qt/a) + Dual Magnum (1.3 pt/a) + Strongarm (0.45 oz/a) on Apr. 13. Rototilled to incorporate. |
| 5. | Insecticides: | Thimet (5 lbs/a) on May 25. |
| 6. | Planting Info: | TifNV-HiOL, 6.6 seed/ft (2" deep) on May 25. |

7. Harvest Dates:

E: SUMMARY:

The mid season "dirting" cultivation was not done in this trial, and white mold levels were low with few treatment differences. Leaf spot severity was less than anticipated with some differences among treatments, but not impacting yield. Plant stands were moderate, again with some delay of emergence from the higher rates of Xyway applied as a T-band. There were few yield differences, and no differences in incidence of TSWV.

| | | | LAN | G FARM, | SOUTH | FIELD | | | | | |
|--------------|--|------------|------------|-----------------------|-------|----------------------------|--------|-------------------|--------|--------|-------|
| | | | | _ | | | | TSWV ³ | | | |
| | | | Plan | Plant/ft ¹ | | % Dead Plants ² | | | LS⁴ | WM⁵ | Yield |
| Treatment | App's | Rate/A | 5-Jun | 16-Jun | 5-Jun | 16-Jun | 29-Jun | 21-Aug | 20-Sep | 28-Sep | lb/A |
| 1. Untreated | - | - | 3.0 | 3.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 11.5 | 4.0 | 10.0 | 3359 |
| 2. Xyway LFR | T Band* | 12.7 fl oz | 2.2 | 3.1 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 17.5 | 3.0 | 10.0 | 3051 |
| Bravo | 2 – 7 | 1.5 pt | | | | | | | | | |
| 3. Xyway LFR | T Band* | 25.4 fl oz | 1.4 | 2.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 17.0 | 2.6 | 7.5 | 2419 |
| Bravo | 2 – 7 | 1.5 pt | | | | | | | | | |
| 4. Xyway LFR | T Band* | 12.7 fl oz | 1.8 | 3.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 28.5 | 3.6 | 7.5 | 3046 |
| Bravo | 4 – 7 | 1.5 pt | | | | | | | 2.0 | | |
| 5. Xyway LFR | T Band* | 25.4 fl oz | 1.3 | 2.7 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 28.5 | 3.1 | 11.5 | 2612 |
| Bravo | 4 - 7 | 1.5 pt | | | | | | | | | |
| 6. Xyway LFR | Surface Band** | 12.7 fl oz | 3.3 | 3.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 19.0 | 2.4 | 7.0 | 3557 |
| Bravo | 2 – 7 | 1.5 pt | | | | | | | | | |
| 7. Xyway LFR | Surface Band** | 25.4 fl oz | 2.9 | 3.6 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 17.0 | 2.9 | 11.0 | 3665 |
| Bravo | 2 – 7 | 1.5 pt | | | | | | | | | |
| 8. Xyway LFR | Surface Band** | 12.7 fl oz | 3.3 | 3.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 14.0 | 3.5 | 8.0 | 3684 |
| Bravo | 4 – 7 | 1.5 pt | | | | | | | | | |
| 9. Xyway LFR | Surface Band** | 25.4 fl oz | 3.0 | 3.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 18.0 | 3.0 | 10.0 | 3102 |
| Bravo | 4 - 7 | 1.5 pt | | | | | | | | | |
| 10. Bravo | 1 – 7 | 1.5 pt | 3.1 | 3.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 23.5 | 2.9 | 12.5 | 3544 |
| 11. Bravo | 1 – 7 | 1.5 pt | 3.0 | 3.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 16.5 | 2.9 | | 3393 |
| Convoy*** | 3 & 5 | 32.0 fl oz | | | | | | | | 4.0 | |
| LSD(P<0.05) | - | - | 0.6 | 0.4 | N. S. | 0.3 | N. S. | 12.0 | 0.8 | 6.7 | 896 |
| | furrow nozzle raise was applied over tl | | | • | | | | | | | |
| | were broadcast b | | low in a + | | | | | | | | |
| | count is the numbe | | plants pe | r foot of ro | w. | | | | | | |
| | The % of emerged | - | | | | | | | | | |
| | of row feet infectd | | | | | per plot. | | | | | |
| | da 1 - 10 scale, wł | | | | | | | | | | |

KANNAR SEED TREATMENTTEST I, 2023

A. PURPOSE: To evaluate the efficacy of labeled and experimental seed treatments on peanut stands when using compromised seed.

B. EXPERIMENTAL DESIGN:

- 1. Randomized complete blocks with five replicates.
- 2. One two-row bed (25ft x 6ft) per plot, 36-inch row spacing.
- 3. There are eight-foot alleyways between blocks.
- 4. Plots were established in an area of continuous peanut production.
- 5. Variety: Untreated compromised GA-06G (lot #677, ±70% germ w/ Rancona), 35% *A. niger*, 15% *A. flavus*, and 63% *Rhizopus* in nontreated seed.

C. APPLICATION OF TREATMENTS:

- 1. Equipment: Cover sprays applied at 32 PSI going 4.3 MPH in 19.7 GPA using six TX-12 tips and 50 mesh ball check screens.
- Cover Sprays: Chlorothalonil (1.5 pts/a) was sprayed on June 27, July 12, July 27, Aug. 8, Aug. 23, Sep. 5, and Sep. 21, and Elatus (9 oz/a) was sprayed on July 27, Aug. 8, and Aug. 23.

| 1. | Location: | Lang Farm, South Field, Tifton, GA, 31794 | | | | | |
|----|-------------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| 2. | Land Preparation: | Fertilizer (5-15-30) was broadcast at 600 lb/a, field was deep turned, beds marked 6 ft, and fertilizer turned under on Apr. 11. | | | | | |
| 3. | Soil Fertility: | pH: 6.49 P: 27 K: 47.5 Ca: 784 Mg: 71.8 | | | | | |
| | Soil type: | Tifton loamy sand, $2 - 5\%$ slope. | | | | | |
| 4. | Herbicides: | PPI: Tank mix of Sonalan (1 qt/a) + Dual Magnum (1.3 pt/a) + Strongarm (0.45 oz/a) on Apr. 13. Rototilled to incorporate. | | | | | |
| 5. | Insecticides: | Thimet (5 lbs/a) on May 24. | | | | | |
| 6. | Planting Info: | GA-06G, 6.6 seed/ft (2" deep) on May 24. | | | | | |
| 7. | Harvest Dates: | Dug – Sep. 29 Picked – Oct. 3 | | | | | |

E. SUMMARY:

Following planting, the test site experienced heavy rain/irrigation and cold soil temperatures that were very challenging for plant stand establishment, especially considering the poor quality of seed used. The seed were selected to insure disease pressure and separation of effective end ineffective treatments, but the combination of poor seed and highly stressed environment created a real acid test for seed/seedling diseases. It should be noted that these conditions are very unfavorable for development of *Aspergillus spp*. which have been the primary pathogens in recent years. There was almost no Aspergillus crown rot (*Aspergillus niger*), as indicated by the "Dead plant" ratings. Although all yields were low, there were some interesting increases in plant stand and pod yield with several of the experimental seed treatments compared to the standard Rancona VPL seed treatment.

| KANNAR SEED TREATIVIENT TEST 1, 2025 | | | | | | | | | |
|--------------------------------------|------------|-----------------------|-------------|-------------|------------------|-------------------|-----------------------|-------|--|
| | | LAN | IG FARM | , SOUTH | FIELD | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | Plan | Plant/ft ¹ | | Dead Plar | nts ² | TSWV ³ | Roots/ft ⁴ | Yield | |
| Seed Trt | 5-Jun | 15-Jun | 5-Jun | 15-Jun | 28-Jun | 20-Sep | 29-Sep | lb/A | |
| 1. Rancona VPL | 0.7 | 1.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 56.0 | 0.9 | 1173 | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| 2. EXP 1 | 1.0 | 1.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 60.4 | 1.1 | 1336 | |
| 3. EXP 2 | 0.9 | 1.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 58.0 | 1.1 | 1540 | |
| 4. EXP 3 | 1.0 | 1.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 60.0 | 1.2 | 1213 | |
| 5. EXP 4 | 1.0 | 1.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 59.6 | 1.3 | 1465 | |
| 6. EXP 5 | 0.9 | 1.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 62.0 | 1.4 | 1400 | |
| 7. EXP 6 | 1.0 | 1.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 63.5 | 1.4 | 1625 | |
| 8. EXP 7 | 1.2 | 1.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 58.0 | 1.5 | 1315 | |
| LSD(P<0.05) | 0.2 | 0.3 | N. S. | N. S. | N. S. | 8.5 | 0.2 | 388 | |
| Plant/ft ¹ = Stand co | unt is the | number of | emerged p | lants per f | oot of row. | | | | |
| % Dead Plants ² =Th | e % of em | erged plan | ts that wer | e dead or | dying per pl | ot. | | | |
| TSWV ³ =Percent of I | | | | | | | r plot. | | |
| Roots/ft ⁴ =Number of | | | | | | | | | |

KANNAR SEED TREATMENT TEST I 2023

46

KANNAR SEED TREATMENT TEST II, 2023

A. PURPOSE: To evaluate the efficacy of experimental nematacides on peanut stands.

B. EXPERIMENTAL DESIGN:

- 1. Randomized complete blocks with five replicates.
- 2. One two-row bed (25ft x 6ft) per plot, 36-inch row spacing.
- 3. There are eight-foot alleyways between blocks.
- 4. Plots were established in an area of continuous peanut production.
- Variety: Untreated compromised GA-06G (lot #677, ±70% germ w/ Rancona), 35% A. niger, 15% A. flavus, and 63% Rhizopus in nontreated seed

C. APPLICATION OF TREATMENTS:

- 1. Equipment: Cover sprays applied at 32 PSI going 4.3 MPH in 19.7 GPA using six TX-12 tips and 50 mesh ball check screens.
- Cover Sprays: Chlorothalonil (1.5 pts/a) was sprayed on June 27, July 12, July 27, Aug. 8, Aug. 23, Sep. 5, and Sep. 21, and Elatus (9 oz/a) was sprayed on July 27, Aug. 8, and Aug. 23.

| 1. | Location: | Lang Farm, South Field, Tifton, GA, 31794 | | | | | |
|----|-------------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| 2. | Land Preparation: | Fertilizer (5-15-30) was broadcast at 600 lb/a, field was deep turned, beds marked 6 ft, and fertilizer turned under on Apr. 11. | | | | | |
| 3. | Soil Fertility: | pH: 6.49 P: 27 K: 47.5 Ca: 784 Mg: 71.8 | | | | | |
| | Soil type: | Tifton loamy sand, $2 - 5\%$ slope. | | | | | |
| 4. | Herbicides: | PPI: Tank mix of Sonalan (1 qt/a) + Dual Magnum (1.3 pt/a) + Strongarm (0.45 oz/a) on Apr. 13. Rototilled to incorporate. | | | | | |
| 5. | Insecticides: | Thimet (5 lbs/a) on May 24. | | | | | |
| 6. | Planting Info: | GA-06G, 6.6 seed/ft (2" deep) on May 24. | | | | | |
| 7. | Harvest Dates: | Dug – Sep. 29 Picked – Oct. 3 | | | | | |

E. SUMMARY:

Following planting, the test site experienced heavy rain/irrigation and cold soil temperatures that were very challenging for plant stand establishment, especially considering the poor quality of seed used. The seed were selected to insure disease pressure and separation of effective end ineffective treatments, but the combination of poor seed and highly stressed environment created a real acid test for seed/seedling diseases. It should be noted that these conditions are very unfavorable for development of *Aspergillus spp*. which have been the primary pathogens in recent years. There was almost no Aspergillus crown rot (*Aspergillus niger*), as indicated by the "Dead plant" ratings. Plant stands and pod yields were low in all treatments and were not significantly different.

| | KANNAR SEED TREATMENT TEST II, 2023 | | | | | | | | | |
|---------------------------------|-------------------------------------|---------------------|-------------|--------------------|-----------|-----------|------------------|-------------------|-----------------------|-------|
| | 1 | LA | NG FARI | M, SOUTH | I FIELD | | | | 1 | |
| | | | Plan | nt/ft ¹ | % | Dead Plan | its ² | TSWV ³ | Roots/ft ⁴ | Yield |
| Seed Trt | In Furrow | Rate/A | 5-Jun | 15-Jun | 5-Jun | 15-Jun | 28-Jun | 20-Sep | 29-Sep | lb/A |
| 1. Untreated | Untreated | | 0.7 | 1.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 65.6 | 1.2 | 1598 |
| 2. Untreated | Blue Nematacide 2.0 | 32.0 fl oz | 0.7 | 1.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 63.2 | 1.0 | 1292 |
| 3. Untreated | K-357 | 5.0 fl oz | 0.7 | 1.2 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 56.4 | 1.1 | 1575 |
| 4. Treatment A | Untreated | 0.25 fl oz/CWT | 0.9 | 1.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 66.4 | 1.2 | 1199 |
| 5. Treatment B | Untreated | 4.5 fl oz/CWT | 0.9 | 1.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 64.4 | 1.2 | 1996 |
| LSD(P<0.05) | - | | N. S. | N. S. | N. S. | N. S. | N. S. | 9.8 | N. S. | 507 |
| Plant/ft ¹ = Stand c | ount is the number of e | merged plants pe | r foot of r | ow. | | | | | | |
| % Dead Plants ² =T | he % of emerged plants | that were dead of | or dying pe | er plot. | | | | | | |
| TSWV ³ =Percent of | row feet infectd based | l on disease loci (| up to 12" | linear row) | per plot. | | | | | |
| Roots/ft ⁴ =Number | of tap roots per foot o | f row after the plo | ots were ir | nverted. | | | | | | |

<u>SYNGENTA SEED TREATMENT</u> <u>TEST I, 2023</u>

A. PURPOSE: To evaluate the efficacy of various labeled and experimental seed treatments on peanut stands when using compromised seed.

B. EXPERIMENTAL DESIGN:

- 1. Randomized complete blocks with five replicates.
- 2. One two-row bed (25ft x 6ft) per plot, 36-inch row spacing.
- 3. There are eight-foot alleyways between blocks.
- 4. Plots were established in an area of continuous peanut production.
- 5. Variety: Untreated compromised GA-06G (lot #6832, 69% germ w/ Rancona) 42% A. niger and 54% Rhizopus in nontreated seed

C. APPLICATION OF TREATMENTS:

- 1. Equipment: Cover sprays applied at 32 PSI going 4.3 MPH in 19.7 GPA using six TX-12 tips and 50 mesh ball check screens.
- Cover Sprays: Chlorothalonil (1.5 pts/a) was sprayed on June 27, July 12, July 27, Aug. 8, Aug. 23, Sep. 5, and Sep. 21, and Elatus (9 oz/a) was sprayed on July 27, Aug. 8, and Aug. 23.

| 1. | Location: | Lang Farm, South Field, Tifton, GA, 31794 |
|----|-------------------|--|
| 2. | Land Preparation: | Fertilizer (5-15-30) was broadcast at 600 lb/a, field was deep turned, beds marked 6 ft, and fertilizer turned under on Apr. 11. |
| 3. | Soil Fertility: | pH: 6.5 P: 29.2 K: 73 Ca: 643 Mg: 60.6 |
| | Soil type: | Tifton loamy sand, $2 - 5\%$ slope. |
| 4. | Herbicides: | PPI: Tank mix of Sonalan (1 qt/a) + Dual Magnum (1.3 pt/a) + Strongarm (0.45 oz/a) on Apr. 13. Rototilled to incorporate. |
| 5. | Insecticides: | Thimet (5 lbs/a) on May 25. |
| 6. | Planting Info: | GA-06G, 6.6 seed/ft (2" deep) on May 25. |
| 7. | Harvest Dates: | Dug – Sep. 29 Picked – Oct. 3 |

E. SUMMARY:

Following planting, the test site experienced heavy rain/irrigation and cold soil temperatures that were very challenging for plant stand establishment, especially considering the poor quality of seed used. The seed were selected to insure disease pressure and separation of effective end ineffective treatments, but the combination of poor seed and highly stressed environment created a real acid test for seed/seedling diseases. It should be noted that these conditions are very unfavorable for development of Aspergillus spp. which have been the primary pathogens in recent years. There was some Aspergillus crown rot (Aspergillus niger), as indicated by the "Dead plant" ratings for the nontreated seed. There were no differences among treatments in yield, except that all were higher than the nontreated control.

| | <u> </u> | INGLI | VIA JL | | | | <u>- 31 1, 2</u> | 025 | | |
|-------------------------------|-------------|-----------------------|-------------|--------------|-------------|-----------------------|------------------|-------------------|-----------------------|-------|
| | | | LA | NG FARM | 1, SOUTH | I FIELD | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | Plant/ft ¹ | | | % Dead | d Plants ² | | TSWV ³ | Roots/ft ⁴ | Yield |
| Seed Trt | 5-Jun | 8-Jun | 16-Jun | 5-Jun | 8-Jun | 16-Jun | 29-Jun | 21-Aug | 29-Sep | lb/A |
| 1. Dynasty PD | 1.5 | 2.0 | 2.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.7 | 40.4 | 1.9 | 2614 |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2. Trebuset | 1.2 | 1.9 | 1.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 48.4 | 1.6 | 2050 |
| | 4.2 | 1.0 | 4.0 | | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 45.0 | 4 5 | 2074 |
| 3. Syngenta EXP | 1.3 | 1.9 | 1.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 45.6 | 1.5 | 2074 |
| 4. Rancona VPD | 1.7 | 2.1 | 2.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.4 | 52.0 | 1.8 | 2439 |
| 5. Rancona VPL | 1.3 | 2.0 | 1.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 48.0 | 1.7 | 2387 |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| 6. Untreated | 0.3 | 0.7 | 0.7 | 0.0 | 2.5 | 3.0 | 10.5 | | 0.3 | 633 |
| LSD(P<0.05) | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.4 | N. S. | 1.9 | 1.6 | 3.1 | N. S. | 0.2 | 647 |
| Note: This test u | sed "bad | " seed (GA | -06G lot 6 | 832) and v | vas treate | d by Syng | enta. | | | |
| Plant/ft ¹ = Stand | count is tl | he number | of emerge | d plants pe | r foot of r | ow. | | | | |
| % Dead Plants ² =1 | ۲he % of e | emerged pl | ants that v | vere dead o | or dying (A | spergillus | crown rot). | | | |
| TSWV ³ =Percent c | of row fee | t infectd ba | ased on dis | ease loci (| up to 12" l | linear row) | per plot. | | | |
| Roots/ft ⁴ =Numbe | er of tap r | oots per fo | ot of row a | fter the plo | ots were in | verted. | | | | |

SYNGENTA SEED TREATMENT TEST 1 2023

<u>SYNGENTA SEED TREATMENT</u> <u>TEST II, 2023</u>

A. PURPOSE: To evaluate the efficacy of various labeled and experimental seed treatments on peanut stands when using good seed.

B. EXPERIMENTAL DESIGN:

- 1. Randomized complete blocks with five replicates.
- 2. One two-row bed (25ft x 6ft) per plot, 36-inch row spacing.
- 3. There are eight-foot alleyways between blocks.
- 4. Plots were established in an area of continuous peanut production.
- 5. GA-06G (High germination commercial seed)

C. APPLICATION OF TREATMENTS:

- 1. Equipment: Cover sprays applied at 32 PSI going 4.3 MPH in 19.7 GPA using six TX-12 tips and 50 mesh ball check screens.
- Cover Sprays: Chlorothalonil (1.5 pts/a) was sprayed on June 27, July 12, July 27, Aug. 8, Aug. 23, Sep. 5, and Sep. 21, and Elatus (9 oz/a) was sprayed on July 27, Aug. 8, and Aug. 23.

| 1. | Location: | Lang Farm, South Field, Tifton, GA, 31794 |
|----|-------------------|--|
| 2. | Land Preparation: | Fertilizer (5-15-30) was broadcast at 600 lb/a, field was deep turned, beds marked 6 ft, and fertilizer turned under on Apr. 11. |
| 3. | Soil Fertility: | pH: 6.5 P: 29.2 K: 73 Ca: 643 Mg: 60.6 |
| | Soil type: | Tifton loamy sand, $2 - 5\%$ slope. |
| 4. | Herbicides: | PPI: Tank mix of Sonalan (1 qt/a) + Dual Magnum (1.3 pt/a) + Strongarm (0.45 oz/a) on Apr. 13. Rototilled to incorporate. |
| 5. | Insecticides: | Thimet (5 lbs/a) on May 25. |
| 6. | Planting Info: | GA-06G, 6.6 seed/ft (2" deep) on May 25. |
| 7. | Harvest Dates: | Dug – Sep. 29 Picked – Oct. 3 |

E. SUMMARY:

Following planting, the test site experienced heavy rain/irrigation and cold soil temperatures that were very challenging for plant stand establishment. High quality commercial seed was used in this trial, and all treatments greatly increased plant stands and pod yields. Even with the good seed employed, yields were increased by almost 200 lb/A in some cases. It should be noted that these conditions were very unfavorable for development of *Aspergillus spp*. which have been the primary pathogens in recent years. There was some Aspergillus crown rot (*Aspergillus niger*), as indicated by the "Dead plant" ratings in the nontreated control, but all treatments were very effective against it.

| | | | LAN | | /I, SOUTI | H FIELD | | | | |
|---------------------------------|-------------|-----------------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|---------------------|------------|-------------------|-----------------------|-------|
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | Plant/ft ¹ | | | % Dead | Plants ² | | TSWV ³ | Roots/ft ⁴ | Yield |
| Seed Trt | 5-Jun | 8-Jun | 15-Jun | 5-Jun | 8-Jun | 15-Jun | 29-Jun | 21-Aug | 29-Sep | lb/A |
| 1. Dynasty PD | 4.2 | 4.5 | 4.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 46.0 | 4.4 | 3859 |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2. Trebuset | 3.6 | 4.0 | 3.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 36.4 | 4.2 | 4264 |
| 3. Syngenta EXP | 3.6 | 4.0 | 3.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 45.2 | 4.0 | 3749 |
| 4. Rancona VPD | 4.0 | 4.3 | 4.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 48.0 | 4.5 | 3983 |
| 5. Rancona VPL | 3.1 | 3.4 | 3.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 44.0 | 3.7 | 3893 |
| 6. Untreated | 1.5 | 1.8 | 1.5 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.5 | 9.8 | 61.2 | 1.3 | 2393 |
| LSD(P<0.05) | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.3 | N. S. | N. S. | 0.4 | 2.7 | 16.2 | 0.4 | 487.6 |
| Note: This test us | sed "good | l" seed an | d was tre | eated by | Syngenta | • | | | | |
| Plant/ft ¹ = Stand o | count is th | e number | of emerge | ed plants | per foot of | f row. | | | | |
| % Dead Plants ² =T | he % of e | merged pla | ants that | were dea | d or dying | (Aspergill | us crown r | ot). | | |
| TSWV ³ =Percent o | f row feet | infectd ba | ised on di | sease loc | i (up to 12 | " linear ro | w) per plo | ot. | | |
| Roots/ft ⁴ =Numbe | r of tap ro | ots per foo | ot of row a | after the I | olots were | inverted. | | | | |

SYNGENTA SEED TREATMENT TEST II, 2023

| | DAILY | (RAIN | IFALL · | + IRRI | GATIO | N, 20 | 23 | | | | | |
|----------------|------------------------|----------|---------|--------|-------|-----------------|------|------|--|--|--|--|
| | LANG FARM, SOUTH FIELD | | | | | | | | | | | |
| DATE | Mar | Apr | May | June | July | | Sep | Oct | | | | |
| 1 | 0 | Apr 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.25 | Aug 0 | 0.90 | 0 | | | | |
| 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.25 | 0 | 0.90 | 0 | | | | |
| 3 | 0 | 0.80 | 0 | 0 | 0.30 | 0.50 | 0 | 0 | | | | |
| 4 | 0 | 0.80 | 0 | 0 | 0.50 | 2.50 | 0 | 0 | | | | |
| 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | | | |
| 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.30 | 0.40 | 0 | 0 | | | | |
| 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.30 | 0.40 | 0.40 | 0 | | | | |
| 8 | 0 | 1.00 | 0.50 | 0.40 | 0.20 | 1.20 | 0.40 | 0 | | | | |
| 9 | 0 | 0 | 0.50 | 0.40 | 0.50 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | | | |
| 10 | 0.30 | 0 | 0.30 | 0 | 1.00 | 0.15 | 0 | 0 | | | | |
| 11 | 0.50 | 0 | 0.50 | 0 | 0 | 0.15 | 0.40 | 0 | | | | |
| 12 | 0.40 | 0 | 0 | 0.45 | 0 | 0.10 | 1.40 | 1.20 | | | | |
| 13 | 0.40 | 0 | 0 | 0.40 | 0 | 0.10 | 0.50 | 0 | | | | |
| 14 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2.30 | 0 | 0.45 | 0.50 | 0 | | | | |
| 15 | 0 | 0.20 | 0.35 | 2.10 | 0.60 | 0.45 | 0 | 0 | | | | |
| 16 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | | | |
| 17 | 1.00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.30 | 0 | | | | |
| 18 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | | | |
| 19 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1.00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | | | |
| 20 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.50 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | | | |
| 21 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1.50 | 0.60 | 0.50 | 0 | 0 | | | | |
| 22 | 0 | 0 | 0.60 | 0.10 | 2.30 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | | | |
| 23 | 0 | 0 | 0.10 | 0.60 | 0.90 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | | | |
| 24 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.60 | 0 | 0 | | | | |
| 25 | 0.60 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | | | |
| 26 | 0 | 0 | 0.50 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1.30 | 0 | | | | |
| 27 | 0.40 | 2.60 | 0 | 0 | 0.50 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | | | |
| 28 | 0.70 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.60 | 0 | 0 | | | | |
| 29 | 0 | 0.50 | 0.30 | 0 | 0.60 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | | | |
| 30 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2.60 | 0 | 0 | | | | |
| 31 | 0 | 0 | 0.50 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | | | |
| TOTAL (inches) | 3.40 | 5.10 | 3.15 | 8.85 | 8.55 | 9.60 | 5.20 | 1.20 | | | | |

SYNGENTA FUNGICIDE TEST, 2023

A. PURPOSE: To evaluate the comparative efficacy of commercial and experimental applied fungicides for the control of foliar and soil borne diseases.

B. EXPERIMENTAL DESIGN:

- 1. Randomized complete blocks with five replicates.
- 2. One two-row bed (25ft x 6ft) per plot, 36-inch row spacing.
- 3. There are eight-foot alleyways between blocks.
- 4. Plots were established in an area of continuous peanut production.
- 5. Variety: TifNV-HiOL

C. APPLICATION OF TREATMENTS:

- 1. Equipment: Treatment sprays applied at 45 PSI at 2.5 MPH in 20 GPA using a CO2 unit with six SX-6 tips and 50 mesh ball check screens.
- Treatment sprays: Sprays were applied on June 7 (app. 1), June 14 (app. 1.5), June 20 (app. 2), June 26 (app. 2.5), July 4 (app. 3), July 12 (app. 3.5), July 19 (app. 4), July 24 (app. 4.5), Aug. 1 (app. 5), Aug. 8 (app. 5.5), Aug. 15 (app. 6), and Aug. 29 (app. 7). No cover sprays were applied.

| 1. | Location: | Lang Farm, New Field, Tifton, GA, 31794 |
|----|-------------------|--|
| 2. | Land Preparation: | Fertilizer (5-15-30) was broadcast at 600 lb/a, field was deep turned, beds marked 6 ft, and fertilizer turned under on Apr. 11. |
| 3. | Soil Fertility: | pH: 6.37 P: 21.1 K: 36.2 Ca: 588 Mg: 33.7 |
| | Soil type: | Tifton loamy sand, $2 - 5\%$ slope. |
| 4. | Herbicides: | PPI: Tank mix of Sonalan (1 qt/a) + Dual Magnum (1.3 pt/a) + Strongarm (0.45 oz/a) on Apr. 13. Rototilled to incorporate. |
| 5. | Insecticides: | Thimet (5 lbs/a) on May 3. |
| 6. | Planting Info: | TifNV-HiOL, 6.6 seed/ft (2" deep) on May 3. |
| 7. | Additional Info: | An adjusted cultivator was run through the field on July 17 in order to throw soil on the peanut canopy, which covered lower vines. The purpose was to increase white mold infection throughout the test. |

8. Harvest Dates:

E: SUMMARY:

The mid-season "dirting" cultivation clearly impacted white mold and lead to increased levels of disease, which served to separate treatments and impact yields. Many of the treatments greatly reduced disease levels and increased yields. Overall, this was an excellent trial to determine efficacy of fungicides on white mold. There was only a low level of leaf spot present, primarily early leaf spot, with all treatments being better than the check, but few differences among treatments.

SYNGENTA FUNGICIDE TEST, 2023

LANG FARM, NEW FIELD LS¹ WM² Yield 18-Sep 22-Sep Treatment App's Rate/A lb/A 1. Untreated 4.1 50.4 3000 2. Bravo W'stik 1&7 1.5 pt 2.4 5.6 3846 Absolute Maxx 4.36 2 3.5 fl oz Elatus 45WG 3&5 7.3 oz **Provost Silver** 4&6 13.0 fl oz 3. Priaxor 1.5 6.0 fl oz 2.6 6.0 3594 Provysol 3&5 5.0 fl oz + Excalia 3.0 fl oz 8.0 fl oz Priaxor 4 Bravo 6 1.5 pt + Orius 3.6 7.2 fl oz 7 Bravo 1.5 pt 4. Bravo 1, 2, 4 & 7 3708 1.0 pt 2.2 17.2 Excalia 3&5 4.0 fl oz + Bravo 1.5 pt 6 Bravo 1.5 pt + Orius 3.6 7.2 fl oz 5. Bravo 2.7 6.8 3919 1 1.5 pt + Orius 3.6 7.2 fl oz 2 Alto 5.5 fl oz + Bravo 1.5 pt 3&5 Elatus 45WG 9.5 oz + Miravis 3.4 fl oz 7 Orius 3.6 7.2 fl oz + Bravo 1.5 pt + Alto 5.5 fl oz 6. Bravo W'stik 2.5 4.4 1 1.5 pt 3672 Elatus 45WG 2.5, 4 & 5.5 7.3 oz + Miravis 3.4 fl oz 7 Bravo 1.5 pt + Alto 5.5 fl oz 7. Bravo 1.5 pt 2.3 9.2 3739 1 Elatus 45WG 2.5 & 4.5 9.5 oz + Miravis 3.4 fl oz 3.5 & 5.5 5.5 fl oz Alto + Bravo 1.5 pt 7 Bravo 1.5 pt + Orius 7.2 fl oz 8. Bravo 2.1 1 1.5 pt 6.0 3776 Bravo 2 1.0 pt + Orius 7.2 fl oz Elatus 45WG 3&5 9.5 oz + Miravis 3.4 fl oz

Alto

+ Bravo

+ Orius

Bravo

4&6

7

5.5 fl oz

1.5 pt

1.5 pt

7.2 fl oz

SYNGENTA FUNGICIDE TEST, 2023

LANG FARM, NEW FIELD

| | | VI, INE VV | FILLD | | |
|------------------|--------------|---------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-------|
| | | | LS ¹ | WM ² | Yield |
| Treatment | App's | Rate/A | 18-Sep | 22-Sep | lb/A |
| 9. Bravo W'stik | 1 | 1.5 pt | 2.0 | 14.4 | 3817 |
| Alto | 2 | 5.5 fl oz | | | |
| + Bravo | | 1.5 pt | | | |
| A24733A WG | 3 & 5 | 9.0 oz | | | |
| Alto | 7 | 5.5 fl oz | | | |
| + Bravo | | 1.5 pt | | | |
| + Orius | | 7.2 fl oz | | | |
| 10. Bravo W'stik | 1 | 1.5 pt | 2.6 | 6.4 | 3992 |
| A24733A WG | 2.5, 4, 5.5 | 6.9 oz | | | |
| Alto | 7 | 5.5 fl oz | | | |
| + Bravo | | 1.5 pt | | | |
| | | | | | |
| 11. Bravo W'stik | 1 | 1.0 pt | 2.2 | 6.8 | 3521 |
| A24733A WG | 2.5 & 4.5 | 9.0 oz | | | |
| Bravo | 3.5 | 1.5 pt | | | |
| Alto | 5.5 | 5.5 fl oz | | | |
| + Bravo | 5.5 | 1.0 pt | | | |
| Bravo | 7 | 1.5 pt | | | |
| + Orius | / | 7.2 fl oz | | | |
| | | 7.2 11 02 | | | |
| 12. Bravo W'stik | 1 | 1.5 pt | 2.2 | 11.6 | 3939 |
| Orius | 2 | 7.2 fl oz | 2.2 | 11.0 | 3935 |
| | 2 | | | | |
| + Bravo | 295 | 1.5 pt | | | |
| A24733A WG | 3 & 5 | 9.0 oz | | | |
| Bravo | 4 | 1.5 pt | | | |
| Alto | 6 | 5.5 fl oz | | | |
| + Bravo | _ | 1.5 pt | | | |
| Bravo | 7 | 1.5 pt | | | |
| + Orius | | 7.2 fl oz | | | |
| 13. Bravo W'stik | 1 | 1.5 pt | 2.5 | 10.0 | 3508 |
| Alto | 2 | 5.5 fl oz | | | |
| + Bravo | | 1.5 pt | | | |
| A20259G** | 3 & 5 | 13.7 fl oz | | | |
| + Elatus 45WG | | 9.5 oz | | | |
| Alto | 7 | 5.5 fl oz | | | |
| + Bravo | | 1.5 pt | | | |
| + Orius | | 7.2 fl oz | | | |
| 14. Bravo W'stik | 1 | 1.5 pt | 2.6 | 4.4 | 3800 |
| Elatus 45WG | 2.5, 4 & 5.5 | 7.3 oz | 2.0 | | 5000 |
| + A20259G** | 2.5, 7 & 5.5 | 13.7 fl oz | | | |
| Bravo | 7 | 13.7 m 02 1.5 pt | | | |
| + Alto | / | 5.5 fl oz | | | |
| T AILU | | 5.5 II 0Z | | | |
| 15. Bravo W'stik | 1 | 1.5 pt | 2.7 | 5.2 | 3663 |
| Elatus 45WG | 2.5, 4 & 5.5 | 7.3 oz | | | |
| + Microthiol S | | 5.0 lb | | | |
| Bravo | 7 | 1.5 pt | | | |
| + Alto | | 5.5 fl oz | | | |
| LSD(P<0.05) | _ | | 0.6 | 7.0 | 519 |

Leaf Spot¹ = Florida 1 - 10 scale, where 1=no disease and 10=dead plant.

White Mold²=Percent of row feet infected based on disease loci (up to 12" linear row) per plot.

BASF FUNGICIDE TEST, 2023

- A. PURPOSE: To evaluate the comparative efficacy of commercial applied fungicides for the control of foliar and soil borne diseases.
- B. EXPERIMENTAL DESIGN:
 - 1. Randomized complete blocks with four replicates.
 - 2. One two-row bed (25ft x 6ft) per plot, 36-inch row spacing.
 - 3. There are eight-foot alleyways between blocks.
 - 4. Plots were established in an area of continuous peanut production.
 - 5. Variety: TifNV-HiOL
- C. APPLICATION OF TREATMENTS:
 - 1. Equipment: Treatment sprays applied at 45 PSI at 2.5 MPH in 20 GPA using a CO2 unit with six SX-6 tips and 50 mesh ball check screens.
 - 2. Treatment sprays: Sprays 1-7 were applied on June 7, June 20, July 4, July 19, Aug. 1, Aug. 15, and Aug. 29.

| 1. | Location: | Lang Farm, New Field, Tifton, GA 31794 |
|----|-------------------|--|
| 2. | Land Preparation: | Fertilizer (5-15-30) was broadcast at 600 lb/a, field was deep turned, beds marked 6 ft, and fertilizer turned under on Apr. 11. |
| 3. | Soil Fertility: | pH: 6.37 P: 21.1 K: 36.2 Ca: 588 Mg: 33.7 |
| | Soil type: | Tifton loamy sand, $2 - 5\%$ slope. |
| 4. | Herbicides: | PPI: Tank mix of Sonalan (1 qt/a) + Dual Magnum (1.3 pt/a) + Strongarm (0.45 oz/a) on Apr. 13. Rototilled to incorporate. |
| 5. | Insecticides: | Thimet (5 lbs/a) on May 3. |
| 6. | Planting Info: | TifNV-HiOL, 6.6 seed/ft (2" deep) on May 3. |
| 7. | Additional Info: | An adjusted cultivator was run through the field on July 17 in order to throw soil on the peanut canopy, which covered lower vines. The purpose was to increase white mold infection throughout the test. |
| 8. | Harvest Dates: | Dug – Sep. 21 Picked – Sep. 25 |

E: SUMMARY:

The mid season "dirting" cultivation clearly impacted white mold and lead to increased levels of disease, which served to separate treatments and impact yields. Many of the treatments greatly reduced disease levels and increased yields. Overall, this was an excellent trial to determine efficacy of fungicides on white mold. There was only a low level of leaf spot present, primarily early leaf spot, with all treatments being better than the check, but no differences among treatments.

| BASF FUNGICIDE TEST, 2023 | | | | | | | | | | |
|----------------------------------|-------|------------|-----------------|-----------------|-------|--|--|--|--|--|
| | | RM, NEW | | | | | | | | |
| | | , | | | | | | | | |
| | | | LS ¹ | WM ² | Yield | | | | | |
| Treatment | App's | Rate/A | 18-Sep | 22-Sep | lb/A | | | | | |
| 1. Nontreated | | | 4.0 | 43.5 | 2791 | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2. Bravo | 2&7 | 1.5 pt | 2.1 | 39.5 | 3422 | | | | | |
| Bravo | 3 – 6 | 1.5 pt | | | | | | | | |
| + Orius | | 7.2 fl oz | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| 3. Priaxor | 2 | 6.0 fl oz | 2.1 | 35.5 | 3213 | | | | | |
| Bravo | 3 – 6 | 1.5 pt | | | | | | | | |
| + Orius | | 7.2 fl oz | | | | | | | | |
| Bravo | 7 | 1.5 pt | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| 4. Priaxor | 2 | 6.0 fl oz | 2.3 | 27.5 | 3546 | | | | | |
| Provysol | 3 & 5 | 3.0 fl oz | | | | | | | | |
| + Orius | | 7.2 fl oz | | | | | | | | |
| Bravo | 4,6&7 | 1.5 pt | | | | | | | | |
| + Orius | | 7.2 fl oz | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| 5. Priaxor | 2 | 6.0 fl oz | 2.4 | 10.0 | 3897 | | | | | |
| Provysol | 3 & 5 | 5.0 fl oz | | | | | | | | |
| + Orius | | 7.2 fl oz | | | | | | | | |
| Bravo | 4,6&7 | 1.5 pt | | | | | | | | |
| + Orius | | 7.2 fl oz | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| 6. Priaxor | 2 | 6.0 fl oz | 2.2 | 19.0 | 3769 | | | | | |
| Provost Silver | 3 & 5 | 13.0 fl oz | | | | | | | | |
| Bravo | 4 & 6 | 1.5 pt | | | | | | | | |
| + Orius | | 7.2 fl oz | | | | | | | | |
| Bravo | 7 | 1.5 pt | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| 7. Priaxor | 2 | 6.0 fl oz | 2.3 | 26.5 | 3767 | | | | | |
| Exp 1 | 3 & 5 | 6.5 fl oz | | | | | | | | |
| Bravo | 4 & 6 | 1.5 pt | | | | | | | | |
| + Orius | | 7.2 fl oz | | | | | | | | |
| Bravo | 7 | 1.5 pt | | | | | | | | |
| | | fr - | | | | | | | | |
| 8. Priaxor | 2 | 6.0 fl oz | 1.9 | 4.5 | 4185 | | | | | |
| Elatus 45WG | 3 & 5 | 9.5 oz | | | | | | | | |
| + Bravo | | 1.5 pt | | | | | | | | |
| Bravo | 4 & 6 | 1.5 pt | | | | | | | | |
| + Orius | | 7.2 fl oz | | | | | | | | |
| Bravo | 7 | 1.5 pt | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| + Topsin | | 5.0 fl oz | | | | | | | | |

BASF FUNGICIDE TEST, 2023

LANG FARM, NEW FIELD

| | LANG FARI | •1, 142.00 1 | | | |
|------------------------------------|----------------|--------------|-----------------|-----------------|-------|
| | | | | | |
| | | | LS ¹ | WM ² | Yield |
| Treatment | App's | Rate/A | 18-Sep | 22-Sep | lb/A |
| 9. Priaxor | 2 | 6.0 fl oz | 2.4 | 5.5 | 3892 |
| Elatus 45WG | 3 & 5 | 9.5 oz | | | |
| + Bravo | | 1.5 pt | | | |
| Provysol | 4 & 6 | 3.0 fl oz | | | |
| + Orius | | 7.2 fl oz | | | |
| Bravo | 7 | 1.5 pt | | | |
| + Topsin | | 5.0 fl oz | | | |
| • | | | | | |
| 10. Exp 2 | 2 | 5.5 fl oz | 2.2 | 5.5 | 4016 |
| Elatus 45WG | 3 & 5 | 9.5 oz | | | |
| + Bravo | | 1.5 pt | | | |
| Provysol | 4 & 6 | 3.0 fl oz | | | |
| + Orius | | 7.2 fl oz | | | |
| Bravo | 7 | 1.5 pt | | | |
| + Topsin | | 5.0 fl oz | | | |
| 1005111 | | 5.0 11 02 | | | |
| 11. Exp 3 | 2 | 6.5 fl oz | 2.2 | 6.5 | 4234 |
| Elatus 45WG | 3 & 5 | 9.5 oz | 2.2 | 0.5 | 7237 |
| + Bravo | 505 | 1.5 pt | | | |
| Provysol | 4 & 6 | 3.0 fl oz | | | |
| + Orius | 4 & 0 | 7.2 fl oz | | | |
| | 7 | | | | |
| Bravo | 7 | 1.5 pt | | | |
| + Topsin | | 5.0 fl oz | | | |
| 12. Priaxor | 2 | 6.0 fl oz | 2.0 | 4.5 | 4141 |
| Elatus 45WG | 3 & 5 | 9.5 oz | 2.0 | 7.5 | 7171 |
| + Bravo | 505 | 1.5 pt | | | |
| Provysol | 4 & 6 | 3.0 fl oz | | | |
| + Orius | 4 & 0 | 7.2 fl oz | | | |
| | 7 | | | | |
| Bravo | / | 1.5 pt | | | |
| + Topsin | | 5.0 fl oz | | | |
| 13. Bravo | 1-7 | 1.5 pt | 2.1 | 40.5 | 3305 |
| 15. DIAVO | 1-7 | 1.5 pt | 2.1 | 40.5 | 5505 |
| 14. Bravo | 1, 2, 4, 6 & 7 | 1.5 pt | 1.9 | 20.0 | 3643 |
| Abound | 3 & 5 | 18.0 fl oz | 1.5 | 20.0 | 5045 |
| | 505 | 10.0 11 02 | | | |
| 15. Bravo | 1, 2, 4, 6 & 7 | 1.5 pt | 2.3 | 21.0 | 3409 |
| Abound | 3 & 5 | 18.0 fl oz | 2.5 | 21.0 | 3403 |
| + Microthiol S | 5 & 5 | 5.0 lb | | | |
| LSD(P<0.05) | _ | 5.010 | 0.7 | 16.3 | 670 |
| Leaf Spot ¹ = Florida 1 | - | - | | | |

row) per plot.

| | DAILY | (RAIN | IFALL · | + IRRI | <u>GATIO</u> | N, 20 | <u>23</u> | |
|----------------|-------|--------|---------|--------|--------------|-------|-----------|------|
| | | LAI | NG FAR | M, NEW | / FIELD | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| DATE | Mar | Apr | May | June | July | Aug | Sep | Oct |
| 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.25 | 0 | 0.90 | 0 |
| 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 3 | 0 | 0.80 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2.50 | 0 | 0 |
| 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.40 | 0 | 0 |
| 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.20 | 0 | 0.40 | 0 |
| 8 | 0 | 1.00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1.20 | 0 | 0 |
| 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.50 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 10 | 0.30 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1.00 | 0.15 | 0 | 0 |
| 11 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 12 | 0.40 | 0 | 0 | 0.45 | 0 | 0.10 | 1.40 | 1.20 |
| 13 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.40 | 0 | 0 | 0.50 | 0 |
| 14 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2.30 | 0 | 0.45 | 0 | 0 |
| 15 | 0 | 0.20 | 0.35 | 2.10 | 0.60 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 16 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 17 | 1.00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.30 | 0 |
| 18 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 19 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1.0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 20 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 21 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1.50 | 0.60 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 22 | 0 | 0 | 0.60 | 0.10 | 2.30 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 23 | 0 | 0 | 0.10 | 0.60 | 0.90 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 24 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.60 | 0 | 0 |
| 25 | 0.60 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 26 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1.30 | 0 |
| 27 | 0.40 | 2.60 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 28 | 0.70 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 29 | 0 | 0.50 | 0 | 0 | 0.60 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 30 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2.60 | 0 | 0 |
| 31 | 0 | 0 | 0.5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| TOTAL (inches) | 3.40 | 5.10 | 1.55 | 8.45 | 6.95 | 8.00 | 4.80 | 1.20 |

ADAMA FUNGICIDE TEST I, 2023

A. PURPOSE: To evaluate the comparative efficacy of commercial and experimental applied fungicides for the control of foliar and soil borne diseases.

B. EXPERIMENTAL DESIGN:

- 1. Randomized complete blocks with five replicates.
- 2. One two-row bed (25ft x 6ft) per plot, 36-inch row spacing.
- 3. There are eight-foot alleyways between blocks.
- 4. Plots were established in an area of continuous peanut production.
- 5. Variety: TifNV-HiOL

C. APPLICATION OF TREATMENTS:

- 1. Equipment: Treatment sprays 3-6 were applied at 45 PSI at 2.5 MPH in 20 GPA using a CO2 unit with six SX-6 tips and 50 mesh ball check screens. Treatment sprays 1, 2, and 7 were applied at 32 PSI going 4.3 MPH in 19.7 GPA using six TX-12 tips and 50 mesh ball check screens.
- 2. Treatment sprays: Sprays 1-7 were applied on June 7, June 20, July 3, July 18, Aug. 1, Aug. 15, and Aug. 29.

| 1. | Location: | Lang Farm, Cotton Field, Tifton, GA 31794 |
|----|-------------------|---|
| 2. | Land Preparation: | Fertilizer (5-15-30) was broadcast at 600 lb/a, field was deep turned, beds marked 6 ft, and fertilizer turned under on Apr. 11. |
| 3. | Soil Fertility: | pH: 5.73 P: 46.1 K: 37.5 Ca: 346 Mg: 25.7 |
| | Soil type: | Tifton loamy sand, $2 - 5\%$ slope. |
| 4. | Herbicides: | PPI: Tank mix of Sonalan (1 qt/a) + Dual Magnum (1.3 pt/a) + Strongarm (0.45 oz/a) on Apr. 13. Rototilled to incorporate. |
| 5. | Insecticides: | Thimet (5 lbs/a) on May 3. |
| 6. | Planting Info: | TifNV-HiOL, 6.6 seed/ft (2" deep) on May 3. |
| 7. | Additional Info: | An adjusted cultivator was run through the field on July 5 in order to throw soil on the peanut canopy, which covered lower vines. The purpose was to increase white mold infection throughout the test. |

8. Harvest Dates:

E: SUMMARY:

The mid season "dirting" cultivation clearly impacted white mold and lead to increased levels of disease, which served to separate treatments and impact yields. Some of the treatments reduced disease levels and increased yields. Overall, this was a good trial to determine efficacy of fungicides on white mold, but there was more variability across the trial and overall levels of control were not as high as some other trials. There was only a low level of leaf spot present, primarily early leaf spot.

| LANG FARM, COTTON FIELD | | | | | | | | | | | |
|-------------------------|---------|------------|-----------------|-----------------|-------|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | LS ¹ | WM ² | Yield | | | | | | |
| Treatment | App's | Rate/A | 14-Sep | 18-Sep | lb/A | | | | | | |
| 1. Nontreated | - | - | 3.2 | 70.8 | 2276 | | | | | | |
| 2. Bravo | 1, 2, 7 | 1.5 pt | 2.9 | 58.4 | 2733 | | | | | | |
| ADM.00050.F.4.D | 3-6 | 3.4 fl oz | | | | | | | | | |
| 3. Bravo | 1, 2, 7 | 1.5 pt | 2.6 | 60.4 | 2412 | | | | | | |
| ADM.00050.F.4.D | 3-6 | 5.1 fl oz | | | | | | | | | |
| 4. Bravo | 1, 2, 7 | 1.5 pt | 2.8 | 50.8 | 3254 | | | | | | |
| Quadris | 3-6 | 5.5 fl oz | 2.0 | 50.0 | 5254 | | | | | | |
| Quauns | 5-0 | 5.511.02 | | | | | | | | | |
| 5. Bravo | 1, 2, 7 | 1.5 pt | 3.0 | 58.0 | 3249 | | | | | | |
| Quadris | 3-6 | 8.2 fl oz | | | | | | | | | |
| 6. Bravo | 1, 2, 7 | 1.5 pt | 2.7 | 41.2 | 3636 | | | | | | |
| ADM.00162.F.3.C | 3-6 | 6.5 fl oz | | | | | | | | | |
| 7. Bravo | 1, 2, 7 | 1.5 pt | 2.6 | 54.0 | 3520 | | | | | | |
| ADM.00162.F.3.C | 3-6 | 8.5 fl oz | | | | | | | | | |
| 8. Bravo | 1, 2, 7 | 1.5 pt | 2.6 | 53.2 | 3138 | | | | | | |
| Тор МР | 3-6 | 7.0 fl oz | | | | | | | | | |
| 9. Bravo | 1, 2, 7 | 1.5 pt | 2.4 | 56.0 | 3323 | | | | | | |
| Тор МР | 3-6 | 7.0 fl oz | 2.7 | 50.0 | 5525 | | | | | | |
| ADM.00050.F.4.D | 3-6 | 3.4 fl oz | | | | | | | | | |
| | - | | | | | | | | | | |
| 10. Bravo | 1, 2, 7 | 1.5 pt | 2.7 | 61.6 | 2729 | | | | | | |
| Тор МР | 3-6 | 7.0 fl oz | | | | | | | | | |
| ADM.00050.F.4.D | 3-6 | 5.1 fl oz | | | | | | | | | |
| 11. Bravo | 1, 2, 7 | 1.5 pt | 2.5 | 42.8 | 3527 | | | | | | |
| Muscle Advance | 3-6 | 32 fl oz | | | | | | | | | |
| 12. Bravo | 1, 2, 7 | 1.5 pt | 2.6 | 39.6 | 3766 | | | | | | |
| Provost Silver | 3-6 | 12.5 fl oz | | | | | | | | | |
| LSD(P<0.05) | - | _ | 0.3 | 18.3 | 972 | | | | | | |

White Mold²=Percent of row feet infected based on disease loci (up to 12" linear row) per plot.

ADAMA FUNGICIDE TEST II, 2023

- A. PURPOSE: To evaluate the comparative efficacy of commercial applied fungicides for the control of foliar and soil borne diseases.
- B. EXPERIMENTAL DESIGN:
 - 1. Randomized complete blocks with five replicates.
 - 2. One two-row bed (25ft x 6ft) per plot, 36-inch row spacing.
 - 3. There are eight-foot alleyways between blocks.
 - 4. Plots were established in an area of continuous peanut production.
 - 5. Variety: TifNV-HiOL

C. APPLICATION OF TREATMENTS:

- Equipment: Treatment sprays 3-6 were applied at 45 PSI at 2.5 MPH in 20 GPA using a CO2 unit with six SX-6 tips and 50 mesh ball check screens. Treatment sprays 1, 2, and 7 were applied at 32 PSI going 4.3 MPH in 19.7 GPA using six TX-12 tips and 50 mesh ball check screens.
- 2. Treatment sprays: Sprays 1-7 were applied on June 7, June 20, July 3, July 18, Aug. 1, Aug. 15, and Aug. 29.

| 1. | Location: | Lang Farm, Cotton Field, Tifton, GA 31794 | | |
|----|-------------------|---|--|--|
| 2. | Land Preparation: | Fertilizer (5-15-30) was broadcast at 600 lb/a, field was deep turned, beds marked 6 ft, and fertilizer turned under on Apr. 11. | | |
| 3. | Soil Fertility: | pH: 5.73 P: 46.1 K: 37.5 Ca: 346 Mg: 25.7 | | |
| | Soil type: | Tifton loamy sand, $2 - 5\%$ slope. | | |
| 4. | Herbicides: | PPI: Tank mix of Sonalan (1 qt/a) + Dual Magnum (1.3 pt/a) + Strongarm (0.45 oz/a) on Apr. 13. Rototilled to incorporate. | | |
| 5. | Insecticides: | Thimet (5 lbs/a) on May 3. | | |
| 6. | Planting Info: | TifNV-HiOL, 6.6 seed/ft (2" deep) on May 3. | | |
| 7. | Additional Info: | An adjusted cultivator was run through the field on July 5 in order to throw soil on the peanut canopy, which covered lower vines. The purpose was to increase white mold infection throughout the test. | | |

8. Harvest Dates:

E: SUMMARY:

The mid season "dirting" cultivation clearly impacted white mold and lead to increased levels of disease. Disease levels in this test were severe, and only Provost Silver had significantly less white mold than the nontreated. Yields were generally low, and only a couple treatments were higher than the nontreated control. There was also more variability across the trial and overall levels of control were not as high as some other trials. There was only a low level of leaf spot present, primarily early leaf spot.

| | LANG FAR | M, COTTON | FIELD | | |
|-----------------|----------|------------|-----------------|-----------------|-------|
| | | | LS ¹ | WM ² | Yield |
| Treatment | App's | Rate/A | 14-Sep | 18-Sep | lb/A |
| 1. Untreated | | | 2.9 | 72.8 | 1735 |
| 2. Bravo W'stik | 1, 2 & 7 | 1.5 pt | 2.5 | 71.6 | 2226 |
| Soratel 250EC | 3 - 6 | 3.3 fl oz | | | |
| + Vantana 500 | | 5.2 fl oz | | | |
| 3. Bravo W'stik | 1, 2 & 7 | 1.5 pt | 2.7 | 75.6 | 2062 |
| Soratel 250EC | 3 - 6 | 4.4 fl oz | | | |
| + Vantana 500 | | 6.8 fl oz | | | |
| 4. Bravo W'stik | 1, 2 & 7 | 1.5 pt | 2.5 | 68.4 | 2349 |
| Soratel 250EC | 3 - 6 | 5.5 fl oz | | | |
| + Vantana 500 | | 8.3 fl oz | | | |
| 5. Bravo W'stik | 1, 2 & 7 | 1.5 pt | 2.6 | 72.0 | 1790 |
| Soratel 250EC | 3 - 6 | 4.4 fl oz | | | |
| 6. Bravo W'stik | 1, 2 & 7 | 1.5 pt | 2.6 | 72.4 | 2317 |
| Vantana 500 | 3 - 6 | 13.8 fl oz | | | |
| 7. Bravo W'stik | 1, 2 & 7 | 1.5 pt | 2.7 | 76.4 | 1607 |
| Vantana 500 | 3 - 6 | 6.8 fl oz | | | |
| 8. Bravo W'stik | 1, 2 & 7 | 1.5 pt | 2.6 | 54.4 | 2964 |
| Provost Silver | 3 - 6 | 12.5 fl oz | | | |
| 9. Bravo | 1, 2 & 7 | 1.5 pt | 2.6 | 56.4 | 3025 |
| Muscle Advance | 3 - 6 | 32.0 fl oz | | | |
| LSD(P<0.05) | - | _ | 0.3 | 17.4 | 943 |

Leaf Spot¹ = Florida 1 - 10 scale, where 1=no disease and 10=dead plant. White Mold²=Percent of row feet infected based on disease loci (up to 12" linear row) per plot.

<u>FMC EXPERIMENTAL PROGRAMS</u> <u>TEST, 2023</u>

A. PURPOSE: To evaluate the comparative efficacy of commercial and experimental applied fungicides for the control of foliar and soil borne diseases.

B. EXPERIMENTAL DESIGN:

- 1. Randomized complete blocks with six replicates.
- 2. One two-row bed (25ft x 6ft) per plot, 36-inch row spacing.
- 3. There are eight-foot alleyways between blocks.
- 4. Plots were established in an area of continuous peanut production.
- 5. Variety: TifNV-HiOL

C. APPLICATION OF TREATMENTS:

- 1. Equipment: Treatment sprays applied at 45 PSI at 2.5 MPH in 20 GPA using a CO2 unit with six SX-6 tips and 50 mesh ball check screens.
- 2. Sprays: Sprays were applied on June 7, June 21, July 3, July 18, Aug. 1, Aug. 15, and Aug. 29. No cover sprays were applied.

| 1. | Location: | Lang Farm, Cotton Field, Tifton, GA, 31794 | | |
|----|-------------------|---|--|--|
| 2. | Land Preparation: | Fertilizer (5-15-30) was broadcast at 600 lb/a, field was deep turned, beds marked 6 ft, and fertilizer turned under on Apr. 11. | | |
| 3. | Soil Fertility: | pH: 5.73 P: 46.1 K: 37.5 Ca: 346 Mg: 25.7 | | |
| | Soil type: | Tifton loamy sand, $2 - 5\%$ slope. | | |
| 4. | Herbicides: | PPI: Tank mix of Sonalan (1 qt/a) + Dual Magnum (1.3 pt/a) + Strongarm (0.45 oz/a) on Apr. 13. Rototilled to incorporate. | | |
| 5. | Insecticides: | Thimet (5 lbs/a) on May 3. | | |
| 6. | Planting Info: | TifNV-HiOL, 6.6 seed/ft (2" deep) on May 3. | | |
| 7. | Additional Info: | An adjusted cultivator was run through the field on July 5 in order to throw soil on the peanut canopy, which covered lower vines. The purpose was to increase white mold infection throughout the test. | | |

8. Harvest Dates:

E: SUMMARY:

The mid season "dirting" cultivation clearly impacted white mold and lead to increased levels of disease, which served to separate treatments and impact yields. Many of the treatments reduced disease levels and increased yields, and Trt 6 was outstanding. Overall this was an excellent trial to determine efficacy of fungicides on white mold. There was only a low level of leaf spot present, primarily early leaf spot, and there were some differences among treatments.

| | FMC EXPERIMENTAL PROGRAMS TEST, 2023 LANG FARM, COTTON FIELD | | | | |
|--------------|---|------------|-----------------|-----------------|-------|
| | | | | | |
| | | | LS ¹ | WM ² | Yield |
| Treatment | App's | Rate/A | 12-Sep | 18-Sep | lb/A |
| 1. Untreated | | | 4.3 | 71.0 | 2154 |
| 2. TST98 | 1-7 | 4.28 fl oz | 3.6 | 43.7 | 3894 |
| 3. VFW68 | 1-7 | 5.80 fl oz | 2.5 | 31.3 | 4596 |
| 4. VJR84 | 1-7 | 7.00 fl oz | 3.0 | 31.0 | 4178 |
| 5. Lucento | 1-7 | 5.5 fl oz | 2.5 | 39.0 | 4075 |
| 6. Lucento | 1-7 | 5.5 fl oz | 2.4 | 9.0 | 5617 |
| + TST98 | | 4.28 fl oz | | | |
| 7. Adastrio | 1-7 | 8.96 fl oz | 2.1 | 23.7 | 4652 |
| 8. Bravo | 1-7 | 1.5 pt | | | |
| + Muscle | | 7.2 fl oz | 1.7 | 53.0 | 3188 |
| | 1 | | 0.7 | 13.5 | 624 |

<u>FMC FUNGICIDE PROGRAMS</u> <u>TEST, 2023</u>

- A. PURPOSE: To evaluate the comparative efficacy of commercial and experimental applied fungicides for the control of foliar and soil borne diseases.
- B. EXPERIMENTAL DESIGN:
 - 1. Randomized complete blocks with five replicates.
 - 2. One two-row bed (25ft x 6ft) per plot, 36-inch row spacing.
 - 3. There are eight-foot alleyways between blocks.
 - 4. Plots were established in an area of continuous peanut production.
 - 5. Variety: TifNV-HiOL

C. APPLICATION OF TREATMENTS:

- 1. Equipment: Treatment sprays applied at 45 PSI at 2.5 MPH in 20 GPA using a CO2 unit with six SX-6 tips and 50 mesh ball check screens.
- 2. Sprays: Sprays were applied on June 7, June 21, July 3, July 18, Aug. 1, Aug. 15, and Aug. 29. No cover sprays were applied.

D. ADDITIONAL INFORMATION:

| 1. | Location: | Lang Farm, Cotton Field, Tifton, GA, 31794 | | |
|----|-------------------|---|--|--|
| 2. | Land Preparation: | Fertilizer (5-15-30) was broadcast at 600 lb/a, field was deep turned, beds marked 6 ft, and fertilizer turned under on Apr. 11. | | |
| 3. | Soil Fertility: | pH: 5.73 P: 46.1 K: 37.5 Ca: 346 Mg: 25.7 | | |
| | Soil type: | Tifton loamy sand, $2 - 5\%$ slope. | | |
| 4. | Herbicides: | PPI: Tank mix of Sonalan (1 qt/a) + Dual Magnum (1.3 pt/a) + Strongarm (0.45 oz/a) on Apr. 13. Rototilled to incorporate. | | |
| 5. | Insecticides: | Thimet (5 lbs/a) on May 3. | | |
| 6. | Planting Info: | TifNV-HiOL, 6.6 seed/ft (2" deep) on May 3. | | |
| 7. | Additional Info: | An adjusted cultivator was run through the field on July 5 in order to throw soil on the peanut canopy, which covered lower vines. The purpose was to increase white mold infection throughout the test. | | |
| 8. | Harvest Dates: | Dug – Sep. 18 Picked – Sep. 22 | | |

E: SUMMARY:

The mid season "dirting" cultivation clearly impacted white mold and lead to increased levels of disease, which served to separate treatments and impact yields. All of the treatments reduced disease levels and increased yields, most at a very high level. Overall, this was an excellent trial to determine efficacy of fungicides on white mold. There was only a low level of leaf spot present, primarily early leaf spot, and there were some differences among treatments.

FMC FUNGICIDE PROGRAMS TEST, 2023 LANG FARM, COTTON FIELD LS¹ WM² Yield 14-Sep lb/A Treatment App's Rate/A 12-Sep 1. Untreated 3.9 44.8 3300 2. Bravo W'stik 1 1.5 pt 2.1 10.0 4926 Lucento 2&4 5.5 fl oz Convoy 3 32.0 fl oz + Bravo 1.5 pt Elatus 45WG 5 9.5 oz Provost Silver 6 13.0 fl oz Bravo W'stik 7 1.5 pt + Muscle 7.2 fl oz 3. Bravo W'stik 1 1.5 pt 2.4 10.8 5173 Provysol 2 5.0 fl oz 7.2 fl oz + Muscle 3&5 5.5 fl oz Lucento 32.0 fl oz Convoy 4 + Bravo 1.5 pt Elatus 45WG 6 9.5 oz 7 Provost Silver 13.0 fl oz 4. Bravo W'stik 1 1.5 pt 2.9 6.4 5187 Provysol 2 5.0 fl oz + Muscle 7.2 fl oz Convoy 3 32.0 fl oz + Bravo 1.5 pt Lucento 4&6 5.5 fl oz 5 Elatus 45WG 9.5 oz 7 **Provost Silver** 13.0 fl oz 5. Bravo W'stik 4.4 4900 1 1.5 pt 2.3 2&4 Lucento 5.5 fl oz 3 32.0 fl oz Convoy + Bravo 1.5 pt Elatus 45WG 5 9.5 oz VJR90-R002 6 9.0 fl oz + Bravo 1.5 pt Bravo W'stik 7 1.5 pt + Muscle 7.2 fl oz 6. Bravo W'stik 18.0 1&2 1.5 pt 2.4 4927 VJR90-R002 3-6 9.0 fl oz Bravo W'stik 7 1.5 pt 7. Bravo W'stik 1.5 pt 2.0 20.0 4602 1 VJR90-R002 3 - 6 9.0 fl oz + Bravo 1.5 pt Bravo W'stik 7 1.5 pt

| | LANG F | ARM, COT | TON FIE | LD | |
|------------------------|--------|---------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-------|
| | | | | | |
| | | | LS ¹ | WM ² | Yield |
| Treatment | App's | Rate/A | 12-Sep | 14-Sep | lb/A |
| 8. Bravo W'stik | 1 | 1.5 pt | 2.7 | 5.6 | 5628 |
| Lucento | 2&4 | 5.5 fl oz | | | |
| Convoy | 3&5 | 32.0 fl oz | | | |
| + Bravo | | 1.5 pt | | | |
| Provost Silver | 6 | 13.0 fl oz | | | |
| Bravo | 7 | 1.5 pt | | | |
| + Muscle | | 7.2 fl oz | | | |
| | | | | | |
| 9. Bravo W'stik | 1 | 1.5 pt | 2.7 | 12.8 | 4702 |
| Lucento | 2&4 | 5.5 fl oz | | | |
| Excalia | 3&5 | 4.0 fl oz | | | |
| + Bravo | | 1.5 pt | | | |
| Provost Silver | 6 | 13.0 fl oz | | | |
| Bravo | 7 | 1.5 pt | | | |
| + Muscle | | 7.2 fl oz | | | |
| 10. Bravo W'stik | 1 | 1 E nt | 2.3 | 5.6 | 5152 |
| | 2&4 | 1.5 pt 5.5 fl oz | 2.5 | 5.0 | 2122 |
| Lucento Elatus 45WG | 3&5 | 9.5 oz | | | |
| Provost Silver | 6 | 13.0 fl oz | | | |
| Bravo | 7 | | | | |
| + Muscle | / | 1.5 pt 7.2 fl oz | | | |
| + Muscle | | 7.21102 | | | |
| 11. Bravo | 1 | 1.5 pt | 2.6 | 7.6 | 5206 |
| Priaxor | 2 | 6.0 fl oz | | | |
| Convoy | 3&5 | 32.0 fl oz | | | |
| + Provysol | | 5.0 fl oz | | | |
| Priaxor | 4 | 8.0 fl oz | | | |
| Bravo | 6 | 1.5 pt | | | |
| + Muscle | | 7.2 fl oz | | | |
| Bravo | 7 | 1.5 pt | | | |
| | | | 2.4 | 5.0 | F0.47 |
| 12. Alto | 1 | 5.5 fl oz | 2.4 | 5.6 | 5347 |
| + Bravo | 2 | 1.5 pt | | | |
| Bravo | 2 | 1.5 pt | | | |
| Elatus 45WG | 3&5 | 9.5 oz | | | |
| + Miravis | | 3.4 fl oz | | | |
| Bravo LSD(P<0.05) | 7 | 1.5 pt | 0.6 | 10.3 | 698 |

White Mold²=Percent of row feet infected based on disease loci (up to 12" linear row) per plot.

ISK TEST, 2023

- A. PURPOSE: To evaluate the comparative efficacy of commercial and experimental applied fungicides for the control of foliar and soil borne diseases.
- B. EXPERIMENTAL DESIGN:
 - 1. Randomized complete blocks with six replicates.
 - 2. One two-row bed (25ft x 6ft) per plot, 36-inch row spacing.
 - 3. There are eight-foot alleyways between blocks.
 - 4. Plots were established in an area of continuous peanut production.
 - 5. Variety: TifNV-HiOL
- C. APPLICATION OF TREATMENTS:
 - Equipment: Treatment sprays 3-6 (only non-Bravo apps) were applied at 45 PSI at 2.5 MPH in 20 GPA using a CO2 unit with six SX-6 tips and 50 mesh ball check screens. Bravo treatment sprays were applied at 32 PSI going 4.3 MPH in 19.7 GPA using six TX-12 tips and 50 mesh ball check screens.
 - 2. Treatment sprays: Sprays 1-7 were applied on June 7, June 20, July 13, July 18, Aug. 1, Aug. 15, and Aug. 29.

D. ADDITIONAL INFORMATION:

| 1. | Location: | Lang Farm, Cotton Field, Tifton, GA 31794 |
|----|-------------------|---|
| 2. | Land Preparation: | Fertilizer (5-15-30) was broadcast at 600 lb/a, field was deep turned, beds marked 6 ft, and fertilizer turned under on Apr. 11. |
| 3. | Soil Fertility: | pH: 5.73 P: 46.1 K: 37.5 Ca: 346 Mg: 25.7 |
| | Soil type: | Tifton loamy sand, $2 - 5\%$ slope. |
| 4. | Herbicides: | PPI: Tank mix of Sonalan (1 qt/a) + Dual Magnum (1.3 pt/a) + Strongarm (0.45 oz/a) on Apr. 13. Rototilled to incorporate. |
| 5. | Insecticides: | Thimet (5 lbs/a) on May 3. |
| 6. | Planting Info: | TifNV-HiOL, 6.6 seed/ft (2" deep) on May 3. |
| 7. | Additional Info: | An adjusted cultivator was run through the field on July 5 in order to throw soil on the peanut canopy, which covered lower vines. The purpose was to increase white mold infection throughout the test. |

8. Harvest Dates:

Dug – Sep. 18

E: SUMMARY:

The mid season "dirting" cultivation clearly impacted white mold and lead to increased levels of disease. Disease levels in this test were severe, and most treatments did not have significantly less white mold than the nontreated. Yields were generally moderate, and only a couple of the better white mold treatments had higher yields than the nontreated control. There was only a low level of leaf spot present, primarily early leaf spot, and it was reduced by all treatments.

| | <u>13K</u> | TEST, 20 | JZ <u>3</u> | | |
|--------------|------------|------------|-----------------|-----------------|-------|
| | LANG FA | ARM, COTTO | ON FIELD | | |
| | | | LS ¹ | WM ² | Yield |
| Treatment | App's | Rate/A | 14-Sep | 14-Sep | lb/A |
| 1. Untreated | | | 3.6 | 46.7 | 3009 |
| | | | | | |
| 2. Bravo | 1, 2 & 7 | 1.5 pt | 2.7 | 45.0 | 3249 |
| Tebustar | 3-6 | 7.2 fl oz | | | |
| 3. Bravo | 1, 2 & 7 | 1.5 pt | 2.8 | 62.0 | 2339 |
| IKF-5411 | 3-6 | 8.0 fl oz | | | |
| | | | | | |
| 4. Bravo | 1, 2 & 7 | 1.5 pt | 2.8 | 52.3 | 2482 |
| IKF-1216 | 3-6 | 10.0 fl oz | | | |
| 5. Bravo | 1, 2 & 7 | 1.5 pt | 2.5 | 51.7 | 3285 |
| IKF-1216 | 3-6 | 8.0 fl oz | | | |
| + IKF-5411 | | 7.0 fl oz | | | |
| | | | | | |
| 6. Bravo | 1, 2 & 7 | 1.5 pt | 2.9 | 35.0 | 3766 |
| IKF-1216 | 3-6 | 10.0 fl oz | | | |
| + IKF-5411 | | 8.0 fl oz | | | |
| 7. Bravo | 1, 2 & 7 | 1.5 pt | 2.6 | 26.0 | 4112 |
| IKF-1216 | 3-6 | 10.0 fl oz | | | |
| + Tebustar | | 7.2 fl oz | | | |
| 8. Bravo | 1, 2 & 7 | 1.5 pt | 2.7 | 9.7 | 4736 |
| IKF-1216 | 3-6 | 10.0 fl oz | 2.1 | 5.7 | 4730 |
| + Abound | 5-0 | 10.0 fl oz | | | |
| | | 10.311.02 | | | |
| 9. Bravo | 1-7 | 1.5 pt | 2.6 | 49.3 | 3669 |
| LSD(P<0.05) | - | - | 0.3 | 20.9 | 834 |

White Mold²=Percent of row feet infected based on disease loci (up to 12" linear row) per plot.

| | DAILY RAINFALL + IRRIGATION, 2023 | | | | | | | | | | | |
|----------------|-----------------------------------|----------|-----------------|--------------|--------------|----------|-------------|-----------------|--|--|--|--|
| | LANG FARM, COTTON FIELD | | | | | | | | | | | |
| DATE | Mar | Anar | May | 1 | 1.1 | A | Con | Oct | | | | |
| 1 | 0 | Apr 0 | May 0 | June 0 | July 0.25 | Aug 0 | Sep 0.90 | Oct 0 | | | | |
| 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.25 | 0 | 0.90 | 0 | | | | |
| 3 | 0 | 0.80 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | | | |
| 4 | 0 | 0.80 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2.50 | 0 | 0 | | | | |
| 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | | | |
| 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.40 | 0 | 0 | | | | |
| 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.20 | 0.40 | 0.40 | 0 | | | | |
| 8 | 0 | 1.00 | 0 | 0 | 0.20 | 1.20 | 0.40 | 0 | | | | |
| 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.50 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | | | |
| 10 | 0.30 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1.00 | 0.15 | 0 | 0 | | | | |
| 10 | 0.30 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.15 | 0 | 0 | | | | |
| 11 | 0.40 | 0 | 0 | 0.45 | 0 | 0.10 | 1.40 | 1.20 | | | | |
| 12 | 0.40 | 0 | 0 | 0.45 | 0 | 0.10 | 0.50 | 0 | | | | |
| 13 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2.30 | 0 | 0.45 | 0.50 | 0 | | | | |
| 14 | 0 | 0.20 | - | 2.30 | 0.60 | 0.45 | 0 | 0 | | | | |
| 15 | 0 | 0.20 | 0.35 0 | 0 | 0.60 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | | | |
| - | - | | | - | - | - | - | - | | | | |
| 17 18 | 1.00 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.30 0 | 0 | | | | |
| 18 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1.00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | | | |
| 20 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | | | |
| | - | | - | - | - | 0 | - | - | | | | |
| 21 22 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1.50 0.10 | 0.60 | 0.50 | 0 | 0 | | | | |
| | - | | | | | | - | | | | | |
| 23 24 | 0 | 0 | 0.10 | 0.60 | 0.90 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | | | |
| | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.60 | 0 | 0 | | | | |
| 25 | 0.60 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | | | |
| 26 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1.30 | 0 | | | | |
| 27 | 0.40 | 2.60 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | | | |
| 28 | 0.70 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.60 | 0 | 0 | | | | |
| 29 | 0 | 0.50 | 0 | 0 | 0.60 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | | | |
| 30 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2.60 | 0 | 0 | | | | |
| 31 | 0 | 0 | 0.50 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | | | |
| TOTAL (inches) | 3.40 | 5.10 | 1.55 | 8.45 | 6.95 | 9.10 | 4.80 | 1.20 | | | | |

PECAN FUNGICIDE TEST I, WICHITA, 2023

A. PURPOSE: To evaluate the comparative efficacy of registered and experimental fungicides against pecan foliar and nut diseases (primarily scab) on a highly susceptible cultivar.

B. EXPERIMENTAL DESIGN:

- 1. Randomized complete blocks with four replicates.
- 2. Each replication consisted of single-tree treatments.
- 3. The orchard was established in 1988 with alternating rows of Wichita and desirable trees planted on a 40 ft x 40 ft spacing running north and south. Every other tree in each row was replanted in 2000, and these were the test trees. Alternating trees were replanted in 2008 and were not sprayed, serving as buffer trees. This test used Wichita trees only.

C. APPLICATION OF TREATMENTS:

- 1. Equipment: All spray treatments were applied with a Durand Wayland PTOdriven air-blast sprayer (AF-100-32) delivering 95 gallon per acre at 125 PSI traveling 2 MPH.
- Calendar-based spray treatments were applied on Apr. 6 (app. 1), Apr. 20 (app. 2), Apr. 27 (app. 2.5), May 8 (app. 3), May 18 (app. 4), June 1 (app. 5), June 8 (app. 5.5), June 16 (app. 6), June 29 (app. 7), July 13 (app. 8), July 21 (app. 8.5), July 27 (app. 9), and Aug. 10 (app. 10).

D. ADDITIONAL INFORMATION:

| 1. | Location: | Ponder Farm, North Orchard, Tifton, GA, 31794 | | | | | | | | | |
|----|-----------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| 2. | Soil Fertility: | pH - 6.8 P - 75 K - 90 Ca - 1359 Mg - 101 | | | | | | | | | |
| | Soil type: | Tifton loamy sand, $2-5$ % slope. | | | | | | | | | |
| 3. | Herbicides: | Roundup (3 qt/a) + Interline (20 oz/a) on March 21. Valor (6 oz/a) + Gramoxone (22 oz/a) on June 6. | | | | | | | | | |

E: SUMMARY:

These trees were much shorter than in previous years as we hedged both sides of them with a commercial hedger in the previous winter. Frequent rains resulted in heavy scab pressure, especially in this very susceptible cultivar. Leaf scab ratings in May showed some scab following essentially all of our treatments. A follow up rating of only new leaves formed from growth flushes (essentially due to tree hedging that spring) showed an even higher level of scab, illustrating how difficult it can be to control. Nut scab ratings were taken in mid-July and the epidemic was already severe. Several treatments provided a very good level of nut scab control if a 14-day schedule was maintained. Stretching this interval to 21 days in a rainy season such as this was too much for even our best fungicides. All treatments had a good level of control of Neofusicoccum leaf dieback.

| <u> </u> | | UNGICIDE TEST | | | | | , 202 | <u></u> | |
|----------------------------------|-------------------------|----------------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|----------------|--------------------------------|--------------------|---------------------------------|------------------|
| Treatments | Rate/A | App's | Leaf Inc ¹ 24-May | Leaf Sev ² 24-May | Neo⁵ 18-Jul | Nut Inc ³ 24-Jul | Nut Sev⁴ 24-Jul | Leaf Inc ⁶ 24-Jul | % Def. 20-Nov |
| 1. Super Tin 4L | 6.0 fl oz | 1, 3, 5, 7, 9 | 23.6 | 2.2 | 6.3 | 96.9 | 29.6 | 46.8 | 28.8 |
| + Elast 400F | 25.0 fl oz | | | | | | | | |
| Cevya | 3.0 fl oz | 2, 4, 6, 8, 10 | | | | | | | |
| + Elast | 25.0 fl oz | | | | | | | | |
| 2. Super Tin 4L | 6.0 fl oz | 1, 3, 5, 7, 9 | 30.7 | 3.2 | 7.8 | 100.0 | 51.3 | 58.7 | 18.8 |
| + Elast 400F | 25.0 fl oz | 1, 0, 0, 7, 0 | 50.7 | 5.2 | 7.0 | 100.0 | 51.5 | 50.7 | 10.0 |
| Cevya | 5.0 fl oz | 2, 4, 6, 8, 10 | | | | | | | |
| | C 0 fl | 12570 | 24.2 | 2.4 | 6.0 | 100.0 | 02.7 | 02.4 | 27.5 |
| 3. Super Tin 4L + Elast 400F | 6.0 fl oz 25.0 fl oz | 1, 3, 5, 7, 9 | 34.3 | 3.4 | 6.8 | 100.0 | 83.7 | 82.4 | 37.5 |
| BAS700 | 5.7 fl oz | 2, 4, 6, 8, 10 | | | | | | | |
| BASTOO | 5.7 11 02 | 2, 4, 0, 8, 10 | | | | | | | |
| 4. Super Tin 4L | 6.0 fl oz | 1, 4, 7, 10 | 21.1 | 1.9 | 2.5 | 100.0 | 39.2 | 63.4 | 21.3 |
| + Elast 400F | 25.0 fl oz | | | | | | | | |
| BAS752 | 8.5 fl oz | 2, 4, 6, 8, 10 | | | | | | | |
| 5. Super Tin 4L | 6.0 fl oz | 1, 3, 5, 7, 9 | 15.5 | 1.3 | 1.5 | 95.8 | 15.1 | 47.1 | 22.5 |
| + Elast 400F | 25.0 fl oz | | | | | | | | |
| Miravis Prime | 6.84 fl oz | 2, 4, 6, 8, 10 | | | | | | | |
| + Remain | 8.0 fl oz | | | | | | | | |
| 6. Super Tin 4L | 6.0 fl oz | 1, 4, 7, 10 | 38.2 | 3.9 | 4.3 | 100.0 | 60.3 | 79.0 | 32.5 |
| + Elast 400F | 25.0 fl oz | 1, 1, 7, 10 | 50.2 | 5.5 | 1.5 | 100.0 | 00.0 | 75.0 | 52.5 |
| Miravis Prime | 6.84 fl oz | 2.5, 5.5, 8.5 | | | | | | | |
| + Remain | 8.0 fl oz | | | | | | | | |
| 7. Curren Tin 41 | 608 | 12570 | 10.1 | 4 7 | 2.0 | 05.0 | 10.2 | F1 A | 12.0 |
| 7. Super Tin 4L + Elast 400F | 6.0 fl oz 25.0 fl oz | 1, 3, 5, 7, 9 | 19.1 | 1.7 | 3.0 | 95.8 | 16.3 | 51.4 | 13.8 |
| Miravis Top | 13.6 fl oz | 246910 | | | | | | | |
| + Remain | 8.0 fl oz | 2, 4, 6, 8, 10 | | | | | | | |
| | 0.0 11 02 | | | | | | | | |
| 8. Super Tin 4L | 6.0 fl oz | 1, 4, 7, 10 | 34.9 | 3.3 | 4.8 | 100.0 | 52.0 | 70.7 | 25.0 |
| + Elast 400F | 25.0 fl oz | | | | | | | | |
| Miravis Top | 13.6 oz | 2.5, 5.5, 8.5 | | | | | | | |
| + Remain | 8.0 fl oz | | | | | | | | |
| 9. Super Tin 4L | 9.0 fl oz | 1, 2.5, 4, 5.5, 7, 8.5, 10 | 39.5 | 4.0 | 6.5 | 100.0 | 69.7 | 72.0 | 25.0 |
| + Elast 400F | 36.0 fl oz | | | | | | | | |
| 10. Kphite | 2.0 qt | 1 - 4 | 20.1 | 1.9 | 5.5 | 100.0 | 62.8 | 78.2 | 25.0 |
| Super Tin 4L | 9.0 fl oz | 5 - 10 | 20.1 | 1.5 | 5.5 | 100.0 | 02.0 | , 0.2 | 23.0 |
| + Elast 400F | 36.0 fl oz | | | | | | | | |
| 11 Cuper Tip 41 | C O fl or | 12570 | 20.0 | 2.4 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 76.4 | 027 | 22.5 |
| 11. Super Tin 4L + Elast 400F | 6.0 fl oz 25.0 fl oz | 1, 3, 5, 7, 9 | 39.0 | 3.4 | 9.0 | 100.0 | 76.4 | 83.7 | 22.5 |
| LBG-42FFM | 47.9 fl oz | 4, 6, 8, 10 | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| 12. Super Tin 4L | 6.0 fl oz | 1, 3, 5, 7, 9 | 21.0 | 1.9 | 5.3 | 100.0 | 47.8 | 57.5 | 20.0 |
| + Elast 400F | 25.0 fl oz | 2.4.6.0.40 | | | | | | | |
| Regev HBX | 8.5 fl oz | 2, 4, 6, 8, 10 | | | | | | | |
| 13. Super Tin 4L | 6.0 fl oz | 1 - 10 | 26.2 | 2.5 | 3.8 | 100.0 | 35.2 | 72.0 | 20.0 |
| + Elast 400F | 25.0 fl oz | | | | | | | | |
| 14. Nontreated | - | - | 50.9 | 4.9 | 17.5 | 100.0 | 97.2 | 91.7 | 77.5 |
| LSD(P<0.05) | | | 9.2 | 1.0 | 4.5 | 3.8 | 12.0 | 13.2 | 12.7 |
| | incidence, b | based on 8 terminals per t | ree (% of le | aflets on mid | Idle of leaf | with scab) | | | |
| | | ased on middle leaf of 8 t | | | | | | | |
| | • | ased on ratings of 8 nut c | | | ts with an | y scab). | | | |
| | | sed on 8 nuts clusters pe | | | | | | | |
| Neo ⁵ =Percent neof | | | | | | , | | | |
| | | n new midseason growth | flushes | | | | | | |
| Def. ⁷ =Percent defol | | | | | | | | | |

PECAN FUNGICIDE TEST I, DESIRABLE, 2023

A. PURPOSE: To evaluate the comparative efficacy of registered and experimental fungicides against pecan foliar and nut diseases (primarily scab) on a highly susceptible cultivar.

B. EXPERIMENTAL DESIGN:

- 1. Randomized complete blocks with four replicates.
- 2. Each replication consisted of single-tree treatments.
- 3. The orchard was established in 1988 with alternating rows of Wichita and desirable trees planted on a 40 ft x 40 ft spacing running north and south. Every other tree in each row was replanted in 2000, and these were the test trees. Alternating trees were replanted in 2008 and were not sprayed, serving as buffer trees. This test used Desirable trees only.

C. APPLICATION OF TREATMENTS:

- 1. Equipment: All spray treatments were applied with a Durand Wayland PTOdriven air-blast sprayer (AF-100-32) delivering 95 gallon per acre at 125 PSI traveling 2 MPH.
- Calendar-based spray treatments were applied on Apr. 6 (app. 1), Apr. 20 (app. 2), Apr. 27 (app. 2.5), May 8 (app. 3), May 18 (app. 4), June 1 (app. 5), June 8 (app. 5.5), June 16 (app. 6), June 29 (app. 7), July 13 (app. 8), July 21 (app. 8.5), July 27 (app. 9), and Aug. 10 (app. 10).

D. ADDITIONAL INFORMATION:

| 1. | Location: | Ponder Farm, North Orchard, Tifton, GA, 31794 | | | | | | | | | |
|----|-----------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| 2. | Soil Fertility: | pH - 6.8 $P - 75$ $K - 90$ $Ca - 1359$ $Mg - 100$ | | | | | | | | | |
| | Soil type: | Tifton loamy sand, $2-5$ % slope. | | | | | | | | | |
| 3. | Herbicides: | Roundup (3 qt/a) + Interline (20 oz/a) on March 21. Valor (6 oz/a) + Gramoxone (22 oz/a) on June 6. | | | | | | | | | |

E: SUMMARY:

These trees were much shorter than in previous years as we hedged both sides of them with a commercial hedger in the previous winter. Frequent rains resulted in heavy scab pressure, especially in this susceptible cultivar. Leaf scab ratings in May showed some scab following essentially all of our treatments. A follow up rating of only new leaves formed from growth flushes (essentially due to tree hedging) showed an even higher level of scab, illustrating how difficult it can be to control, although some chemistries were clearly better than others. Nut scab ratings were taken in mid-July and the epidemic was already severe. Several treatments provided an excellent level of nut scab control if a 14-day schedule was maintained. Stretching this interval to 21-days in a rainy season such as this was too much for even our best fungicides.

| | | <u>BICIDE TEST I, DE</u> | | <u>, iton</u> | | | , <u>2023</u> | |
|----------------------------------|-------------------------|----------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|----------------------|-------------|-----------------------|---------------------|
| | | | Leaf Inc ¹ | Leaf Sev ² | Nut Inc ³ | Nut Sev⁴ | Leaf Inc ⁵ | % Def. ⁶ |
| Treatments | Rate/A | App's | 24-May | 24-May | 24-Jul | 24-Jul | 24-Jul | 20-Nov |
| 1. Super Tin 4L | 6.0 fl oz | 1, 3, 5, 7, 9 | 15.0 | 1.5 | 98.4 | 21.8 | 14.2 | 31.3 |
| + Elast 400F | 25.0 fl oz | | | | | | | |
| Cevya | 3.0 fl oz | 2, 4, 6, 8, 10 | | | | | | |
| + Elast | 25.0 fl oz | | | | | | | |
| 2 C T 4 | C O (1 | | 24.4 | | 400.0 | 22.0 | | |
| 2. Super Tin 4L | 6.0 fl oz | 1, 3, 5, 7, 9 | 34.1 | 3.2 | 100.0 | 33.0 | 27.5 | 37.5 |
| + Elast 400F | 25.0 fl oz | | | | | | | |
| Сеvya | 5.0 fl oz | 2, 4, 6, 8, 10 | | | | | | |
| 3. Super Tin 4L | 6.0 fl oz | 1, 3, 5, 7, 9 | 27.0 | 2.7 | 100.0 | 33.3 | 42.1 | 48.8 |
| + Elast 400F | 25.0 fl oz | | | | | | | |
| BAS700 | 5.7 fl oz | 2, 4, 6, 8, 10 | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| 4. Super Tin 4L | 6.0 fl oz | 1, 4, 7, 10 | 21.1 | 1.8 | 96.9 | 19.2 | 28.4 | 48.8 |
| + Elast 400F | 25.0 fl oz | | | | | | | |
| BAS752 | 8.5 fl oz | 2, 4, 6, 8, 10 | | | | | | |
| 5. Super Tin 4L | 6.0 fl oz | 1, 3, 5, 7, 9 | 11.0 | 1.1 | 59.4 | 3.4 | 11.8 | 37.5 |
| + Elast 400F | 25.0 fl oz | 1, 5, 5, 7, 5 | 11.0 | 1.1 | 55.4 | 5.4 | 11.0 | 57.5 |
| Miravis Prime | 6.84 fl oz | 2, 4, 6, 8, 10 | | | | | | |
| + Remain | 8.0 fl oz | 2, 4, 0, 0, 10 | | | | | | |
| · Remain | 0.0 11 02 | | | | | | | |
| 6. Super Tin 4L | 6.0 fl oz | 1, 4, 7, 10 | 34.2 | 3.4 | 100.0 | 24.4 | 43.2 | 58.8 |
| + Elast 400F | 25.0 fl oz | | | | | | | |
| Miravis Prime | 6.84 fl oz | 2.5, 5.5, 8.5 | | | | | | |
| + Remain | 8.0 fl oz | | | | | | | |
| 7. Curren Tin 41 | C 0 fl an | 12570 | 17.4 | 1.0 | | C 1 | 10.0 | 41.2 |
| 7. Super Tin 4L | 6.0 fl oz | 1, 3, 5, 7, 9 | 17.4 | 1.6 | 65.6 | 6.1 | 19.0 | 41.3 |
| + Elast 400F | 25.0 fl oz | | | | | | | |
| Miravis Top | 13.6 fl oz 8.0 fl oz | 2, 4, 6, 8, 10 | | | | | | |
| + Remain | 8.0 11 02 | | | | | | | |
| 8. Super Tin 4L | 6.0 fl oz | 1, 4, 7, 10 | 28.4 | 2.6 | 90.6 | 19.5 | 42.6 | 62.5 |
| + Elast 400F | 25.0 fl oz | | | | | | | |
| Miravis Top | 13.6 oz | 2.5, 5.5, 8.5 | | | | | | |
| + Remain | 8.0 fl oz | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| 9. Super Tin 4L | 9.0 fl oz | 1, 2.5, 4, 5.5, 7, 8.5, 10 | 29.8 | 3.0 | 100.0 | 30.7 | 56.3 | 62.5 |
| + Elast 400F | 36.0 fl oz | | | | | | | |
| 10. Kphite | 2.0 qt | 1 - 4 | 15.7 | 1.6 | 96.9 | 22.2 | 42.9 | 23.8 |
| Super Tin 4L | 9.0 fl oz | 5 - 10 | 13.7 | 1.0 | 50.5 | 22.2 | 42.5 | 23.0 |
| + Elast 400F | 36.0 fl oz | 5 10 | | | | | | |
| | 50.0 11 02 | | | | | | | |
| 11. Super Tin 4L | 6.0 fl oz | 1, 3, 5, 7, 9 | 33.9 | 3.4 | 100.0 | 41.7 | 49.9 | 50.0 |
| + Elast 400F | 25.0 fl oz | | | | | | | |
| LBG-42FFM | 47.9 fl oz | 4, 6, 8, 10 | | | | | | |
| 12 Current 11 | | 1 2 5 7 2 | 22.5 | 2.2 | 100.0 | 22.4 | 47.4 | 26.2 |
| 12. Super Tin 4L | 6.0 fl oz | 1, 3, 5, 7, 9 | 22.5 | 2.2 | 100.0 | 32.4 | 47.4 | 36.3 |
| + Elast 400F | 25.0 fl oz | | | | | | | |
| Regev HBX | 8.5 fl oz | 2, 4, 6, 8, 10 | | | | | | |
| 13. Super Tin 4L | 6.0 fl oz | 1 - 10 | 18.9 | 1.9 | 93.8 | 19.3 | 42.4 | 41.3 |
| + Elast 400F | 25.0 fl oz | - | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| 14. Nontreated | - | - | 43.8 | 4.7 | 100.0 | 69.5 | 79.7 | 75.0 |
| LSD(P<0.05) | | | 8.6 | 0.8 | 10.7 | 8.9 | 13.5 | 23.9 |
| Leaf Inc ¹ =Leaf scab | incidence, b | based on 8 terminals per t | ree (% of le | aflets on m | iddle of lea | f with scab |). | |
| Leaf Sev ² =Leaf scab | severity, ba | ased on middle leaf of 8 t | erminals p | er tree. | | | | |
| Nut Inc ³ =Nut scab | incidence, b | ased on ratings of 8 nut c | lusters per | tree (% of n | uts with a | ny scab). | | |
| | | sed on 8 nuts clusters pe | | • | | | | |
| | | n new midseason growth | | | | | | |
| Def. ⁶ =Percent defo | | | | | | | | |

MISCELLANEOUS FUNGICIDE <u>TEST I, 2023</u>

A. PURPOSE: To evaluate the efficacy of registered fungicides against pecan scab on highly susceptible cultivars.

B. EXPERIMENTAL DESIGN:

- 1. Randomized complete block design with eight replicates for Desirable and Wichita, each rep being a single tree that receives no other fungicide applications.
- C. APPLICATION OF TREATMENTS:
 - 1. Equipment: All spray treatments were applied with a hand-held 2 L sprayer. Treatments were sprayed until full coverage and runoff was achieved. Based on a dilution of 100 GPA spray volume.
 - 2. Calendar-based spray treatments were applied on Apr. 5, Apr. 20, May 4, May 19, June 1, June 16, June 29, July 11, July 27, and Aug. 9.

D. ADDITIONAL INFORMATION:

| 1. | Location: | Ponder Farm, North Orchard, Tifton, GA, 31794 | | | | | | | | | |
|----|-----------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| 2. | Soil Fertility: | pH-6.8 P-75 K-90 Ca-1359 Mg-101 | | | | | | | | | |
| | Soil type: | Tifton loamy sand, $2 - 5$ % slope. | | | | | | | | | |
| 3. | Herbicides: | Roundup (3 qt/a) + Interline (20 oz/a) on March 21. Valor (6 oz/a) + Gramoxone (22 oz/a) on June 6. | | | | | | | | | |

E: SUMMARY:

As shown previously, the single terminal tests are an excellent way to demonstrate the inherent activity of a fungicide on pecan scab. This was clearly the case here as control with these treatments ranged from excellent to poor, and the nontreated checks were destroyed by scab.

| | MISCELLANEOUS TERMINAL TEST I, 2023 PONDER FARM, NORTH ORCHARD | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---------------------------------------|---|--------------|-----------------------|----------------------|----------------------|----------------------|----------------------|-----------------------|----------------------|----------------------|----------------------|----------------------|--|
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | WICHITA | | 1 | | D | ESIRABL | .E | | |
| | | | | <u>JULY 6</u> | | <u>Se</u> | <u>P.1</u> | JULY 6 | | | | SEP. 1 | |
| Treatments | Rate/A | Timing | Leaf Inc ¹ | Nut Inc ² | Nut Sev ³ | Nut Inc ² | Nut Sev ³ | Leaf Inc ¹ | Nut Inc ² | Nut Sev ³ | Nut Inc ² | Nut Sev ³ | |
| 1. Badge | 1.5 pt | 1 - 10 | 34.8 | 100.0 | 59.8 | 100.0 | 98.5 | 24.4 | 100.0 | 23.1 | 100.0 | 58.8 | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2. Kphite | 1.0 qt | 1 - 10 | 28.0 | 100.0 | 23.3 | 100.0 | 81.3 | 6.0 | 64.3 | 2.1 | 100.0 | 25.0 | |
| + Badge | 1.5 pt | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 3. Kphite | 1.0 qt | 1 - 10 | 29.8 | 87.5 | 8.9 | 100.0 | 74.3 | 13.2 | 16.7 | 0.5 | 100.0 | 34.5 | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 4. Ziram | 4.0 lb | 1 - 10 | 21.3 | 29.2 | 0.9 | 95.2 | 3.6 | 16.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 3.3 | |
| + Elast | 24 fl oz | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| + Badge | 1.5 pt | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 5. Ziram | 4.0 lb | 1 - 10 | 17.0 | 29.5 | 1.0 | 100.0 | 10.9 | 26.4 | 56.0 | 2.2 | 100.0 | 19.8 | |
| + Elast | 24 fl oz | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 6. Nordox 75WDG | 1.0 lb | 1 - 10 | 33.0 | 90.5 | 28.7 | 100.0 | 75.7 | 19.1 | 100.0 | 11.2 | 100.0 | 55.0 | |
| 7. Nordox 75WDG | 2.0 lb | 1 - 10 | 38.0 | 100.0 | 35.4 | 100.0 | 77.9 | 22.3 | 100.0 | 11.9 | 100.0 | 27.0 | |
| 8. Nordox 30-30 WDG | 1.0 lb | 1 - 10 | 53.3 | 100.0 | 56.9 | 100.0 | 92.3 | 17.8 | 100.0 | 11.4 | 100.0 | 50.0 | |
| 9. Nordox 30-30 WDG | 2.0 lb | 1 - 10 | 55.8 | 100.0 | 31.0 | 100.0 | 90.6 | 30.7 | 85.7 | 10.7 | 100.0 | 48.3 | |
| 10. Miravis Top | 13.7 oz | 1 - 10 | 5.3 | 2.4 | 0.1 | 62.5 | 1.8 | 5.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 40.0 | 0.8 | |
| 11. Nontreated | - | 1 - 10 | 72.3 | 100.0 | 88.8 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 20.6 | 85.7 | 29.6 | 100.0 | 87.3 | |
| LSD(P<0.05) | - | - | 20.8 | 20.1 | 14.1 | 12.1 | 17.8 | 12.6 | 31.2 | 11.8 | 18.1 | 19.4 | |
| Leaf Inc ¹ =Leaf scab inci | dence per te | erminal (% o | of leaflets o | on end leaf | with scab). | | | | | | | | |
| Nut Inc ² =Nut scab incide | nce per ter | minal (% of | nuts with a | anv scab). | | | | | | | | | |

MISCELLANEOUS FUNGICIDE TEST II, 2023

A. PURPOSE: To evaluate the efficacy of registered and experimental fungicides against pecan scab on highly susceptible cultivars.

B. EXPERIMENTAL DESIGN:

- 1. Randomized complete block design with eight replicates for Desirable and Wichita, each rep being a single tree that receives no other fungicide applications.
- C. APPLICATION OF TREATMENTS:
 - 1. Equipment: All spray treatments were applied with a hand-held 2 L sprayer. Treatments were sprayed until full coverage and runoff was achieved. Based on a dilution of 100 GPA spray volume.
 - 2. Calendar-based spray treatments were applied on Apr. 6, Apr. 20, May 4, May 19, June 1, June 16, June 29, July 11, July 27, and Aug. 9.

D. ADDITIONAL INFORMATION:

| 1. | Location: | Ponder Farm, North Orchard, Tifton, GA, 31794 | | | | | | | | | |
|----|-----------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| 2. | Soil Fertility: | pH-6.8 P-75 K-90 Ca-1359 Mg-101 | | | | | | | | | |
| | Soil type: | Tifton loamy sand, $2 - 5$ % slope. | | | | | | | | | |
| 3. | Herbicides: | Roundup (3 qt/a) + Interline (20 oz/a) on March 21. Valor (6 oz/a) + Gramoxone (22 oz/a) on June 6. | | | | | | | | | |

E: SUMMARY:

As shown previously, the single terminal tests are an excellent way to demonstrate the inherent activity of a fungicide on pecan scab. This was clearly the case here as control with these treatments ranged from excellent to poor, and the nontreated checks were destroyed by scab.

| ICOUNTRY INCITIANT OR CHARD Image: colspan="4">Image: colspan="4" Image: colspan="4">Image: colspan="4" Image: colspan="4" | | MISCELLANEOUS TERMINAL TEST II, 2023 | | | | | | | | | | | |
|--|-----------------|--------------------------------------|-------------|-----------------------|----------------------|----------------------|----------------------|----------------------|-----------------------|----------------------|----------------------|----------------------|----------------------|
| Image: state in the | | PONDER FARM, NORTH ORCHARD | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Treatments Rate/A Timing Leaf Inc ¹ Nut Inc ² Nut Sev ³ Nut Inc ² Nut Sev ³ Leaf Inc ¹ Nut Inc ² Nut Sev ³ Leaf Inc ² Nut Inc ² Nut Sev ³ Leaf Inc ² Nut Inc ² Nut Sev ³ Leaf Inc ² Nut Inc ² | | | | | L. | | | | | П | FCIDAR | 16 | |
| Treatments Rate/A Timing Leaf Inc ¹ Nut Inc ² Nut Sev ³ <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th>1</th> <th></th> <th>1</th> <th>ESIRAD</th> <th></th> <th></th> | | | | | | | | 1 | | 1 | ESIRAD | | |
| 1. BAS700 5.7 fl α 1 - 10 22.4 84.4 9.9 100.0 67.5 24.5 50.0 4.6 100.0 19.5 2. BAS 752 8.5 fl α 1 - 10 18.7 40.4 1.5 100.0 12.1 34.7 14.3 0.3 100.0 8.0 3. Cevya 5.0 fl α 1 - 10 15.6 54.4 1.8 100.0 16.9 28.5 57.1 1.4 100.0 8.9 4. Cevya 3.0 fl α 1 - 10 5.8 24.8 0.8 100.0 7.9 15.4 28.6 0.4 100.0 14.4 + Elast 24 fl α 1 - 10 28.2 100.0 18.5 100.0 94.1 24.5 71.4 5.0 100.0 32.7 + Kphite 32.0 fl α 1 - 10 30.3 70.8 3.1 100.0 31.0 37.2 60.0 1.0 100.0 23.4 + Elast 24 fl α 1 - 10 30.3 70.8 3.1 100.0 11.4 20.2 42.9 1.1 85.7 11.3 | | | | | <u>JULY 6</u> | | <u>SE</u> | <u>P. 1</u> | | JULY 6 | | <u>SEP. 1</u> | |
| 1. BAS700 5.7 fl oz 1 - 10 22.4 84.4 9.9 10.0 67.5 24.5 50.0 4.6 10.0 19.5 2. BAS 752 8.5 fl oz 1 - 10 18.7 40.4 1.5 100.0 12.1 34.7 14.3 0.3 100.0 8.9 3. Cevya 5.0 fl oz 1 - 10 15.6 54.4 1.8 100.0 16.9 28.5 57.1 1.4 100.0 8.9 4. Cevya 3.0 fl oz 1 - 10 5.8 24.8 0.8 100.0 7.9 15.4 28.6 0.4 100.0 14.4 + Elast 24 fl oz 1 - 10 5.8 24.8 0.8 100.0 7.9 15.4 28.6 0.4 100.0 14.4 + Elast 24 fl oz 1 - 10 28.2 100.0 18.5 100.0 94.1 24.5 71.4 50.0 100.0 80.0 7. CS2005 32.0 fl oz 1 - 10 30.3 70.8 3.1 100.0 31.0 37.2 60.0 1.0 100.0 23.4 11.3 <th>Treatments</th> <th>Rate/A</th> <th>Timing</th> <th>Leaf Inc¹</th> <th>Nut Inc²</th> <th>Nut Sev³</th> <th>Nut Inc²</th> <th>Nut Sev³</th> <th>Leaf Inc¹</th> <th>Nut Inc²</th> <th>Nut Sev³</th> <th>Nut Inc²</th> <th>Nut Sev³</th> | Treatments | Rate/A | Timing | Leaf Inc ¹ | Nut Inc ² | Nut Sev ³ | Nut Inc ² | Nut Sev ³ | Leaf Inc ¹ | Nut Inc ² | Nut Sev ³ | Nut Inc ² | Nut Sev ³ |
| Image: state of the state | 1. BAS700 | 5.7 fl oz | 1 - 10 | 22.4 | | 9.9 | 100.0 | 67.5 | 24.5 | 50.0 | 4.6 | 100.0 | 19.5 |
| Image: state of the state | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| A. Cevya 3.0 fl oz 1 - 10 5.8 24.8 0.8 100.0 7.9 15.4 28.6 0.4 100.0 14.4 + Elast 24 fl oz 1 - 10 28.2 100.0 18.5 100.0 94.1 24.5 71.4 5.0 100.0 32.7 5. CS2005 32.0 fl oz 1 - 10 28.2 100.0 18.5 100.0 94.1 24.5 71.4 5.0 100.0 32.7 6. CS2005 32.0 fl oz 1 - 10 40.6 100.0 62.5 100.0 10.0 43.8 100.0 33.0 100.0 80.0 7. CS2005 32.0 fl oz 1 - 10 30.3 70.8 3.1 100.0 31.0 37.2 60.0 1.0 100.0 23.4 + Elast 24 fl oz - < | 2. BAS 752 | 8.5 fl oz | 1 - 10 | 18.7 | 40.4 | 1.5 | 100.0 | 12.1 | 34.7 | 14.3 | 0.3 | 100.0 | 8.0 |
| A. Cevya 3.0 fl oz 1 - 10 5.8 24.8 0.8 100.0 7.9 15.4 28.6 0.4 100.0 14.4 + Elast 24 fl oz 1 - 10 28.2 100.0 18.5 100.0 94.1 24.5 71.4 5.0 100.0 32.7 5. CS2005 32.0 fl oz 1 - 10 28.2 100.0 62.5 100.0 100.0 43.8 100.0 33.0 100.0 80.0 6. CS2005 32.0 fl oz 1 - 10 40.6 100.0 62.5 100.0 100.0 43.8 100.0 33.0 100.0 80.0 7. CS2005 32.0 fl oz 1 - 10 30.3 70.8 3.1 100.0 31.0 37.2 60.0 1.0 100.0 23.4 + Elast 24 fl oz - | 3. Cevva | 5.0 fl oz | 1 - 10 | 15.6 | 54.4 | 1.8 | 100.0 | 16.9 | 28.5 | 57.1 | 1.4 | 100.0 | 8.9 |
| $ + \text{ Flast } \\ + \text{ Flast } \\ - \text$ | | | | | - | | | | | | | | |
| $ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $ | 4. Cevya | 3.0 fl oz | 1 - 10 | 5.8 | 24.8 | 0.8 | 100.0 | 7.9 | 15.4 | 28.6 | 0.4 | 100.0 | 14.4 |
| + Kphite 32.0 fl oz Image: constraint of the state of the st | + Elast | 24 fl oz | | | | | | | | | | | |
| + Kphite 32.0 fl oz Image: constraint of the state of the st | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1 1 40.6 100.0 62.5 100.0 100.0 43.8 100.0 33.0 100.0 80.0 7. CS2005 32.0 fl oz 1 - 10 30.3 70.8 3.1 100.0 31.0 37.2 60.0 1.0 100.0 23.4 + Elast 24 fl oz - | | | 1 - 10 | 28.2 | 100.0 | 18.5 | 100.0 | 94.1 | 24.5 | 71.4 | 5.0 | 100.0 | 32.7 |
| Image: state of the state | + Kphite | 32.0 fl oz | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Image: state of the state | 6 CS2005 | 32.0 fl.oz | 1 - 10 | 40.6 | 100.0 | 62 5 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 43.8 | 100.0 | 33.0 | 100.0 | 80.0 |
| + Elast 24 fl oz Image: constraint of the state of the | 0. 002000 | 52.0 11 02 | 1 10 | 40.0 | 100.0 | 02.5 | 100.0 | 100.0 | -3.0 | 100.0 | 55.0 | 100.0 | 00.0 |
| Image: state of the state | 7. CS2005 | 32.0 fl oz | 1 - 10 | 30.3 | 70.8 | 3.1 | 100.0 | 31.0 | 37.2 | 60.0 | 1.0 | 100.0 | 23.4 |
| + Elast 24 fl oz | + Elast | 24 fl oz | | | | | | | | | | | |
| + Elast 24 fl oz | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 9. Cevya 3.0 fl oz $1 - 10$ 11.8 19.2 0.8 83.3 6.1 36.2 16.7 0.2 90.0 14.4 + Dodine 24 fl oz -10 11.8 19.2 0.8 83.3 6.1 36.2 16.7 0.2 90.0 14.4 + Dodine 24 fl oz -10 1.1 0.0 0.0 57.1 1.9 6.6 0.0 0.0 71.4 1.4 10. Miravis Top 13.7 oz $1 - 10$ 49.7 100.0 73.8 100.0 99.4 54.5 100.0 26.9 100.0 85.6 LSD(P<0.05) | | | 1 - 10 | 24.6 | 28.1 | 0.8 | 100.0 | 11.4 | 20.2 | 42.9 | 1.1 | 85.7 | 11.3 |
| $\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $ | + Elast | 24 fl oz | | | | | | | | | | | |
| $\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $ | 9. Cevva | 3.0 fl oz | 1 - 10 | 11.8 | 19.2 | 0.8 | 83.3 | 6.1 | 36.2 | 16.7 | 0.2 | 90.0 | 14.4 |
| Interface | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 11. Nontreated - 1 - 10 49.7 100.0 73.8 100.0 99.4 54.5 100.0 26.9 100.0 85.6 LSD(P<0.05) - - 15.0 27.3 11.0 15.2 10.7 19.0 46.2 11.3 27.0 16.0 Leaf Inc ¹ =Leaf scab incidence per terminal (% of leaflets on end leaf with scab). Image: Nut Scab incidence per terminal (% of nuts with any scab). Image: Nut Scab incidence per terminal (% of nuts with any scab). Image: Nut Scab incidence per terminal (% of nuts with any scab). Image: Nut Scab incidence per terminal (% of nuts with any scab). Image: Nut Scab incidence per terminal (% of nuts with any scab). Image: Nut Scab incidence per terminal (% of nuts with any scab). Image: Nut Scab incidence per terminal (% of nuts with any scab). Image: Nut Scab incidence per terminal (% of nuts with any scab). Image: Nut Scab incidence per terminal (% of nuts with any scab). Image: Nut Scab incidence per terminal (% of nuts with any scab). Image: Nut Scab incidence per terminal (% of nuts with any scab). Image: Nut Scab incidence per terminal (% of nuts with any scab). Image: Nut Scab incidence per terminal (% of nuts with any scab). Image: Nut Scab incidence per terminal (% of nuts with any scab). Image: Nut Scab incidence per terminal (% of nuts with any scab incidence per terminal (% of nuts with any scab incidence per terminal (% of nuts with any scab incidence per terminal (% of nuts with any scab i | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| LSD(P<0.05) - - 15.0 27.3 11.0 15.2 10.7 19.0 46.2 11.3 27.0 16.0 Leaf Inc ¹ =Leaf scab incidence per terminal (% of leaflets on end leaf with scab). Image: Constraint of the scale incidence per terminal (% of nuts with any scab). Image: Constraint of the scale incidence per terminal (% of nuts with any scale). Image: Constraint of the scale incidence per terminal (% of nuts with any scale). Image: Constraint of the scale incidence per terminal (% of nuts with any scale). Image: Constraint of the scale incidence per terminal (% of nuts with any scale). Image: Constraint of the scale incidence per terminal (% of nuts with any scale). Image: Constraint of the scale incidence per terminal (% of nuts with any scale). Image: Constraint of the scale incidence per terminal (% of nuts with any scale). Image: Constraint of the scale incidence per terminal (% of nuts with any scale). Image: Constraint of the scale incidence per terminal (% of nuts with any scale). Image: Constraint of the scale incidence per terminal (% of nuts with any scale). Image: Constraint of the scale incidence per terminal (% of nuts with any scale incidence per terminal (% of nuts with any scale incidence per terminal (% of nuts with any scale incidence per terminal (% of nuts with any scale incidence per terminal (% of nuts with any scale incidence per terminal (% of nuts with any scale incidence per terminal (% of nuts with any scale incidence per terminal (% of nuts with any scale incidence per terminal (% of nuts with any scale incinterminal (% of nuts with any scale incidence per t | 10. Miravis Top | 13.7 oz | 1 - 10 | 1.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 57.1 | 1.9 | 6.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 71.4 | 1.4 |
| LSD(P<0.05) - - 15.0 27.3 11.0 15.2 10.7 19.0 46.2 11.3 27.0 16.0 Leaf Inc ¹ =Leaf scab incidence per terminal (% of leaflets on end leaf with scab). Image: Constraint of the scale incidence per terminal (% of nuts with any scab). Image: Constraint of the scale incidence per terminal (% of nuts with any scale). Image: Constraint of the scale incidence per terminal (% of nuts with any scale). Image: Constraint of the scale incidence per terminal (% of nuts with any scale). Image: Constraint of the scale incidence per terminal (% of nuts with any scale). Image: Constraint of the scale incidence per terminal (% of nuts with any scale). Image: Constraint of the scale incidence per terminal (% of nuts with any scale). Image: Constraint of the scale incidence per terminal (% of nuts with any scale). Image: Constraint of the scale incidence per terminal (% of nuts with any scale). Image: Constraint of the scale incidence per terminal (% of nuts with any scale). Image: Constraint of the scale incidence per terminal (% of nuts with any scale). Image: Constraint of the scale incidence per terminal (% of nuts with any scale incidence per terminal (% of nuts with any scale incidence per terminal (% of nuts with any scale incidence per terminal (% of nuts with any scale incidence per terminal (% of nuts with any scale incidence per terminal (% of nuts with any scale incidence per terminal (% of nuts with any scale incidence per terminal (% of nuts with any scale incidence per terminal (% of nuts with any scale incinterminal (% of nuts with any scale incidence per t | 11 Nontroated | | 1 - 10 | 10.7 | 100.0 | 72 8 | 100.0 | 00.4 | 545 | 100.0 | 26.0 | 100.0 | 85.6 |
| Leaf Inc ¹ =Leaf scab incidence per terminal (% of leaflets on end leaf with scab). Nut Inc ² =Nut scab incidence per terminal (% of nuts with any scab). | | - | 10 | | 1 | 1 | | | | 1 | 1 | | 1 |
| Nut Inc ² =Nut scab incidence per terminal (% of nuts with any scab). | | h incidence : | nor tormina | | | - | | | | 10.2 | 11.5 | 27.0 | |
| | | • | | • | | | | | | | | | |
| Nut Sev ³ =Nut scab severity per terminal (% of shuck area covered with scab). | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

KPHITE TIMING TEST, 2023

- A. PURPOSE: To evaluate spray timings of Kphite 7LP at various rates and residual of phosphite sprays for scab control on highly susceptible cultivars.
- B. EXPERIMENTAL DESIGN:
 - 1. Randomized complete block design with eight replicates for Wichitas and Desirables, each rep being a single tree that receives no other fungicide applications.
- C. APPLICATION OF TREATMENTS:
 - 1. Equipment: All spray treatments were applied with a hand-held 2 L sprayer. Treatments were sprayed until full coverage and runoff was achieved. Based on a dilution of 100 GPA spray volume.
 - 2. Calendar-based spray treatments 1-5 were applied on March 16, March 23, March 30, Apr. 6, and Apr. 12.

D. ADDITIONAL INFORMATION:

| 1. Location: | Ponder Farm, North Orchard, Tifton, GA, 31794 | | | | | | | |
|--------------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| 2. Soil Fertility: | pH-6.8 P-75 K-90 Ca-1359 Mg-101 | | | | | | | |
| Soil type: | Tifton loamy sand, $2-5$ % slope. | | | | | | | |
| 3. Herbicides: | Roundup (3 qt/a) + Interline (20 oz/a) on March 21. Valor (6 oz/a) + Gramoxone (22 oz/a) on June 6. | | | | | | | |

E: SUMMARY:

These dormant/early season sprays of phosphites were tested to determine their ability to move into new foliage systemically from single applications early in the season. There is some evidence of this occurring, although not as strong as in a previous trial. The results of this trial were also more variable than previously seen, perhaps in part by the staggered development of buds resulting from the winter hedging.

KPHITE TIMING TEST, 2023

PONDER FARM, NORTH ORCHARD

| | | | | DESIR | | WIC | HITA | | | |
|--|-----------|-------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|----------------------|----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|----------------------|----------------------|
| | Spray | | Leaf Inc ¹ | Leaf Sev ² | Nut Inc ³ | Nut Sev ⁴ | Leaf Inc ¹ | Leaf Sev ² | Nut Inc ³ | Nut Sev ⁴ |
| Treatments | Week | Rate/A | 23-May | 23-May | 25-Jul | 25-Jul | 23-May | 23-May | 25-Jul | 25-Jul |
| 1. Kphite 7LP | 1 | 2 pt | 29.0 | 2.4 | 100.0 | 56.7 | 36.2 | 4.1 | 100.0 | 88.8 |
| 2. Kphite 7LP | 1 | 6 pt | 26.2 | 1.3 | 100.0 | 49.2 | 48.3 | 4.1 | 100.0 | 97.6 |
| 3. Kphite 7LP | 2 | 2 pt | 32.1 | 2.6 | 100.0 | 76.3 | 39.7 | 5.9 | 100.0 | 93.5 |
| 4. Kphite 7LP | 2 | 6 pt | 42.0 | 4.9 | 100.0 | 65.0 | 54.1 | 6.6 | 100.0 | 94.4 |
| 5. Kphite 7LP | 3 | 2 pt | 16.3 | 1.5 | 100.0 | 45.0 | 35.8 | 3.1 | 100.0 | 96.8 |
| 6. Kphite 7LP | 3 | 6 pt | 6.5 | 0.8 | 100.0 | 65.0 | 22.5 | 1.9 | 100.0 | 81.1 |
| 7. Kphite 7LP | 4 | 2 pt | 26.8 | 3.1 | 100.0 | 62.5 | 33.8 | 2.8 | 100.0 | 87.6 |
| 8. Kphite 7LP | 4 | 6 pt | 16.8 | 1.8 | 100.0 | 52.8 | 37.5 | 2.9 | 100.0 | 93.5 |
| 9. Kphite 7LP | 5 | 2 pt | 24.2 | 2.8 | 100.0 | 50.8 | 33.0 | 3.4 | 100.0 | 94.4 |
| 10. Kphite 7LP | 5 | 6 pt | 19.4 | 2.8 | 100.0 | 45.8 | 23.2 | 1.4 | 100.0 | 78.3 |
| 11. Nontreated | | - | 30.4 | 3.1 | 100.0 | 71.9 | 43.2 | 4.0 | 100.0 | 97.3 |
| LSD(P<0.05) | | | 18.6 | 2.3 | N. S. | 22.5 | 18.7 | 2.7 | N. S. | 11.6 |
| Spray weeks 1-5 c Leaf Inc ¹ =Leaf sca | b inciden | ce per term | nal (% of leat | flets on middl | e leaf with sc | ab). | tleasta mo | nth ahead o | f disease ra | tings. |
| Leaf Sev ² =Leaf sca | | • | • | | | o). | | | | |
| Nut Inc ³ =Nut scab | | - | | - | - | | | | | |
| Nut Sev ⁴ =Nut scab | severity | per termina | l (% of nut sh | ucks covered | with scab). | | | | | |

| | | | | NFALI | | | | | | |
|----------------------------|------|------|------|-------|------|------|------|------|--|--|
| PONDER FARM, NORTH ORCHARD | | | | | | | | | | |
| DATE | Mar | Apr | May | June | July | Aug | Sep | Oct | | |
| 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.8 | 0 | 0.04 | 0 | | |
| 2 | 0.2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| 3 | 0.05 | 0.83 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| 4 | 0.01 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.35 | 0 | 0.01 | | |
| 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.01 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.09 | 0 | 0.01 | | |
| 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.43 | 0.26 | 0.01 | 0 | 0 | | |
| 8 | 0 | 0.51 | 0 | 0.12 | 0.01 | 0.39 | 0 | 0 | | |
| 9 | 0 | 0.02 | 0 | 0 | 0.41 | 0.07 | 0 | 0 | | |
| 10 | 0.32 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1.25 | 0.02 | 0 | 0 | | |
| 11 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.25 | | |
| 12 | 0.24 | 0 | 3.14 | 0.61 | 0 | 0.22 | 1.18 | 1.03 | | |
| 13 | 0 | 0.1 | 0 | 0.59 | 0 | 0 | 0.09 | 0.07 | | |
| 14 | 0 | 0.11 | 0 | 1.94 | 0.07 | 0.12 | 0 | 0 | | |
| 15 | 0 | 0.03 | 0.04 | 1.92 | 0.54 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| 16 | 0 | 0.01 | 0.02 | 0 | 0 | 0.04 | 0 | 0 | | |
| 17 | 0.81 | 0 | 0 | 0.23 | 0 | 0 | 0.27 | 0 | | |
| 18 | 0.04 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| 19 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.55 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| 20 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.03 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.01 | | |
| 21 | 0 | 0 | 0.13 | 0.57 | 0.5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| 22 | 0 | 0 | 0.85 | 0.16 | 1.53 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| 23 | 0 | 0 | 0.18 | 0.6 | 0.4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| 24 | 0 | 0 | 0.01 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| 25 | 0.45 | 0 | 0 | 0.02 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| 26 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1.47 | 0 | | |
| 27 | 0.17 | 0.94 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| 28 | 0.69 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.01 | | |
| 29 | 0.01 | 0.52 | 0 | 0 | 0.28 | 0.05 | 0 | 0 | | |
| 30 | 0 | 0.08 | 0 | 0 | 0.06 | 2.6 | 0 | 0 | | |
| 31 | 0 | _ | 0 | - | 0 | 2.33 | _ | 0 | | |
| OTAL (inches) | 2.94 | 3.15 | 4.37 | 7.77 | 6.12 | 6.29 | 3.05 | 1.39 | | |

PECAN FUNGICIDE TEST II, 2023

- A. PURPOSE: To evaluate the efficacy of registered fungicides against pecan scab on a standard commercial cultivar.
- B. EXPERIMENTAL DESIGN:
 - 1. Randomized complete blocks with five replicates.
 - 2. Each replication consisted of single-tree treatments.
 - 3. The orchard was established in 1988 planted on a 40 ft x 40 ft spacing running north and south. This test used Desirable trees only. Every other row was removed and replanted. The original trees served as unsprayed borders, and all treatments were applied to the younger trees.

C. APPLICATION OF TREATMENTS:

- 1. Equipment: Drip treatments were applied by placing two buckets opposite sides of each tree, each containing 2 gallons of water. Small holes were drilled into buckets to allow for slow seepage. Soil was irrigated prior to and during applications. All remaining treatments were applied with a Durand Wayland PTO-driven air-blast sprayer (AF-100-32) delivering 95 gallon per acre at 125 PSI traveling 2 MPH.
- 2. Calendar-based spray treatments were applied on Apr. 7, Apr. 21, May 8, May 19, June 1, June 20, June 30, July 14, July 27, and Aug. 11. Drip applications were applied on Apr. 7, Apr. 21, May 8, and May 19.

D. ADDITIONAL INFORMATION:

| 1. | Location: | Ponder Far | rm, South | Orchard, | Tifton, GA | ., 31794 | | | |
|----|-----------------|-----------------------------------|------------|----------|-----------------------------|----------|--|--|--|
| 2. | Soil Fertility: | pH-6.1 | P - 98 | K – 94 | Ca – 961 | Mg - 109 | | | |
| | Soil type: | Tifton loamy sand, $2-5$ % slope. | | | | | | | |
| 3. | Herbicides: | 1 ` | 1 / | ```` | 20 oz/a) on (22 oz/a) on | | | | |

E: SUMMARY:

These trees were much shorter than in previous years as we hedged both sides of them with a commercial hedger in the winter. In this block, every other tree was removed also, resulting in much more air flow and a delay in the scab initiation. However, frequent rains resulted in a delayed, but significant scab epidemic. Due to this delay, only one rating was taken later in the season. Leaf scab ratings were taken separately on the original leaves formed after bud break, and also on the later season growth flushes formed in mid-summer (essentially due to tree hedging). The continuous flush of these leaves through wetter summer months with higher inoculum levels made it more difficult to control scab, as is reflected by the ratings. Treatments provided a range of control of both leaf and nut scab. Overall it was a very good trial to determine the relative strengths and weaknesses of each program.

| | | | Leaf Inc ¹ | Leaf Inc ¹ | | | |
|---------------------------------|------------|------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|----------------------|----------------------|---------------------|
| | | | (Old leaf) | (New leaf) | Nut Inc ² | Nut Sev ³ | % Def. ² |
| Treatments | Rate/A | App's | 8-Aug | 8-Aug | 8-Aug | | 20-Nov |
| | 6.0 fl oz | <u>Арр S</u> 1 — 10 | 11.7 | 53.0 | 97.5 | 8-Aug 24.8 | 47.0 |
| 1. Super Tin 4L + Elast 400F | 25.0 fl oz | 1 - 10 | 11.7 | 55.0 | 97.5 | 24.0 | 47.0 |
| + EldSt 400F | 25.0 11 02 | | | | | | |
| 2. Super Tin 4L | 9.0 fl oz | 1, 3, 5, 7, 9 | 21.9 | 51.1 | 100.0 | 33.4 | 59.0 |
| + Elast 400F | 25.0 fl oz | | | | | | |
| Regev HBX | 8.5 fl oz | 2, 4, 6, 8, 10 | | | | | |
| 2 Kabita | 2.0 et | 1 – 4 | 71 | FC 1 | 00.0 | 14 5 | 59.0 |
| 3. Kphite | 2.0 qt | | 7.1 | 56.1 | 88.8 | 14.5 | 58.0 |
| Super Tin 4L | 9.0 fl oz | 5 – 10 | | | | | |
| + Elast 400F | 36.0 fl oz | | | | | | |
| 4. Rhyme* | 7.0 fl oz | 1-4* | 21.6 | 68.1 | 100.0 | 65.5 | 77.6 |
| 5. Prophyt* | 48 fl oz | 1-4* | 20.2 | 81.4 | 100.0 | 77.9 | 72.0 |
| | 700 | A A -1- | 45 - | | 400 - | | 70.0 |
| 6. Rhyme* | 7.0 fl oz | 1-4* | 15.4 | 72.7 | 100.0 | 71.1 | 79.0 |
| + Prophyt | 48 fl oz | | | | | | |
| 7. Rhyme* | 7.0 fl oz | 1-4* | 24.6 | 53.9 | 100.0 | 59.7 | 48.0 |
| Super Tin 4L | 6.0 fl oz | 5 – 10 | | | | | |
| + Elast 400F | 25.0 fl oz | | | | | | |
| 8. Topguard EQ | 8.0 fl oz | 1 – 4 | 7.4 | 55.6 | 100.0 | 26.8 | 55.0 |
| Super Tin 4L | 6.0 fl oz | 5 – 10 | | | | | |
| + Elast 400F | 25.0 fl oz | | | | | | |
| 9. Rhyme | 7.0 fl oz | 1-4 | 12.1 | 79.7 | 97.5 | 54.2 | 78.6 |
| 40 C | 6.0.(1.) | 4 2 5 7 0 | 0.4 | 24.0 | 04.2 | E 4 | F7 0 |
| 10. Super Tin 4L | 6.0 fl oz | 1, 3, 5, 7, 9 | 8.4 | 21.8 | 81.3 | 5.4 | 57.0 |
| + Elast 400F | 25.0 fl oz | | | | | | |
| + Goodspray | 16.0 fl oz | 2 4 6 9 49 | | | | | |
| Miravis Top | 13.7 fl oz | 2, 4, 6, 8, 10 | | | | | |
| + Goodspray | 16.0 fl oz | | | | | | |
| 11. Super Tin 4L | 6.0 fl oz | 1, 3, 5, 7, 9 | 10.7 | 29.6 | 80.0 | 9.6 | 62.0 |
| + Elast 400F | 25.0 fl oz | | | | | | |
| + Humispread | 16.0 fl oz | | | | | | |
| Miravis Top | 13.7 fl oz | 2, 4, 6, 8, 10 | | | | | |
| + Humispread | 16.0 fl oz | | | | | | |
| 12 Cupor T: 41 | C 0 fl | 1 2 5 7 0 | 0.0 | 20.0 | 05.4 | 12.0 | F0 0 |
| 12. Super Tin 4L | 6.0 fl oz | 1, 3, 5, 7, 9 | 9.8 | 30.6 | 85.4 | 12.0 | 59.0 |
| + Elast 400F | 25.0 fl oz | | | | | | |
| + Wetable | 16.0 fl oz | | | | | | |
| Miravis Top | 13.7 fl oz | 2, 4, 6, 8, 10 | | | | | |
| + Wetable | 16.0 fl oz | | | | | | |
| 13. Super Tin 4L | 6.0 fl oz | 1, 3, 5, 7, 9 | 11.8 | 36.5 | 80.3 | 13.1 | 53.0 |
| + Elast 400F | 25.0 fl oz | | | | | | |
| Miravis Top | 13.7 fl oz | 2, 4, 6, 8, 10 | | | | | |
| 14 Nontroated | | | <u>Э</u> л г | 01 7 | 100.0 | 01 4 | 02.0 |
| 14. Nontreated LSD(P<0.05) | - | - | 27.5 | 81.2 12.0 | 100.0 9.9 | 81.4 9.3 | 82.0 25.3 |

*Astrisks denote drip treatments, where 2 buckets per tree, each containing 2 gallons of water, were placed near an emitter on opposite sides of the tree. Irrigation was run prior to and during application.

Leaf Inc¹=Leaf scab incidence, based on 8 terminals per tree (% of leaflets on leaf with scab). The "Old leaf" was the original leaves from spring growth, and "New leaf" was midsummer growth flushes.

Nut Inc²=Nut scab incidence, based on ratings of 8 nut clusters per tree (% of nuts with any scab). Nut Sev³=Nut scab severity, based on 8 nuts clusters per tree (% of shuck covered with scab). 93

| PONDER FARM, SOUTH ORCHARD | | | | | | | | | | |
|----------------------------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|--|--|
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| DATE | Mar | Apr | May | June | July | Aug | Sep | Oct | | |
| 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.8 | 0 | 0.04 | 0 | | |
| 2 | 0.2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| 3 | 0.05 | 0.83 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| 4 | 0.01 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.35 | 0 | 0.01 | | |
| 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.01 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.09 | 0 | 0.01 | | |
| 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.43 | 0.26 | 0.01 | 0 | 0 | | |
| 8 | 0 | 0.51 | 0 | 0.12 | 0.01 | 0.39 | 0 | 0 | | |
| 9 | 0 | 0.02 | 0 | 0 | 0.41 | 0.07 | 0 | 0 | | |
| 10 | 0.32 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1.25 | 0.02 | 0 | 0 | | |
| 11 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.25 | | |
| 12 | 0.24 | 0 | 3.14 | 0.61 | 0 | 0.22 | 1.18 | 1.03 | | |
| 13 | 0 | 0.1 | 0 | 0.59 | 0 | 0 | 0.09 | 0.07 | | |
| 14 | 0 | 0.11 | 0 | 1.94 | 0.07 | 0.12 | 0 | 0 | | |
| 15 | 0 | 0.03 | 0.04 | 1.92 | 0.54 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| 16 | 0 | 0.01 | 0.02 | 0 | 0 | 0.04 | 0 | 0 | | |
| 17 | 0.81 | 0 | 0 | 0.23 | 0 | 0 | 0.27 | 0 | | |
| 18 | 0.04 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| 19 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.55 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| 20 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.03 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.01 | | |
| 21 | 0 | 0 | 0.13 | 0.57 | 0.5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| 22 | 0 | 0 | 0.85 | 0.16 | 1.53 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| 23 | 0 | 0 | 0.18 | 0.6 | 0.4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| 24 | 0 | 0 | 0.01 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| 25 | 0.45 | 0 | 0 | 0.02 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| 26 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1.47 | 0 | | |
| 27 | 0.17 | 0.94 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| 28 | 0.69 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.01 | | |
| 29 | 0.01 | 0.52 | 0 | 0 | 0.28 | 0.05 | 0 | 0 | | |
| 30 | 0 | 0.08 | 0 | 0 | 0.06 | 2.6 | 0 | 0 | | |
| 31 | 0 | _ | 0 | - | 0 | 2.33 | - | 0 | | |
| OTAL (inches) | 2.94 | 3.15 | 4.37 | 7.77 | 6.12 | 6.29 | 3.05 | 1.39 | | |